

ENCLOSURE #2

---

GB  
991  
.P4  
L35  
1991  
phase 1

**Lake Erie and Elk Creek  
Watersheds,  
Erie County, Pennsylvania**

**Phase 1  
Storm Water Management Plan  
Scope of Study**

**June 1991**

Prepared by

**The Chester Engineers**  
Engineers Scientists Architects

P.O. Box 15851  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15244  
412 269-5700

GB991.P4L35 1991 phase I

**LAKE ERIE AND ELK CREEK WATERSHEDS  
ERIE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA  
PHASE I STORM WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN  
SCOPE OF STUDY**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Section	Page
INTRODUCTION .....	1
STORM WATER RUNOFF -ITS PROBLEMS AND ITS SOLUTIONS .....	1
PENNSYLVANIA STORM WATER MANAGEMENT ACT (ACT 167) .....	1
WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS .....	2
LAKE ERIE WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS .....	2
General Information .....	2
Topography .....	3
Hydrology .....	3
Climate .....	6
Soils .....	7
Land Use .....	7
ELK CREEK WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS .....	7
General Information .....	7
Topography .....	8
Hydrology .....	8
Climate .....	9
Soils .....	9
Land Use .....	9
ACT 167 PLANNING FOR THE LAKE ERIE AND ELK CREEK WATERSHEDS .....	9
PREVIOUS PLANNING EFFORTS .....	9
COMBINED SEWER SYSTEM INVESTIGATIONS .....	10
ASSESSMENT OF PROVIDING SELECTED SERVICES THROUGH ALTERNATIVE INTERGOVERNMENTAL ARRANGEMENTS IN THE ERIE METROPOLITAN AREA .....	10

**US Department of Commerce  
NOAA Coastal Services Center Library  
2234 South Hobson Avenue  
Charleston, SC 29405-2413**

The **Chester** Engineers

**LAKE ERIE AND ELK CREEK WATERSHEDS  
ERIE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA  
PHASE I STORM WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN  
SCOPE OF STUDY**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS  
(CONTINUED)**

Section	Page
SUMMARY .....	11
BENEFITS OF THE PLAN(S) .....	11
APPROACH FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE WATERSHED PLAN(S) .....	12
IDENTIFIED PROBLEMS IN THE LAKE ERIE WATERSHED .....	14
IDENTIFIED PROBLEMS IN THE ELK CREEK WATERSHED .....	16
PLAN PREPARATION STRATEGY .....	19
TASK 1 - PROJECT INITIATION .....	20
TASK 2 - PROJECT COORDINATION / PUBLIC PARTICIPATION .....	20
TASK 3 - DATA COLLECTION/REVIEW/ANALYSIS .....	23
TASK 4 - INSTITUTIONAL DATA PREPARATION .....	25
TASK 5 - DATA PREPARATION FOR TECHNICAL ANALYSIS .....	26
TASK 6 - MODEL SELECTION AND MODEL SET UP .....	28
TASK 7 - BASIC MODEL RUNS .....	29
TASK 7A - EXPANDED NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION MODELING .....	31
TASK 8 - DEVELOP TECHNICAL STANDARDS AND CRITERIA .....	32
TASK 9 - INSTITUTIONAL ANALYSIS .....	34

**LAKE ERIE AND ELK CREEK WATERSHEDS  
ERIE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA  
PHASE I STORM WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN  
SCOPE OF STUDY**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS  
(CONTINUED)**

Section	Page
TASK 10 - PLAN REPORT PREPARATION .....	35
TASK 11 - PRIORITIES FOR IMPLEMENTATION, PLAN UPDATE AND PLAN ADOPTION .....	38
LEVEL OF EFFORT AND COST ESTIMATE .....	39
PROPOSED PLAN DEVELOPMENT SCHEDULE .....	41
CONSULTANT CAPABILITIES .....	41
APPENDIX A: MUNICIPAL QUESTIONNAIRES	
APPENDIX B: SAMPLE MUNICIPAL ORDINANCE MATRIX	
APPENDIX C: BREAKDOWN OF EXPENSES	
APPENDIX D: WPAC INFORMATION	
APPENDIX E: CONSULTANT QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE	

**LAKE ERIE AND ELK CREEK WATERSHEDS  
ERIE COUNTY PENNSYLVANIA  
PHASE I STORM WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN  
SCOPE OF STUDY**

**I. INTRODUCTION**

**Storm Water Runoff - Its Problem and Its Solutions**

The water that runs off the land into surface waters during and immediately following a rainfall event is referred to as storm water. In a watershed undergoing urban expansion, the volume of storm water resulting from a particular rainfall event increases because of the reduction in pervious land area (i.e. natural land being covered by pavement, concrete, or buildings). That is, the alteration of natural land cover and land contours to residential, commercial, industrial and even crop land uses results in decreased infiltration of rainfall and an increased rate and volume of runoff.

As development has increased, so has the problem of dealing with the increased quantity of stormwater runoff. Failure to properly manage this runoff has resulted in greater flooding, stream channel erosion and siltation, as well as reduced groundwater recharge. This process occurs every time the land development process causes changes in land surface conditions.

History has shown that individual land development projects are often viewed as separate incidents, and not necessarily a part of a "bigger picture." This has also been the case when the individual land development projects are scattered throughout a watershed (and in many different municipalities). However, it is now being observed and verified that this cumulative nature of individual land surface changes dramatically effects flooding conditions. This cumulative effect of development in some areas has resulted in flooding of both small and large streams with property damages running into the millions of dollars, even causing loss of life. Therefore, given the distributed and cumulative nature of the land alteration process, a comprehensive (i.e., watershed-level) approach must be taken if a reasonable and practical management and implementation approach and/or strategy is to be successful.

**Pennsylvania Storm Water Management Act (Act 167)**

Recognizing the need to deal with this serious and growing problem, the Pennsylvania General Assembly enacted Act 167. The statement of legislative findings at the beginning of the Pennsylvania Storm Water Management Act (Act 167), sums up the critical interrelationship between land development, accelerated runoff, and floodplain management. Specifically, this statement of legislative findings points out that:

1. Inadequate management of accelerated runoff of storm water resulting from development throughout a watershed increases flood flows and velocity, contributes to erosion and sedimentation, overtaxes the carrying capacity of

streams and storm sewers, greatly increases the cost of public facilities to carry and control storm water, undermines floodplain management and floodplain control efforts in downstream communities, reduces groundwater recharge, and threatens public health and safety.

2. A comprehensive program of storm water management, including reasonable regulation of development and activities causing accelerated runoff, is fundamental to the public health, safety and welfare and the protection of the people of the Commonwealth, their resources and their environment.

Before the enactment of Act 167, storm water management had been oriented primarily towards addressing the increase in peak runoff rates discharging from individual land development sites to protect property immediately downstream. Minimal attention was given to the effects on locations further downstream (frequently because they were located in another municipality), or to designing storm water controls within the context of the entire watershed. Management of storm water also was typically regulated on a municipal level, with little or no designed consistency between adjoining municipalities in the same watershed concerning the types, or degree, of storm runoff control to be practiced.

Act 167 changed this approach by instituting a comprehensive program of storm water planning and management -- on a watershed level. The Act requires Pennsylvania counties to prepare and adopt storm water management plans for each watershed located in the county, as designated by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources (DEPARTMENT). Most importantly, these plans are to be prepared in consultation with municipalities located in the watershed, working through a Watershed Plan Advisory Committee (WPAC). The plans are to provide for uniform technical standards and criteria throughout a watershed for the management of storm water runoff from new land developing sites.

The types and degree of controls that are prescribed in the watershed plan need to be based on the expected development pattern and hydrologic characteristics of each individual watershed. The management plan, specifically the standards and criteria, are to be developed from the technical evaluations performed in the planning process, in order to respond to the "cause and effect" nature of existing and potential storm runoff impacts in the watershed. The final product of the Act 167 watershed planning process is to be a comprehensive and practical implementation plan, developed with a firm sensitivity to the overall needs (e.g., financial, legal, political, technical, etc.) of the municipalities of the watershed, so that a common goal of area wide flood impacts management can be achieved.

## **II. WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS**

### **Lake Erie Watershed Characteristics**

#### **General Information**

The Lake Erie Watershed encompasses approximately 230 square miles in northwestern Pennsylvania. Very small portions of the extremities of several of the streams lie within the states of Ohio and New York. The general location of the

watershed is displayed in Figure 1. The watershed includes all streams and drainage areas (with the exception of those located in the Elk Creek and Conneaut Creek watersheds) which discharge into Lake Erie. These watersheds include the following streams which were identified in the Pilot Act 167 Plan and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources' Bulletin No. 5 *Dams, Reservoirs and Natural Lakes*:

Bear Run	Marshall Run	Sixmile Creek
Brandy Run	McDonnel Run	Sixteenmile Creek
Cascade Creek	Middle Run	Trout Run
Crooked Creek	Mill Creek	Turkey Creek
Dewey Run	Orchard Beach Run	Twelvemile Creek
Eightmile Creek	Raccoon Creek	Twentymile Creek
Fivemile Creek	Sevenmile Run	Walnut Creek
Fourmile Creek		Wilkins Run

The Lake Erie Watershed is contained in the following 21 municipalities in Erie County:

Conneaut Township	Lake City Borough
Elk Creek Township	Lawrence Park Township
Erie City	McKean Township
Fairview Borough	Millcreek Township
Fairview Township	North East Borough
Girard Borough	North East Township
Girard Township	Platea Borough
Greene Township	Springfield Township
Greenfield Township	Summit Township
Harborcreek Township	Venango Township
	Wesleyville Borough

### Topography

The topography of the Lake Erie Watershed consists of a steep bluff, rising abruptly at the shore of Lake Erie to as much as 180 feet above the lake, a relatively flat plain extending about two miles inland from the lake and a steep slope beginning three to four miles inland beyond which the land rises gradually into rolling hills which extend to the watershed divide. The watershed divide is characterized by a relatively flat plain which drains very slowly and is comprised of several small ponds and a number of wetlands.

### Hydrology

The headwaters of streams in the Lake Erie drainage basin begin as small meandering channels. In the lower reaches, most of the streams have cut through the glacial till down to bedrock and can be characterized by deep gorges with steep sides and no adjacent flood plain.





There are a several dams / reservoirs within the watershed which may have an impact upon wet weather stream flows and, consequently, watershed storm water management standards and criteria. The Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources' Bulletin No. 5 *Dams, Reservoirs and Natural Lakes* lists the following dams / reservoirs within the watershed:

- Grahamville Reservoir, located on East Branch Sixteenmile Creek, with a drainage area of 1.7 square miles.
- Supply dam, located on Sixteenmile Creek, with a drainage area of 9.3 square miles.
- Smith Reservoir, located on West Branch Sixteenmile Creek, with a drainage area of 2.2 square miles.
- Unnamed dam, located on an unnamed stream immediately east of the Walnut Creek watershed, with a drainage area of 1.3 square miles.
- Golf Course Dam, located on Sevenmile Creek, with a drainage area of 4.0 square miles.

The following four USGS stream gages are located in the Lake Erie watershed:

---

#### UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY STREAM GAGING STATIONS

Gage Name	USGS ID Number	Drainage Area (sq. mi.)	Record Period
Raccoon Creek at W. Springfield	1304	2.53	October 1968 to present
Brandy Run near Girard	13075	4.45	May 1986 to present
Mill Creek at Erie	13200	9.16	1945 to present

---

The first two stations are continuous record stations which collect data on a regularly scheduled basis. The gages are water stage recorders which measure hourly stream flows. Thus, measured hourly hydrographs at these stream gages corresponding to specific storm events can be used to calibrate stream models used in the Lake Erie Watershed.

The third USGS stream gage is located on Mill Creek at Erie. This is a partial record station where limited stream flow data are collected systematically over a period of years. Unlike continuous type water stage recorders, this station has a crest-stage gage which records the peak stage (discharge) occurring between inspections of the gage. Consequently, the usefulness of this data is limiting to calibrating peak discharge rates without regard to the shape of the hydrograph or total runoff volume.

Temporary stream gaging stations have been operated from time to time within the watershed. In general, however, they have been operated for very limited time periods, calibration may be irregular and the data collected is not readily available.

Therefore, it is anticipated that calibration efforts will employ data collected at the permanent USGS gages.

## Climate

The climate of the watershed is greatly influenced by Lake Erie. The air temperature during the fall and winter is moderated as air moves over the warmer water in the lake. The slow warming of the lake water surface during the spring delays the start of the growing season, and the warmer water of the lake in the late summer prolongs the normal growing season for this latitude. Monthly temperatures measured at Erie range from approximately 24 to 70 degrees Fahrenheit with an annual average of 58 degrees.

The amount and character of precipitation are also affected by Lake Erie. The watershed is in the Lake Erie snowbelt, which is created as winter storms moving easterly across the lake drop snow rather than rain into this region. The path of summer thunderstorms is also deflected by higher pressures due to the cooler temperatures of the lake, resulting in the lake shore region receiving lower summer precipitation than the interior regions of the state. The average annual precipitation in the watershed is approximately 37 inches per year. Average monthly precipitation volumes measured at Erie range from 1.7 to 4.3 inches per month.

Two types of storms that bring heavy rainfall to the watershed are thunderstorms and, to a lesser degree, tropical storms. The June 1972 rains caused by hurricane Agnes were an example of a hurricane that turned into a tropical storm. Summer thunderstorms have resulted in as much as 5.4 inches of rainfall in 24 hours. These thunderstorms are generally localized, thereby confining any resulting flooding to one or two streams.

There are three National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration precipitation stations located in the vicinity of the Lake Erie Watershed. All three stations are equipped with recording type rain gages capable of measuring and recording hourly rainfall amounts. However, only one, located at Erie is situated within the watershed.

---

### NATIONAL OCEANOGRAPHIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION RAIN GAGES

<u>GAGE</u>	<u>APPROXIMATE LOCATION</u>	<u>ID</u>	<u>GAGE OBSERVER / PERIOD</u>
Erie WSO A	Northern boundary of watershed	2682	National Weather Service / 1948 to current
Union City	About 12 mi. south of watershed	9042	Private / 1950 to current
Conneautville	About 15 mi south of watershed	1719	Private / 1959 to current

---

## **Soils**

The watershed is comprised of two major land areas, each with several predominant soil associations. The first area is the Lake Plain which forms a belt from two to four miles wide along the lake shore. The second is the hilly uplands area along the watershed boundary.

The most extensive soil association in the Lake Plain section is the Connotton-Ottawa-Fredon. These are gravelly and sandy soils of the beach ridges and deltas associated with higher levels of the lake in previous ages. Most of the area is occupied by the well-drained Conotton soils. The Rimer-Wauseon-Berrien association covers a belt close to the lake from the City of Erie to the Ohio line and smaller areas elsewhere in the lake plain. The somewhat poorly to poorly drained Rimer soils make up over two-thirds of the area of this association. The very poorly drained Wauseon soils make up most of the remainder of this area.

The Wallington-Birdsall-Williamson-Collamer association is found near the Ohio line and in smaller areas near Harborcreek Township. These are silty and clayey soils with very slow permeability. Adjacent to the lake plain in the eastern part of Erie County, on the lower slopes of the upland are the Allis-Ellery-Alden soils characterized by slow permeability.

Still higher on the slopes is a belt of the Volusia-Mardin association. The somewhat poorly drained Volusia makes up about 80 percent of the area.

Detailed soils information for the Lake Erie Watershed can be obtained from the county soil surveys published by the U.S. Soil Conservation Service for Erie County in Pennsylvania, Ashtabula County in Ohio and Chautauqua County in New York.

## **Land Use**

Land development in the watershed has centered around the City of Erie. More recently residential and commercial development has occurred in the Erie suburbs. The predominant land use in the watershed remains agriculture, woodland and open space. Residential, commercial and industrial land use is concentrated in the vicinity of the City of Erie and the adjacent urban area as well as the smaller incorporated boroughs within the watershed.

## **Elk Creek Watershed Characteristics**

### **General Information**

The Elk Creek Watershed covers roughly 100 square miles entirely within Erie County. The general location of the watershed is displayed on Plate 1 (introduced previously). The Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources' Bulletin No. 5 *Dams, Reservoirs and Natural Lakes* identifies the following named streams within the watershed:

Brandy Run  
Elk Creek  
Falk Run

Goodban Run  
Halls Run

Lawson Run  
Little Elk Creek

The Elk Creek Watershed is contained in the following 12 municipalities.

Elk Creek Township  
Fairview Township  
Franklin Township  
Girard Borough  
Girard Township  
Lake City Borough

McKean Borough  
McKean Township  
Platea Borough  
Summit Township  
Washington Township  
Waterford Township

### Topography

The topography of the upper reaches of the Elk Creek Watershed consists of rolling hills with a wide, narrowing valley and mild to gentle slopes. The middle and lower reaches can be characterized as rolling hills with a narrow valley and an entrenched creek. Elevations within the watershed range from approximately 1,360 feet in the vicinity of the source to approximately 570 feet at the mouth.

### Hydrology

The source of Elk Creek is in the vicinity of Sharps Corners from where the stream flows in a northwesterly / northerly direction to its mouth on Lake Erie at Lake City. The total length of Elk Creek is approximately 30.4 miles.

The Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources' Bulletin No. 5 *Dams, Reservoirs and Natural Lakes* identifies the following dam / reservoir within the watershed:

- Fisher Dam, located on a branch of Elk Creek, with a drainage area of 1.5 square miles.

Any potential effects this structure may have on wet weather stream flows and watershed storm water management standards and criteria must be identified during Phase II of the Act 167 Storm Water Management Planning process.

There are no long term USGS stream gages situated on the streams located in the Elk Creek Watershed. A temporary gaging station is reported to have been operated on Elk Creek at North Girard during 1951. Data collected at this station will reflect stream flow responses from nearly all of the watershed. However, it is unlikely that data from this source will be of significant value in efforts to calibrate the Elk Creek hydrologic model. It is more likely that data collected from one or more of the previously referenced long term, continuously recording gages in the Lake Erie watershed will prove suitable for calibration purposes.

## **Climate**

The Elk Creek Watershed is located in the same general area as the Lake Erie Watershed. Consequently, the information describing the climate of the area provided previously for the Lake Erie Watershed applies.

There are no NOAA weather stations located within the Elk Creek Watershed. However, the previously described station at Erie is sufficiently close to this watershed to permit its use as a source of precipitation information representative of conditions in the Elk Creek Watershed.

## **Soils**

The 1981 Storm Water Management Plan lists the following soils as predominant in the Elk Creek Watershed:

- Gravelly soils of the outwash terraces (Howard-Phelps-Fredon-Halsey).
- Deep, medium-textured soils in moderately limy till of the glaciated upland (Erie-Ellery and Alden-Langford).
- Deep, silty and clayey soils of the gently or moderately sloping glaciated upland (Plateau-Birdsall).
- Shallow, medium textured soils of the glaciated upland and the lake plain (Allis-Ellery and Alden).
- Sandy soils of the lake plain (Rimer-Wauseon-Berrien).
- Gravelly and sandy soils on the beach ridges (Conotton-Ottaw-Fredon).

Detailed soils information for the Elk Creek Watershed can be obtained from the county soil surveys published by the U.S. Soil Conservation Service for Erie County.

## **Land Use**

The predominant land use in the Elk Creek Watershed is agriculture / open space. Residential, commercial and industrial land use is most prevalent in the lower reaches of Elk Creek in the vicinity of Lake City and Girard boroughs.

### **III. ACT 167 PLANNING FOR THE LAKE ERIE AND ELK CREEK WATERSHEDS**

#### **Previous Act 167 Planning Efforts**

In 1981, Erie County prepared a pilot watershed plan for the Lake Erie and Elk Creek Watersheds. The plan, which consists of a series of 14 volumes, was completed using funds provided by the PA Coastal Zone Management Program and the PA Bureau of Dams and Waterways Management. Volume 1 is a technical

document written for the individual interested in the more detailed aspects of the plan. The remaining volumes in the series represent applications of the plan to specific features of the various subwatersheds within the Lake Erie and Elk Creek Watersheds.

Only a small portion of the Plan was implemented due to a lack of interest on the local level. However, it should be noted that North East Borough and North East Township have adopted Storm Water Management ordinances. To date, these are the only two municipalities in Erie County which have adopted Storm Water Management Ordinances in accordance with a State approved Storm Water Management Plan. In addition, Summit Township and Millcreek Township adopted Storm Water Management Ordinances in 1990 under the authority of the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code.

The 1981 Storm Water Management Plan for the Lake Erie and Elk Creek Watersheds was performed as a pilot plan in the early stages of the Act 167 planning process. Unfortunately, planning and analysis methods and the basic approaches to watershed stormwater management have diverged greatly from those used in the 1981 plan. This coupled with the age of the document, severely reduces its utility. While some information contained in the plan will be of use in the preparation of a new Phase II plan, the existence of the document will have little impact upon the level of effort and cost of preparing the updated plan for these watersheds.

#### **Combined Sewer System Investigations**

The City of Erie had entered into a consent decree with the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources which includes requirements for the completion of investigations into the sources of and methods for reducing pollution entering the Mill Creek Tube (the lower reaches of Mill Creek) and Presque Isle Bay from other sources. These investigations are expected to result in the collection of data and the assembly of hydrologic and water quality model(s) which may be of use during the preparation of the Act 167 Watershed Storm Water Management Plan(s) for the Lake Erie and Elk Creek Watersheds. The investigations are in the early stages and are scheduled to be completed over a period spanning two to three years. Consequently, the exact nature and potential utility of this information relative to the Act 167 planning effort cannot be defined at present. However, these investigations are recognized and the review, assessment and possible use of information developed during their completion will be an element of the Phase II planning effort for the Lake Erie Watershed.

#### **Assessment of Providing Selected Services Through Alternative Intergovernmental Arrangements in the Erie Metropolitan Area**

The Erie County Planning Department and the Pennsylvania Economy League, Inc. are involved in a study to explore the feasibility and desirability of providing selected municipal services through various types of intergovernmental arrangements. The area of the investigation is limited to the following municipalities:

City of Erie  
Millcreek Township  
Harborcreek Township  
Fairview Township  
Lawrence Park Township  
Wesleyville Borough  
Summit Township

These municipalities are all located in the Lake Erie Watershed and Summit and Fairview townships are also located in the Elk Creek Watershed.

Phases I and II of the study have been completed and Phase III is commencing. One of the areas of service investigated is storm water management. The study investigated several mechanisms for sharing municipal resources in the area of storm water management. The Intergovernmental Task Force has made the following recommendations relative to storm water management issues:

- incorporate the creation of a regional storm water management authority into the discussions of the creation of a regional sewer authority, and
- through the County, include in all requests for proposals that might be solicited by individual jurisdictions for technical studies on storm water management, an acknowledgement of the interest of the Erie area to eventually create a storm water management regional authority.

In consideration of these recommendations, the Phase II Act 167 Watershed Storm Water Management Plan(s) for the Lake Erie and Elk Creek Watersheds will include an evaluation of the formation and use of a regional storm water management authority implementing watershed storm water management in the watersheds.

### **Summary**

Given the previously described watershed characteristics and the prior stormwater management planning efforts and ongoing and planned studies described above, the watershed planning process for this study area must be fitted to the watershed characteristics, as well as the resources (technical, political and economic) of this area. This section of the Phase I - Scope of Study presents the concept and approach that has been developed to fully meet these requirements, as well as the specific requirements of Act 167, for this watershed storm water management project.

### **Benefits of the Plan(s)**

The purpose and benefit of the study and implementation plan is to provide all of the municipalities in the watershed (in compliance with the requirements of Pennsylvania Act 167) with an accurate and consistent implementation strategy and procedures for comprehensive storm water management. Currently, not all of the



watershed municipalities enforce storm water management regulations and, for those that do, actual enforcement criteria vary. Given the nature of storm runoff and its impacts, as described earlier in this document, a critical objective of sound storm water management planning is to provide for consistency of implementation requirements throughout the watershed. Therefore, the primary objective of the technical study and planning process is to develop a technical and institutional support document to encourage and/or support the consistency of regulations for implementation based on watershed-wide consideration.

The currently accepted watershed planning approach (technical and institutional) recommended by the DEPARTMENT also provides the municipalities of a watershed (in addition to the County) with a considerable amount of usable technical information, such as a detailed watershed runoff simulation model, that can be used for numerous other associated purposes for participating municipalities. Therefore, as a result of developing the primary product of the watershed planning effort (i.e. the implementation plan for local regulation of storm water runoff impacts), the participating municipalities, as well as the County, will realize benefits and/or products that are usable for other planning and engineering purposes.

For example, land use updates and environmental data management are functions that are necessary for effective planning in a watershed. The technical approach being proposed for the Lake Erie and Elk Creek Watersheds Act 167 planning project will provide unique environmental database management benefits for not only the County, but also for municipal use. Another example of the associated benefits of an Act 167 watershed plan relates to basic public works and/or engineering functions, primarily at the municipal level.

In addition, technical support information, provided as a part of the watershed modeling effort, can be used by public works officials for bridge replacement and floodplain management analysis, design and regulatory permitting efforts. Further, the stream encroachment permit process, which involves the need to supply detailed stream flow data as a part of the application process, can be more efficiently and cost-effectively developed using the calibrated watershed model. Therefore, the benefits of the watershed planning process are wide-ranging, even beyond the important function of developing comprehensive storm water management strategies and ordinance provisions.

#### **Approach for the Development of the Watershed Plan(s)**

In order to implement watershed-wide comprehensive planning for and management of storm water runoff, it was necessary to take a very close look at all portions of the watersheds for this Phase I study. Since the Act itself is very dependent on municipal coordination to provide for the total planning and management of storm water throughout the watersheds, it is necessary to get each municipality in the watersheds involved in the planning process.

In order to identify the storm water problem areas and to initiate municipal level involvement in the overall development of the PLAN, a Watershed Plan Advisory Committee and a questionnaire strategy is incorporated into the Phase I work approach for the watershed. The Watershed Plan Advisory Committee (WPAC) was formed by the COUNTY and consists of the required municipal officials and interested parties. The County held two WPAC meetings during the Phase I planning process. The following is the WPAC membership list.

---

WATERSHED PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
LAKE ERIE WATERSHED

Conneaut Township	Ronald Simons
Elk Creek Township	Laurin Hill
Erie City	Richard L. Morris
Fairview Borough	Harry Walker
Fairview Township	George McKinley
Girard Borough	Michael J. McManus
Girard Township	William Westerburg
Greene Township	Clarence T. Hess
Greenfield Township	Carl Rupp
Harborcreek Township	Mark J. Corey
Lake City Borough	Joseph Legnasky
Lawrence Park Township	James Connors
McKean Township	Robert Weir
MillCreek Township	William Dunagan
North East Borough	Robert Stoddard
North East Township	Dennis N. Culver
Platea Borough	No Appointment
Springfield Township	Nancy Brown
Summit Township	Richard Hessinger
Venango Township	Marge Allen
Wesleyville Borough	Donald Shepard
Erie County Conservation Dist.	LeRoy Gross
Erie County Planning	David Skellie
Erie County Planning	John Mong
U.S. Soil Conservation Service	Lew Steckler

---

---

WATERSHED PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
ELK CREEK WATERSHED

Elk Creek Township	Laurin Hill
Franklin Township	Herbert Netzler
Fairview Township	George McKinley
Girard Township	William Westerburg
Girard Borough	Michael J. McManus
Lake City Borough	Joseph Legnasky
McKean Township	Robert Weir
McKean Borough	Paul Hamme
Platea Borough	No Appointment
Summit Township	Richard Hessinger
Washington Township	Earl J. Koon
Waterford Township	William Brace
Erie County Conservation Dist.	LeRoy Gross
Erie County Planning	David Skellie
Erie County Planning	John Mong
U.S. Soil Conservation Service	Lew Steckler

---

The questionnaire is designed to solicit input from each municipality, relative to very specific problems in the watershed, as well as for the needs they may see for storm water management in their particular area. The questionnaire will be distributed, along with a summary of the purpose of Act 167 which includes an emphasis on Act 167 goals as they relate to this watershed. (An example of the questionnaire is included as Appendix A of this document.)

Because the most important part of the Act 167 planning process is the actual implementation of the plan, another consideration in utilizing this questionnaire strategy for the Lake Erie and Elk Creek Watersheds is the interest by the responding municipalities for the need and the desire to actively implement

stormwater management measures within their community. A summary of the stormwater related problems and the identification of properties affected by flooding incidences in each municipality is an important product of the Phase I study.

### Identified Problems in the Lake Erie Watershed

A summary of problems reported in the questionnaires for the Lake Erie Watershed is provided in Table 1.

TABLE 1  
LAKE ERIE WATERSHED  
SUMMARY OF REPORTED STORMWATER PROBLEMS (QUESTIONNAIRES)

<u>Municipality</u>	<u>Number of Problems</u>	<u>Number of Properties Affected</u>	<u>General Nature or Character of Problems</u>
Conneaut Township	0	0	No problems reported
Elk Creek Township	6	56	Excessive runoff, and undersized drainage system.
Erie City			Undersized drainage system, stream obstructions and uncontrolled runoff.
Fairview Borough	3	N/A	Excessive runoff, undersized drainage system, obstructions and lack of maintenance.
Fairview Township	5	12	No problems reported.
Girard Borough	0	0	No problems reported.
Girard Township	0	0	No problems reported.
Greene Township	1	3	Excessive runoff, undersized drainage system.
Greenfield Township	0	0	No problems reported.
Harborcreek Township	8	167	Excessive runoff, obstructions and lack of maintenance of drainage ways.
Lake City Borough	0	0	No problems reported.
Lawrence Park Township	2	N/A	Undersized drainage system, obstructions and lack of maintenance.
McKean Township	0	0	No problems reported.
Millcreek Township	8	135	Excessive runoff, undersized drainage system, obstructions and lack of maintenance.
North East Borough	2	10	Excessive runoff, undersized drainage system, obstructions and lack of maintenance.
North East Township	1	4	Undersized drainage system.

TABLE 1  
LAKE ERIE WATERSHED  
SUMMARY OF REPORTED STORMWATER PROBLEMS (QUESTIONNAIRES)  
(CONTINUED)

<u>Municipality</u>	<u>Number of Problems</u>	<u>Number of Properties Affected</u>	<u>General Nature or Character of Problems</u>
Platea Borough	1	N/A	Undersized drainage system.
Springfield Township	8	N/A	Excessive runoff.
Summit Township	0	0	No problems reported
Venango Township			
Wesleyville Borough			

Note: N/A = not available

A total of 45 storm water problems were reported by 11 of the municipalities which returned the municipal questionnaires. Reported causes of the problems included excessive runoff, undersized drainage systems, stream obstructions and a lack of maintenance of drainage ways. Five of the reported problems occur nearly every time it rains, 30 of the problems occur roughly between 1 and 10 times per year and the remainder of the problems occur infrequently in response to unusually large storm events.

The 1981 Plan contained a tabulation of identified problems which existed at the time the plan was prepared. This information is provided in Table 2 as a supplement to the information provided via the municipal questionnaires.

The pilot plan identified a total of 42 problems in 8 of the 20 municipalities in the watershed (40%). The predominant reported causes of these problems was flooding at culverts or bridges which is indicative of excessive runoff and/or undersized facilities. The occurrence of ice jams was also a frequently reported cause of stormwater drainage problems.

TABLE 2  
LAKE ERIE WATERSHED  
SUMMARY OF REPORTED STORMWATER PROBLEMS (1981 PLAN)

<u>Municipality</u>	<u>Number of Problems</u>	<u>Number of Properties Affected</u>	<u>General Nature or Character of Problems</u>
Conneaut Township	0	0	No problems reported.
Elk Creek Township	0	0	No problems reported.
Erie City	0	0	No problems reported.
Fairview Borough	5	N/A	Flooding at culverts or bridges.
Fairview Township	0	0	No problems reported.
Girard Borough	0	0	No problems reported.
Girard Township	1	N/A	Flooding at culverts or bridges.

TABLE 2  
LAKE ERIE WATERSHED  
SUMMARY OF REPORTED STORMWATER PROBLEMS (1981 PLAN)  
(CONTINUED)

<u>Municipality</u>	<u>Number of Problems</u>	<u>Number of Properties Affected</u>	<u>General Nature or Character of Problems</u>
Greene Township	4	N/A	Obstructions, erosion, inadequate surface drainage and flooding at culverts or bridges.
Greenfield Township	0	0	No problems reported.
Harborcreek Township	2	N/A	Overbank flooding and ice jams.
Lake City Borough	0	0	No problems reported.
Lawrence Park Township	1	N/A	Flooding at culverts or bridges.
McKean Township	0	0	No problems reported.
Millcreek Township	8	N/A	Flooding at culverts or bridges.
North East Borough	0	0	No problems reported.
North East Township	11	N/A	Flooding at culverts or bridges, ice jams and overbank flooding.
Platea Borough	0	0	No problems reported.
Springfield Township	0	0	No problems reported.
Summit Township	10	N/A	Flooding at culverts or bridges.
Venango Township	0	0	No problems reported.
Wesleyville Borough	0	0	No problems reported.

Note: N/A = not available

The overall evaluation of the questionnaire illustrates a very significant point. The results indicate that even though there are localized storm water problems, their number and frequency of occurrence appears to be relatively low. Therefore, the Lake Erie watershed will realize significant benefits during the planning period because of the Act 167 Plan, since storm water management will be initiated before there is a large number of major existing problems. Because there remains much room for growth and development in the Lake Erie Watershed (and because this growth is being projected with some degree of confidence) the Act 167 Watershed Storm Water Management Plan for this area may be an excellent example of a storm water impact "prevention" plan -- as is the true intent of Act 167.

As additional areas of the watershed will experience growth, the technical support basis for the standards and criteria developed in this initial planning project can be easily modified without invalidating the overall plan. The detailed description of the technical modeling activities, presented in the work step descriptions in the next section of this document, will provide more insight into the specific modeling approach to be used to accomplish this objective.

#### Identified Problems in the Elk Creek Watershed

A summary of problems reported in the questionnaires for the Elk Creek Watershed is provided in Table 3. Twenty stormwater drainage problems were identified in seven of the municipalities which responded to the questionnaire. The

predominant reported cause of the problems was excessive runoff, although inadequately sized drainage systems obstructions and a lack of maintenance of drainage ways were also reported. Four of the problems were reported to occur during nearly every significant rainfall event. Eight of the problems occur at a frequency of 1 to 10 times per year, while the remainder of the problems arise only during unusually large precipitation events.

The 1981 Plan contained a tabulation of identified problems which existed at the time the plan was prepared. This information is provided in Table 4 as a supplement to the information provided via the municipal questionnaires.

The pilot plan identified 41 problems in 9 of the 12 municipalities in the watershed (75%). The most frequently reported type of problem was flooding at culverts or bridges. This is indicative of excessive runoff and/or inadequately sized drainage facilities.

The overall evaluation of the questionnaires and pilot plan illustrates a very significant point. The results indicate that even though there are localized storm water problems, their number and frequency of occurrence appears to be relatively low. Therefore, the Elk Creek watershed will realize significant benefits during the planning period because of the Act 167 Plan, since storm water management will be initiated before there is a large number of major existing problems. Because there remains much room for growth and development in the Elk Creek Watershed the Act 167 Watershed Storm Water Management Plan for this area may be an excellent example of a storm water impact "prevention" plan -- as is the true intent of Act 167.

TABLE 3  
ELK CREEK WATERSHED  
SUMMARY OF REPORTED STORMWATER PROBLEMS (QUESTIONNAIRES)

<u>Municipality</u>	<u>Number of Problems</u>	<u>Number of Properties Affected</u>	<u>General Nature or Character of Problems</u>
Elk Creek Township	2	40	Undersized drainage system, obstructions and a lack of maintenance of drainage ways.
Fairview Township	3	26	Excessive runoff, undersized drainage systems, lack of maintenance, obstructions and erosion.
Franklin Township	4	5	None identified
Girard Borough	2	"Many"	Excessive runoff, undersized drainage system and a lack of maintenance of drainage ways.
Girard Township	0	0	No problems reported
Lake City Borough	3	N/A	Excessive runoff, undersized drainage system, obstructions and lack of maintenance of drainage ways.

TABLE 3  
ELK CREEK WATERSHED  
SUMMARY OF REPORTED STORMWATER PROBLEMS (QUESTIONNAIRES)  
(CONTINUED)

<u>Municipality</u>	<u>Number of Problems</u>	<u>Number of Properties Affected</u>	<u>General Nature or Character of Problems</u>
McKean Borough	2	13	Undersized drainage system, excessive runoff, undersized drainage systems, and obstructed drainage ways.
McKean Township	4	6	Undersized drainage systems, excessive runoff and narrow bridge abutments.
Platea Borough	0	0	No problems reported
Summit Township	0	0	No problems reported
Washington Township	0	0	No problems reported
Waterford Township	0	0	No problems reported

Note: N/A = not available

TABLE 4  
ELK CREEK WATERSHED  
SUMMARY OF REPORTED STORMWATER PROBLEMS (1981 PLAN)

<u>Municipality</u>	<u>Number of Problems</u>	<u>Number of Properties Affected</u>	<u>General Nature or Character of Problems</u>
Elk Creek Township	1	N/A	Flooding at culverts or bridges.
Fairview Township	1	N/A	Flooding at culverts or bridges.
Franklin Township	10	N/A	Flooding at culverts or bridges, low land flooding, and obstructions.
Girard Borough	0	0	No problems reported.
Girard Township	11	N/A	Flooding at culverts or bridges, erosion and ice jams.
Lake City Borough	7	N/A	Inadequate surface drainage and ice jams.
McKean Borough	5	N/A	Flooding at culverts or bridges.
McKean Township	0	0	No problems reported.
Platea Borough	1	N/A	Flooding at culverts or bridges.
Summit Township	0	0	No problems reported.
Washington Township	1	N/A	Obstructions
Waterford Township	4	N/A	Obstructions and flooding at culverts or bridges.

Note: N/A = not available

As additional areas of the watershed will experience growth, the technical support basis for the standards and criteria developed in this initial planning project can be easily modified without invalidating the overall plan. The detailed description of the technical modeling activities, presented in the work step descriptions in the next section of this document, will provide more insight into the specific modeling approach to be used to accomplish this objective.

#### **IV. PLAN PREPARATION STRATEGY**

The PLAN(S) will contain, at a minimum, the following items:

1. a survey of existing runoff characteristics in small as well as large storms, including the impact of soils, slopes, vegetation and existing development;
2. a survey of existing significant obstructions and their capacities;
3. an assessment of projected and alternative land development patterns in the watershed, and the potential impact of runoff quantity, velocity and quality;
4. an analysis of present and projected development in flood hazard areas, and its sensitivity to damages from future flooding or increased runoff;
5. a survey of existing drainage problems and proposed solutions;
6. a review of existing and proposed storm water collection systems and their impacts;
7. an assessment of alternative runoff control techniques and their efficiency in the particular watershed;
8. an identification of existing and proposed State, Federal and local flood control projects located in the watershed and their design capacities;
9. a designation of those areas to be served by storm water collection and control facilities within a ten year period, an estimate of the design capacity and costs of such facilities, a schedule and proposed methods of financing the development, construction and operation of such facilities, and an identification of the existing or proposed institutional arrangements to implement and operate the facilities;
10. an identification of flood plains within the watershed;
11. criteria and standards for the control of storm water runoff from existing and new development which are necessary to minimize dangers to property and life and carry out the purposes of this act;
12. priorities for implementation of action within each plan;
13. provisions for periodically reviewing, revising and updating the plan;
14. provisions as are reasonably necessary to manage storm water such that development or activities in each municipality within the watershed do not



adversely affect health, safety and property in other municipalities within the watershed and in basins to which the watershed is tributary; and

15. consideration for consistency with other existing municipal, county, regional and State environmental and land use plans.

The concept and approach presented in the previous discussions has been organized into a set of the following detailed tasks. These tasks include the above indicated 15 elements of the plan.

#### Task 1 - Project Initiation

This task covers the administrative work required to initiate the Agreement between the Department of Environmental Resources (DEPARTMENT) and the County, and to initiate selection of a Consultant and, upon selection, to initiate contracts between the County and the Consultant and to lay out a framework for the critical coordination aspect with the municipalities. Activities include defining the framework for accomplishing various elements of the PLAN as described in Tasks 2 to 11, scheduling of time and defining the budget, progress reporting procedures and formats, and finalizing the work schedule. It will also include the preparation for and holding of the Phase II start-up meeting between the DEPARTMENT, Erie County and the selected consultant.

##### Project Team Responsibilities

- Erie County Department of Planning -- responsible for overall administration of this task, including the finalizing of the Phase II Agreement with the DEPARTMENT and negotiating a contract with a consultant, the establishment of the project coordination roles and procedures, project scheduling and budget finalization, and the development of progress reporting procedures and formats.
- Consultant -- will perform a support role to the COUNTY and will attend all necessary project initiation and planning meetings. Consultant shall also finalize a detailed budget and schedule for technical and institutional planning.

##### Anticipated Task Product

The anticipated product of this task will be a written summary of the notes and/or minutes of project initiation meetings. In addition, the finalized project work program, along with the associated budget and schedule, will be documented for review by the DEPARTMENT and for use as a project management guide. A project correspondence file will also be developed and organized and will be maintained throughout the total project duration.

#### Task 2 - Project Coordination/Public Participation Through the Watershed Plan Advisory Committee

Coordination efforts and/or activities will continue throughout the duration of the project and will be organized to include the necessary meetings with the County and consultant (anticipated to consist of one on-site progress and/or coordination meeting between the COUNTY and consultant per month for the duration of the project.) A WPAC was established in accordance with Section 6 of the Act. The

details of the WPAC including membership is included as Appendix D. Coordination efforts will also involve the reactivation of the Watershed Plan Advisory Committee (WPAC), notification to the members of the WPAC concerning meetings, preparation of Agenda and attendance record at the WPAC meetings. The WPAC membership list will consist of designated members from each of the municipalities. The purpose of the WPAC meetings will be to initiate the important and necessary municipal education process and data gathering efforts, including the preparation and distribution of the questionnaire for technical and institutional data. Additionally, the advisory role of the committee during the development of the plan is vital to the ultimate adoption and implementation processes. These meetings, being held at reasonable time intervals during Phase II, will promote the necessary involvement of the advisory group by contributing to the successful completion of this Act 167 effort in terms of the municipalities support and assistance in the adoption/implementation efforts. Included within the overall public participation program for the project will be the various education and training meetings for the WPAC to maintain critical municipal involvement and understanding of the project. The following describes proposed WPAC and municipal training meetings and public hearing schedules including the purpose of each meeting.

<u>WPAC Meeting Number</u>	<u>Purpose of Meeting</u>	<u>Meeting Schedule</u>
1	Phase II Start-up Meeting - Introduce the municipalities to the Phase II planning process and establish the degree of critical municipal involvement needed throughout the study. Present the data collection questionnaire and request assistance in gathering the required information.	Beginning of the Project
2	To review the project status, the data questionnaire results and to solicit any additional comments/concerns from municipalities with respect to watershed data items.	Subsequent to Task 3 (Data Collection)

<u>WPAC Meeting Number</u>	<u>Purpose of Meeting</u>	<u>Meeting Schedule</u>
3	Institutional data review and analysis meeting - to review final ordinance matrix and introduce methods to incorporate plan provisions into existing ordinances. Also provide and discuss the basic DEPARTMENT model ordinance to begin to illustrate the kinds of changes the municipalities may have to make as a result of the Act 167 plan.	Subsequent to Task 4 (Institutional Data Preparation)
4	Present technical modeling results and identify/describe the storm runoff problems in the watershed, as well as the "cause and effect" analysis.	Subsequent to Task 7 (Model Runs)
5	Present technical standards and criteria for the overall watershed and preliminary ordinance provisions for the municipalities (general and overall).	Subsequent to Task 8 (Development of Standards and Criteria)
Training Session	Present a day-long discussion of standards and criteria implementation, discuss municipal actions necessary to comply with the plan, present municipal engineer procedures recommended by the plan.	Subsequent to Task 10 (Final Preparation)
Public Hearing	Conduct the hearing required by Act 167 to present the final PLAN to the public.	

<u>WPAC Meeting Number</u>	<u>Purpose of Meeting</u>	<u>Meeting Schedule</u>
Individual Municipal Follow-up Meetings	Individual municipal meetings (with manager and engineer) to discuss specific application of the standards and criteria as well as recommended municipal ordinance provisions. Intensive question and answer session anticipated.	Following Plan Adoption

#### Project Team Responsibilities

- County will be responsible for the development of the coordination strategy for the overall project team (including documentation/reporting responsibilities for the WPAC and DEPARTMENT). Also will be responsible for identifying and finalizing the WPAC members, as well as for the necessary arrangements to hold the WPAC meetings, and reproducing necessary material.
- Consultant - responsible for supporting the County in developing project coordination procedures and for providing guidance and input in the formation of the WPAC. Responsible for the preparation of WPAC meeting agendas and technical presentations (including graphics).

#### Anticipated Task Product

The product of this task will include correspondence and meeting notes/minutes from the WPAC meetings. In addition, the presentation materials prepared for the WPAC meetings will also constitute a defined product of this project.

#### Task 3 - Data Collection/Review/Analysis

This task will involve the necessary efforts to gather, review and analyze the required data to complete the technical and institutional planning steps for the Lake Erie and Elk Creek Act 167 Watershed Storm Water Management Plan(s). The consultant and the county planning agencies will work jointly to collect data from county offices, municipalities, and local, state, and federal agencies that will aid in preparation of the PLAN. The data will consist of information concerning existing and future conditions in the watershed(s). All data collection activities will be limited to the gathering of available information from either the agencies that will be contacted or, more importantly, on the basis of the data collection questionnaire that will be provided to the municipalities (as well as selected agencies and organizations).

Data to be collected will include, but may not be limited to (and will be based on available information and/or questionnaire results):

- Comprehensive land use plans
- Existing Municipal ordinances
- Storm water related problems and proposed solutions
- Existing and proposed flood control projects
- Existing and proposed storm water control facilities
- Existing and proposed storm water collection and control facilities, including a designation of those areas to be served by storm water collection and control facilities within a 10-year period, an estimate of the design capacity and costs of such facilities, a schedule and proposed methods of financing the development, construction, and operation of such facilities and the identification of the existing or proposed institutional arrangements to implement and operate the facilities, where this information is readily available.
- Soils
- Geology
- Flow obstructions
- Topographic mapping
- High Altitude Aerial photographs
- Engineering and planning studies
- Stream flow data
- Floodplain information
- Water quality data

Additionally, necessary field investigations will be accomplished to gather an/or confirm the data. This task effort will also coordinate closely with the start-up WPAC meeting, which will involve the distribution of data questionnaires to the municipalities. In addition, a discussion of procedures to be followed in the completion of the questionnaires will be provided at the initial WPAC meeting.

This task also involves the review and preliminary analysis of the technical data that has been obtained for consistency and usability in the development of the final product -- i.e., the development of technical standards and criteria for storm water management. It also includes the review of the institutional data collected through the municipal data questionnaire process for

consistency and usability in the final implementation plan. The analysis will, however, involve the identification of initially missing data and verify the availability to gather the missing items. Floodplain information will be gathered for areas where detailed Flood Insurance Studies (F.I.S.) are available, with alternate sources being explored for stream sections that do not have detailed F.I.S.'s. Municipalities which have detailed control engineering plans for proposed remedial measures are available from municipality, County or private agencies. A summary analysis and evaluation of those plans will be included in the storm water management plan. Where detailed plans are not available, preliminary recommendations relating to such measures will be provided.

#### Project Team Responsibility

- COUNTY - responsible for the distribution and initial training associated with the municipal data questionnaire.
- Consultant - responsible for assisting COUNTY with the preparation of municipal data questionnaires. Shall provide support for the actual data gathering and organizing efforts, as well as the preliminary review for consistency and content. Responsible for the review of gathered and organized data and the acceptability of the data, as well as for the preparation of a missing data list. Final responsibilities also include the final determination of data usability for the completion of the necessary technical and institutional planning efforts, as well as for providing input and/or alternatives for the collection of missing data.

#### Anticipated Task Product

The product of this task will essentially include the information listed above, gathered and organized in such a way as to be usable for both short- and long-term watershed planning (including updates). A final data summary will be prepared that will identify and/or catalogue the collected data.

#### Task 4 - Institutional Data Preparation

This task involves the detailed evaluation of the municipal ordinances (gathered during the Task 3 data collection efforts) in order to prepare a municipal ordinance comparison matrix. This matrix is intended to display, for both the actual preparation of the implementation plan and also for the municipal education process, the current storm water management provisions in the various municipal ordinances for all watershed municipalities. The objective of the matrix is to easily and effectively see the similarities and differences, as well as the consistency/inconsistency, between the various municipal ordinances in the watershed. The matrix will be used, in the institutional analysis task (Task 9) to develop ordinance provision recommendations for the various municipalities that are based on the storm water management standards and criteria (identified in the technical plan) for the watershed. This matrix then serves the long-term implementation efforts by providing a convenient reference for the COUNTY to evaluate the individual changes to the various municipal ordinances that will be required as

a part of the Act 167 watershed plan adoption process. Appendix B shows an example of a municipal ordinance matrix.

#### Project Team Responsibilities

- COUNTY - responsible for providing insight and guidance to the consultant in the preparation of the municipal ordinance matrix.
- Consultant - responsible for the preparation of the municipal ordinance provision matrix. Also responsible for the review of the completed municipal ordinance provisions matrix for consistency with the needs of the Task 9 institutional analysis and implementation plan development efforts.

#### Anticipated Task Product

The product of this task will be a completed matrix of storm water management ordinance provisions for the watershed municipalities which identify the current status of ordinance provisions as they relate to storm water management.

#### Task 5 - Data Preparation for Technical Analysis

This task involves the engineering work necessary to transform the raw information collected in Task 3 into a form/format that can be directly used for the later technical tasks in the overall planning program. A primary effort to be conducted as a part of this task is the preparation of the "land characteristics" information for modeling purposes. That is, this task effort includes the necessary map preparation efforts to develop land use, soils, and slope maps for modeling.

Data collection and preparation will be coordinated with the County's on-going GIS development program. Data acquisition and archival methods will be selected and completed so as to produce a geographic data set which is compatible with the County's system. Prior to the collection of data, the data set contained in the County's system will be reviewed to identify and access relevant information and avoid duplication of effort. At the present time, it is anticipated that the map preparation effort will involve the following:

- Land Use/Land Cover Information - land use/land cover information will be derived from Landsat Thematic Mapper, National High Altitude Program (NHAP) imagery. Land use/land cover throughout the watershed will be classified into categories corresponding to those for which the U. S. Soil Conservation Service has developed rainfall/runoff relationships. The classified data will be processed using Arc/INFO<sup>tm</sup> and ERDAS<sup>tm</sup> Geographic Information Systems (GIS) analysis packages. The various land use/land cover types existing throughout the watershed will be presented on a basemap.
- Future Land Use Conditions - the existing land development conditions (classified imagery) will be updated to also separately illustrate those areas that are projected for development within a 10-year planning period. These future land development areas will be separately and

individually indicated on the base map for the purposes of future conditions modeling and planning.

- Soils Information - the County Soils Survey maps will be modified and/or prepared to illustrate SCS hydrologic soils groups instead of individual soil types. These data will be digitized and incorporated into the GIS database to facilitate the cross referencing of land cover/soil group intersections required to determine rainfall/runoff relationships based upon U. S. Soil Conservation procedures.
- Slope Information - U. S. Geological Survey Digital Elevation Models (DEM) for the required 7.5 minute quadrangles will be obtained and incorporated into the Lake Erie and Elk Creek GIS database. This data will be used to assign slope category information to subareas for which detailed modeling will be completed.

#### Delineation of Subwatersheds

The watershed and subwatersheds will be delineated by the consultant on a base map at a scale of 1 inch equals 2,000 feet. Subwatersheds will be established based on the office data and results of the field reconnaissance task. This breakdown of the watershed by major tributary drainage courses and points-of-interest will be the basis for the hydrologic and hydraulic analysis.

The subwatersheds will be further delineated to subareas based on the following:

- the location of existing problems, as identified by local officials in the municipal survey, during the field reconnaissance, or from data previously compiled in any previous studies such as water quality monitoring programs,
- the location of major obstructions (primarily bridges), highway culverts, or storm water control facilities,
- confluence points of tributaries, as deemed appropriate based on engineering judgement and good modeling practice, and
- other points of interest, such as stream gaging or water quality monitoring stations, locations of water quality concerns, or outfall sections downstream of existing developments or where development could be anticipated to occur.

This task will also include the mapping of relevant watershed planning information onto a final watershed map. This mapped information will be selected from the data identified and collected in the Task 3 effort, including:

- floodplain areas - which will involve the indication on the mapping of those areas for which detailed flood insurance studies are available, as well as an indication of those areas and/or sections of streams for which such detailed information is not currently available.



- significant obstructions and their capacities - where "significant" obstructions will be those that are identified in the municipal data questionnaires and which are confirmed by the consultant as being areas where insufficient capacity exists for the necessary storm flows.
- storm sewer systems - for significant system components: areas where storm sewerage exists will be indicated generally on the final watershed base map.
- existing state, federal and local flood protection and storm water management facilities.
- proposed storm water facilities within the 10-year planning period - where known and confirmed by the municipalities through the municipal data questionnaire process.
- storm water related "problems" - where indicated in the municipal data questionnaire and where confirmed by technical modeling/analysis (for example, water quality problems in the Lake Erie and Elk Creek Watersheds).

#### Project Team Responsibilities

- COUNTY - responsible for final review of technical mapping information prepared by the consultant and input into the delineation of future development areas.
- Consultant - responsible for review, analysis, and preparation of the catalogued municipal data questionnaire information to help identify the data, as described above, that will be included on the various maps. Also responsible for actual map and GIS preparation work described above and the development of watershed base maps for use in both the technical planning process as well as final plan presentation.

#### Anticipated Task Product

The product of this task will be the completed watershed maps for the information presented above. The maps completed for this task will, however, be preliminary mapping that can and/or will be modified and finalized as a part of the final plan preparation efforts.

#### Task 6 - Model Selection and Model Set Up

This task involves the selection and preparation of a hydrologic model appropriate for the analysis of the existing and projected land characteristics of the watershed. Technical input data required to run the model (in addition to land characteristics) will also be prepared such as rainfall information, drainage system layouts and capacities, travel times of subareas and information on man-made impoundments.

Hydrologic models currently in use include, but are not limited to, the Penn State Runoff Model PSRM, HEC-1 (Corps of Engineers), TR-20 (SCS) and SWMM.

The selected model will be applicable to the size, land use and geological characteristics of the watershed and will be able to accurately and reliably simulate the runoff characteristics for design storms of various frequencies and durations to produce routable hydrographs that can easily be combined. Planned investigations of the Mill Creek Tube will include the development of hydrologic / water quality model(s). During the selection of the model for use in the preparation of the Lake Erie Watershed Plan, consideration will be given to the potential for coordinating the model used with the model(s) used in the Mill Creek Tube study. However, the primary factors to be used in model selection will be usefulness in the development of the required standards and criteria and the economics of the use of the model in the preparation of watershed plans throughout Erie County.

#### Project Team Responsibilities

- COUNTY - responsible for general support of consultant's efforts in the model selection and development of the necessary model input data.
- Consultant - primary responsibility for the model selection and development and/or preparation of the model input data.

#### Anticipated Task Product

The product of this task will be a selected model along with the required hydrologic and hydraulic parameters for the Lake Erie and Elk Creek Watersheds.

#### Task 7 - Basic Model Runs

##### Model Calibration, Runs for Selected Frequency Storms and Interpretation of Results

This task utilizes the material prepared in Task 5 and organized in Task 6, in order to actually run the model and develop watershed-level storm runoff characteristics for six selected frequency design storms (i.e., the mean annual, 5-, 10-, 25-, 50-, and 100-year storm events with various durations) and two land use scenarios (existing and future conditions). This task also includes the necessary efforts to calibrate and verify the model for the Lake Erie and Elk Creek Watersheds. This calibration effort will utilize any available prior watershed hydrologic results (for example, completed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers) to verify the predicted response to rainfall events.

It is anticipated that watershed specific concurrent rainfall and stream flow records will be available for portions of the Lake Erie and Elk Creek Watersheds. These data will be used to "test" the accuracy of the model by making model runs. In the event that these data prove to be unusable or unavailable, data from neighboring similar watersheds will be used to assess the calibration of the Lake Erie and Elk Creek Watersheds model. If for some reason neither of these approaches proves to be feasible, a regression

type approach (such as using PennDOT's PSU IV method) will be used to generally corroborate the model for the watershed. The calibration effort will result in the fine tuning of the watershed modeling input data parameters so that the required design storm modeling efforts can be initiated with confidence.

Subsequent to calibration of the model, the consultant will run the model for the mean annual, as well as the 5-, 10-, 25-, 50- and 100-year storm events with various duration (which depend on time of concentrations). The anticipated evaluation of the results will consider the peak flow rates, as well as flood conditions in the stream for the various storms. This evaluation will be judgmental based on detailed HEC-II analysis of the creek and tributaries if available. If HEC-II results are not available, no effort will be made to perform a HEC-II analysis and the required evaluation will be solely judgmental. Existing capacities of the obstructions on the stream and other downstream locations will also be used to identify storm runoff problem areas for use in developing the technical standards and criteria for storm water management in Task 8.

This analysis will also involve determination of the required design storm. The selection of a watershed design storm, for use in the standards and criteria, will be based on an evaluation of the watershed hydrologic response for the six design storms to be evaluated. Downstream impacts for the various design storms will be evaluated to determine what level of control (e.g., 100-year versus 10-year) will provide cost-effective management of projected downstream areas. For example, it would not be reasonable to select the 100-year storm for the standards and criteria if current (or anticipated) floodplain management and/or zoning requirements in the municipalities would address 100-year runoff impacts in floodplain areas. The selected design storm will also consider the results of the institutional analysis of current procedures in the municipalities.

The two land use scenarios to be evaluated for this Act 167 watershed plan include: (1) existing land use, as identified from the most current mapping for the watershed with modifications based upon municipal input concerning recent land development projects; and (2) future land use that will be projected to occur within an approximate planning time frame of ten years.

Unless the combined sewer and storm sewer discharge investigations planned to be performed by the City of Erie warrant a different approach, the particular methodology to be used is based on commonly accepted procedures for storm water pollution (or "Nonpoint Source" pollution) analysis. These procedures are based on the identification of "pollution wash off potential" for the watershed given the types and extent of land use characteristics. That is, given the land cover conditions are necessary data for modeling, these data can also be used to identify the pollution wash off potential for the watershed.

"Best Management Practices" or BMP's will be recommended based on the results of this preliminary assessment for those areas found to be of significant impact potential. These recommendations will be included as part of the final plan contents. Any relevant recommendations produced by the City of Erie's combined sewer and separate storm sewer discharge investigations will be included in the Plan as consistent with the intent of Act 167.

These recommendations will include storm water quality management components for the technical Standards and Criteria. These water quality provisions will then also be included in the ultimate municipal ordinance provisions for implementation.

#### Project Team Responsibilities

- COUNTY - responsible for support of consultant in the technical activities.
- Consultant - responsible for the actual modeling and analysis/interpretation efforts to be conducted as a part of this task.

#### Anticipated Task Product

The product of this task will be the charts, tables and graphs developed to present the modeling results, and the technical interpretation of the modeling results.

#### Task 7A - Expanded Non-Point Source Pollution Modeling

Task 7, as described previously, represents a basic assessment of non-point source pollution potential and a general listing of recognized best management practices. This Task 7A will consist of a more extensive analysis of non-point source pollution potentials within the watersheds under current and future land use conditions. Task 7A will also generate estimates of the potential impact of the implementation of best management practices on non-point source pollutant loadings on Lake Erie. This will support a rational assessment of the importance of implementing such practices and their effects.

Unless the progress, results and findings of on-going non-point source pollution investigations being conducted by the City of Erie and the Erie County Conservation District warrant a different approach, non-point source pollution modeling will be accomplished using the United States Environmental Protection Agency's SWMM model. The SWMM model will be used in its continuous simulation mode to produce estimates of "typical" annual pollutant loads discharged to Lake Erie from the Lake Erie and/or Elk Creek watersheds under three conditions: 1) existing land use, 2) future land use with no non-point source pollution controls and 3) future land use with best practical management practices applied to new land development.

Task 7A will include the following principal work elements:

- Conversion of the watershed geometric and physical features dataset developed and used in Tasks 5, 6 and 7 for use in the SWMM model.
- Analysis of long term rainfall records, selection of a representative "typical" year from the standpoint of precipitation.
- Review of the literature and data developed locally followed by the selection of appropriate SWMM water quality input

parameters for selected pollutants. The selection of pollutants to be modeled will be made based upon discussions with Planning Department and Conservation District personnel in consideration of the degree of concern related to potential pollutants and the existence of documented accumulation and wash off parameters suitable for use in the model.

- Assembly and running of the SWMM model for current, future "unmanaged" and future "best management practice controlled" conditions.
- Compilation, assembly and review of the findings of the modeling effort relative to the estimated impact of land development and the implementation of best management practices controls on annual non-point source pollutant loads generated within each watershed.

#### Project Team Responsibilities

- COUNTY - responsible for support of consultant in the technical activities.
- Consultant - responsible for the actual modeling and analysis/interpretation efforts to be conducted as a part of this task.

#### Anticipated Task Product

The product of this task will be the charts, tables and graphs developed to present the modeling results, and the technical interpretation of the modeling results.

#### Task 8 - Develop Technical Standards and Criteria

This task will involve the detailed evaluation of modeling results to perform a problem identification analysis (i.e., a "cause and effect" analysis). This analysis will concentrate on identifying the downstream storm runoff impacts of projected future land development projects. This evaluation will obviously consider both the increase in current downstream storm runoff problems, as well as new downstream runoff problems.

This work step also consists of performing a technical evaluation of the hydrologic analysis for existing and ultimate land use conditions and recommending standards and criteria to regulate development activity which impacts storm water runoff. This task also involves performing a release rate (or other similar) analysis and a preliminary distributed storage analysis, and developing criteria and standards for proposer control for storm water for new developments. Recommended standards and criteria will accommodate each land development activity, which may range from small lot sizes such as single family dwellings to large commercial or institutional complexes such as shopping malls, schools, hospitals, industrial parks, landfills, etc. The standards and criteria will provide for the application of management practices for the implementation of storm water control measures.

The standards and criteria will address the following:

- a. identification of all areas within the watershed where different criteria apply;
- b. recommended release rate percentages (if applicable) or other levels of control to accelerated runoff from the subareas identified in item a;
- c. recommended design flood frequencies and computational methodologies for storm water management measures;
- d. a list of recommended alternate storm water collection and control measures;
- e. specifications for construction and maintenance of storm water systems (if applicable);
- f. safety requirements for storm water systems during and after construction;
- g. water quality management steps and/or actions which are applicable to this watershed. An evaluation will be completed which will project potential storm runoff quality impacts and recommendations will be identified for proper management of these potential storm quality impacts.

The recommendations will be presented in layman's language, keeping in mind that they are directed toward the local municipalities and are to address solutions to storm water management needs and will be read and interpreted by technical as well as non-technical people. The technical standards and criteria developed as a part of this task will be watershed-wide in their interpretation and/or application.

#### Project Team Responsibilities

- COUNTY - responsible for support in preparation of the technical standards and criteria. Also responsible for the detailed review of the standards and criteria project memorandum, and the submission to the consultant of questions and concerns for resolution prior to the completion of the final Act 167 plan (Task 11).
- Consultant - responsible for the actual technical evaluation/analysis to be completed for the development of technical standards and criteria as a part of this task.

#### Anticipated Task Product

The product of this task will be the definition of the technical standards and criteria used in the PLAN.

### Task 9 - Institutional Analysis

This task involves the detailed review of ordinances for each individual municipality in the watersheds using the ordinance provisions matrix completed as a part of Task 4. The evaluation of the municipal ordinance matrix will be conducted using the results of Task 8 (i.e. the development of the technical standards and criteria). Essentially, this task effort will involve the identification of the necessary ordinance provisions for each watershed municipality that will be required to be instituted in order to effectively comply with the technical standards and criteria developed in Task 8.

In addition, this review and analysis will include the identification of appropriate legal and/or financial alternatives that can be used, under the proposed PLAN, for storm water management. Also included as a part of this task will be the evaluation of intermunicipal arrangements for watershed level storm water management, including those that would be necessary to implement a regional storm water management concept (e.g. the distributed storage concept).

The evaluation for this task will include the preparation of ordinance provisions for single or multi-purpose ordinances, as selected by the County with appropriate municipal involvement, in order to again effectively implement the technical standards and criteria for storm water management in this watershed.

#### Project Team Responsibilities

- COUNTY - responsible for support of the consultant in the development and evaluation of institutional, legal and fiscal alternatives. An important role will be in the description of local institutional capabilities and the delineation of future roles in storm water management activities. The County will have final responsibility for the approval of model ordinance provisions for each municipality to be included in the final implementation plan for the watersheds.
- Consultant responsible for the completion of the ordinance review activities as part of this task. Also responsible for the development of the legal and financial alternatives for storm water management. Additional responsibilities exist for the evaluation and identification of intermunicipal arrangements for watershed level stormwater management in the watersheds. The consultant will also be responsible for providing the necessary coordination between selected ordinance provisions and the technical standards and criteria developed in Task 9.

#### Anticipated Task Product

The product of this task will be the identification of necessary recommended municipal ordinance provisions.

### Task 10 - Plan Report Preparation

The consultant with COUNTY support will compile various components of the PLAN. Each component of each previous task will be directly included, or at least referred to in the plan. In this way the plan shall contain such provisions as are reasonably necessary to manage storm water such that storm runoff from development or activities in each municipality within the watersheds shall not adversely affect health, safety and property in other municipalities within the watersheds and in basins to which the watersheds are tributary. In addition, the plan shall consider and be consistent with other existing municipal, county, regional and state environmental and land use plans. The PLAN shall include the following:

- A description of the hydrologic characteristics of the watershed, the present and future land uses and their impacts on runoff, storm water collection systems and their impacts on runoff, the available runoff control techniques and their efficiencies in the watershed, a list of signification obstructions and a justification of their classification and available floodplain information. The available floodplain information will either be included in the plan or their sources referenced;
- Based upon the results of the watershed modeling, the technical evaluation resulting in the criteria and standards governing the use of storm water management controls throughout the watersheds. An important aspect of the technical components of the plan will be the delineation of areas which should (and areas that should not) use storm water detention to reduce peak flows. This determination will be made based upon an evaluation of subarea contributions to peak flows at the identified critical drainage points throughout the entire watersheds. Each of the storm water management alternatives as discussed earlier will be incorporated in the plan as appropriate, based upon the modeling results. Peak discharge tables will be compiled for the critical drainage points from the computer runs involved in the modeling effort;
- The tables for the rainfall depths for various frequency durations which are computed as part of the hydrologic modeling;
- Recommendations for solutions to the existing drainage problems (since the Act 167 is not intended to solve existing problems, but to prevent their aggravation and also prevent other future problems, these recommendations for solutions to existing problems that are found to be relevant to the PLAN will only be conceptual in nature indicating the type of approach needed and intermunicipal cooperation issues);
- Recommendations for new drainage facilities to prevent future problems due to new development, and a discussion regarding intermunicipal arrangements for funding the projects will also be discussed.
- A model storm water management ordinance, a list of priorities for implementation and a list of recommendations. Recommended actions will be listed according to the agency, municipality or individual responsible for each action. Priority for actions will be based upon



chronological order, importance, hydrologic significance or other appropriate factors. Also included will be a formal process of reviewing the storm drainage elements of subdivision plans against the performance standards of the PLAN, and the procedures for updating the PLAN at least every five years.

The preliminary outline for the PLAN is as follows:

- Section I     Introduction
- Section II    Act 167 Watershed Level Storm Water Management Planning and Implementation
- Section III   Watershed Characteristics
  - Present Land Use
  - Projected Land Developments
  - Significant Obstructions
  - Floodplain and Drainage Problems
  - Storm Water and Flood Management Systems
- Section IV    Watershed Technical Analysis - Modeling
  - Land Development Impacts on Storm Runoff
  - Expanded Non-Point Source Pollution Study
- Section V     Technical Standards and Criteria for Control of Storm Water Runoff Modeling Results Interpretation
- Section VI    Runoff Control Techniques and Their Efficiencies
- Section VII   Existing Municipal Ordinance Information
- Section VIII   Institutional Plan - Development of Model Storm Water Ordinance Provisions
- Section IX    Priorities for Implementation of Technical Standards and Criteria
- Section X     Plan Review Adoption and Updating Procedures

PLATES:

- a base map showing the watershed delineation and political subdivisions, roadway network and the location as referenced to the County
- watershed subareas used for hydrologic analysis (including release rate percentage table, if applicable)
- stream obstructions, flooding and drainage problem areas, and streams for which 100-year floodplain information is available.

- areas where storm sewer networks exist (if available)
- additional information as determined by the County

#### TABLES:

- runoff characteristics of the watershed
- rainfall values for various frequency durations
- peak flow values at points of interest for mean annual, 5-, 10-, 25-, 50- and 100-year storm events for various durations, and for present and future conditions
- results of the flood damage analysis (if available)
- subareas and corresponding release rate percent, if applicable

#### APPENDICES:

- a list (or table) of all obstructions including their locations, sizes, calculated capacities and any particular information which may seem helpful to the use of the plan
- recommended design storm
- any special information concerning detention/retention basins

All backup material including hydrologic and hydraulic analyses of the watershed will be retained at the COUNTY office for future use during the future plan update or any other reference.

#### Project Team Responsibilities

- COUNTY -- to provide a support role for the preparation of text material associated with those sections, or aspects of the work program (i.e., Tasks 1 through 9) for which the consultant had primary responsibility. Finally, responsible for the overall review and approval of the preparation of the PLAN document, with the identification of revisions and modifications to be reviewed and addressed by the Consultant.
- Consultant -- responsible for the coordination and preparation of the overall report for the Phase II project. Also responsible for the preparation of project maps and the technical results tables and charts for presentation in the final PLAN document.

#### Anticipated Task Product

The product of this task will be final Lake Erie and Elk Creek Watershed PLAN(S). The final PLAN(S) will be prepared in two parts a Volume I -- Executive Summary and a Volume II -- a document containing the full text and descriptions of the various PLAN contents as described above.

## Task 11 - Priorities for Implementation, PLAN Update, PLAN Adoption

### Priorities for Implementation

The consultant will summarize the conclusions and recommendations of the PLAN. Recommended actions will be listed according to agency, municipality or individual responsible for each action. Priority of recommended actions will be based on chronological order, importance, hydrologic significance or other factors as may be appropriate.

### Plan Update

As a part of the implementation strategy for the PLAN, specific steps and/or procedures will be established for pursuing and completing the necessary updates of the PLAN as required by Act 167. Specific circumstances will be identified and described in the PLAN document that will "trigger" a decision to update the plan, and this will certainly be the case for the required 5-year update. For example, land development circumstances (such as major changes in the type and/or amount of proposed land development, and certainly in excess of that which was assumed for the preparation of the original PLAN) will be identified as reasons for pursuing an update of the PLAN prior to the required 5-year time frame identified in Act 167.

### Plan Adoption

The COUNTY will transmit the completed PLAN to the official planning agency and governing body of each involved municipality, each member of the WPAC and the DEPARTMENT by official correspondence. The involved municipalities, WPAC and DEPARTMENT will then review the PLAN. Their review will include an evaluation of the PLAN'S consistency with other plans and programs affecting the watershed. The review and comments will be submitted to the COUNTY by official correspondence. The review comments will be received, tabulated, and responded appropriately and the PLAN will be revised accordingly.

Prior to PLAN adoption, a final meeting will be held with municipalities; to identify specific ordinance changes and method(s) of incorporation of the standards and criteria into municipalities' existing ordinance framework. In addition, the meeting(s) can also serve to provide clarification of any remaining questions or concerns that municipalities may have concerning the implementation of the PLAN for any municipality.

The COUNTY will hold a public hearing concerning the PLAN. A notice for the public hearing will be published at least two weeks before the hearing date. The public hearing notice will contain a brief summary of the principal provisions of the PLAN and a reference to the places within each affected municipality where copies of the PLAN may be examined or purchased at cost. The comments received at the public hearing will be reviewed by the COUNTY and appropriate modifications in the PLAN will be made if applicable.

The PLAN will be voted as a resolution by Erie County Council for the purpose of adoption. The resolution will have to be carried by an affirmative

vote of at least a majority of the members of the governing body, and should refer expressly to the maps, charts, textual matter and other materials intended to comprise the PLAN. This action will then be recorded on the adopted PLAN.

The County will then submit to the DEPARTMENT a letter of transmittal, and three copies each of the adopted PLAN, the review by the official planning agency and governing body of each municipality, County Planning Commission regional planning agencies (Section 6 (c) of Act 167), public hearing notice and minutes (Section 8 (a) of Act 167), and the resolution of adoption of the PLAN by the COUNTY (Section 8 (b) of Act 167). The letter of transmittal will state that the COUNTY had complied with all procedures outlined in Act 167 and will request DEPARTMENT to approve the adopted PLAN.

#### Project Team Responsibilities

- COUNTY -- primary responsibility for the adoption activities described above, and will also assist the consultant concerning establishment of priorities for implementation of actions identified in the PLAN and update schedule.
- Consultant -- responsible for providing support and guidance to COUNTY during PLAN adoption process and will establish priorities for implementation of actions identified in the PLAN and set update schedule with the help of COUNTY.

#### Anticipated Task Product

The product of this task will include the official documentation regarding the PLAN adoption and implementation process, including the necessary documentation from the COUNTY certifying the adoption of the PLAN, and the adopted PLAN together with a listing of priorities for implementation and a schedule for plan updating.

### **V. LEVEL OF EFFORT AND COST ESTIMATE**

The previous eleven (11) work steps were further broken down into subtasks to analyze the required level of effort, both by the County and the consultant to complete each work step. The estimated staff time for each project team member for each subtask are presented in this section. The cost estimates presented in Tables 5, 6 and 7 (contained on pages 42 through 47) reflect the specific work step descriptions presented in Section IV, including the use of the particular procedures and methodologies that are presented.

The consultant will provide services and guidance to the County for all the work tasks at a level of staff involvement consistent with Tables 5, 6 and 7. These tables list the breakdown of the estimated level of effort for the work program described herein, based on and including the items identified in the task descriptions.

The cost estimates summarized in Tables 5 and 6 represent estimates associated with preparing the Lake Erie (Table 5) and Elk Creek (Table 6) Plans separately and at different times. The estimates presented in Table 7 anticipate the

preparation of a single Plan encompassing both the Lake Erie and Elk Creek Watershed.

Summaries of the estimated costs to complete the Lake Erie and Elk Creek Watershed Storm Water Management Plan(s) allocated between the consultant and the County are presented in Tables 8, 9 and 10. Table 8 (Lake Erie) and Table 9 (Elk Creek) represent the separate completion of Plans for the two watersheds and summarize the information presented previously in Tables 5 and 6, respectively. Table 10 summarizes the costs associated with preparing a single plan for the two watersheds (as detailed in Table 7). A further breakdown of the cost estimates is provided in Appendix C.

As the data presented in Tables 7 through 10 indicate, it would be much more economical to complete the watershed planning for the Lake Erie and Elk Creek Watersheds simultaneously. The total cost for completing the Phase II planning for the two watersheds individually at different times is \$607,242. The estimated cost for completing the necessary planning for both watersheds simultaneously in a single plan document is \$408,318. This large cost differential is attributable primarily to the avoidance of a duplication of effort.

---

Table 8  
Summary of Estimated Phase II Costs  
Lake Erie Watershed

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Number Hours</u>	<u>Labor Cost</u>	<u>Direct Cost</u>	<u>Total Cost</u>
Erie County	1,678	\$ 55,462	\$ 1,395	\$ 56,857
Consultant	5,020	\$ 266,985	\$ 32,947	\$ 299,932
TOTAL	6,698	\$ 322,447	\$ 34,492	\$ 356,939

---



---

Table 9  
Summary of Estimated Phase II Costs  
Elk Creek Watershed

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Number Hours</u>	<u>Labor Cost</u>	<u>Direct Cost</u>	<u>Total Cost</u>
Erie County	1,615	\$ 53,320	\$ 1,270	\$ 54,590
Consultant	4,446	\$ 229,626	\$ 29,087	\$ 258,713
TOTAL	6,061	\$ 282,946	\$ 30,357	\$ 313,303

---

Table 10  
Summary of Estimated Phase II Costs  
Lake Erie and Elk Creek Watersheds

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Number Hours</u>	<u>Labor Cost</u>	<u>Direct Cost</u>	<u>Total Cost</u>
Erie County	1,700	\$ 56,234	\$ 1,370	\$ 57,604
Consultant	5,816	\$ 318,527	\$ 32,187	\$ 350,714
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>7,516</b>	<b>\$ 374,761</b>	<b>\$ 33,557</b>	<b>\$ 408,318</b>

## **VI. PROPOSED PLAN DEVELOPMENT SCHEDULE**

A schedule has been developed for completing the work program described herein. It is felt that the developed time frame for this project is sufficient for all the necessary contacts and follow-up correspondence, for each of the municipalities and for the applicable tasks. The proposed schedule represents the time frame required to complete a single Plan, whether or not it encompasses a single designated watershed or both of the watersheds combined. In the event that the Plans are prepared for each of the two watersheds separately, two 36 month schedules would be followed separated in time by the differences in the dates at which the projects were initiated.

The proposed work schedule is presented in Figure 2 on page 48.

## **VII. CONSULTANT CAPABILITIES**

The consultant who assisted with the preparation of this Act 167 Phase I plan was The Chester Engineers.

A summary of specific experience related to watershed storm water management modeling and planning is presented below. A statement of qualifications and experience is provided in the appendix.

### Hydrologic Modeling

- Hydrologic modeling of the Glade Run watershed in Armstrong County performed in conjunction with the preparation of the Glade Run Act 167 Storm Water Management Plan.
- Hydrologic modeling of the Turtle Creek watershed in Allegheny and Westmoreland counties performed in conjunction with the preparation of the Turtle Creek Storm Water Management Plan.

TABLE 8  
PHASE II ESTIMATE BY TASK  
LAKE ERIE WATERSHED

#	TASK DESCRIPTIONS	CONSULTANT														COST SUMMARY		
		TECHNICAL MANAGER		PROJECT ENGINEER		ENGINEER		ENGINEERING TECHNICIAN		SECRETARY		FIELD TECHNICIAN		GIS PROJECT MANAGER		GIS TECHNICIAN		TOTAL COST
		HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	
1	PROJECT INITIATION	19	1,425	2	114	0	0	0	0	4	104	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,743
2	PROJECT COORDINATION/PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	404	30,300	227	12,939	60	2,580	64	2,432	148	3,874	0	0	0	0	0	0	57,026
3	DATA COLLECTION/REVIEW/ANALYSIS	18	1,125	313	17,847	184	7,912	24	912	18	468	880	14,000	36	2,700	70	2,860	60,853
4	INSTITUTIONAL DATA PREPARATION	8	600	106	8,985	0	0	0	0	8	208	0	0	0	0	0	0	8,816
5	DATA PREPARATION FOR TECHNICAL ANALYSIS	32	2,400	220	12,640	0	0	64	2,432	0	0	0	0	188	14,100	204	7,762	39,619
6	MODEL SELECTION AND SET UP	44	3,300	172	9,804	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	84	6,300	132	5,016	24,430
7	BASIC MODEL RUNS	36	2,700	296	16,872	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19,677
7A	EXPANDED NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION MODELING	84	4,080	374	21,318	0	0	0	0	16	416	0	0	16	1,200	96	3,646	30,732
8	DEVELOP TECHNICAL STANDARDS AND CRITERIA	16	1,200	86	6,016	0	0	0	0	16	416	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,787
9	INSTITUTIONAL ANALYSIS	182	11,400	40	2,280	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13,710
10	PLAN REPORT PREPARATION	86	4,200	286	16,376	0	0	48	1,824	86	1,488	0	0	0	0	0	0	36,766
11	PRIORITIES FOR IMPLEMENTATION	10	750	20	1,140	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,002
GRAND TOTALS		848	63,460	2,125	121,125	244	10,492	200	7,400	287	6,842	880	14,000	324	24,300	602	19,078	299,932

TABLE 6  
PHASE II ESTIMATE BY TASK  
LAKE ERIE WATERSHED  
(CONTINUED)

TASK DESCRIPTIONS		COUNTY												GRAND TOTALS			
		PLANNING DIRECTOR			PLANNING DEPT. STAFF			CONSERVATION DISTRICT STAFF			SECRETARY			ACCOUNTANT			
		HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST
#	DESCRIPTION	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST
1	PROJECT INITIATION	48	2,112	48	1,440	10	360	13	260	8	182	137	4,384	800	4,884	162	6,027
2	PROJECT COORDINATION/PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	184	8,528	276	8,280	3,800	12,240	140	2,800	144	3,456	864	26,872	100	26,872	1,768	76,897
3	DATA COLLECTION/REVIEW/ANALYSIS	42	1,848	68	2,076	8	304	0	0	0	0	118	4,222	10	4,232	1,281	51,840
4	INSTITUTIONAL DATA PREPARATION	24	1,056	84	1,820	8	304	2	40	0	0	68	3,020	20	3,040	208	9,812
5	DATA PREPARATION FOR TECHNICAL ANALYSIS	12	528	68	1,880	12	456	8	180	0	0	68	2,824	10	2,834	786	42,048
6	MODEL SELECTION AND SET UP	2	88	8	180	8	304	0	0	0	0	18	572	10	582	448	24,992
7	BASIC MODEL RUNS	8	382	12	360	12	456	0	0	0	0	32	1,168	10	1,178	364	20,740
7A	EXPANDED NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION MODELING	12	528	16	480	32	1,216	0	0	0	0	60	2,224	80	2,274	616	32,856
8	DEVELOP TECHNICAL STANDARDS AND CRITERIA	14	616	16	420	14	532	0	0	0	0	42	1,568	10	1,578	162	6,200
9	INSTITUTIONAL ANALYSIS	16	704	84	1,820	8	304	8	180	0	0	96	3,088	20	3,108	288	16,768
10	PLAN REPORT PREPARATION	24	1,056	36	1,080	24	912	8	180	0	0	92	3,208	825	3,733	820	25,984
11	PRIORITIES FOR IMPLEMENTATION	24	1,056	24	720	12	456	4	80	0	0	64	2,312	130	2,442	84	4,302
GRAND TOTALS		420	18,480	676	20,280	146	5,424	183	3,680	162	3,448	1,878	58,442	1,305	56,887	6,598	322,417



**ELK CREEK WATERSHED**

TASK DESCRIPTIONS		TECHNICAL MANAGER		PROJECT ENGINEER		ENGINEER		ENGINEERING TECHNICIAN		SECRETARY		FIELD TECHNICIAN		GIS PROJECT MANAGER		GIS TECHNICIAN		COST SUMMARY					
		HOURS		COST		HOURS		COST		HOURS		COST		HOURS		COST		TOTAL LABOR		EXPENSES		TOTAL COST	
#	DESCRIPTION	HR\$	COST	HRS	COST	HR\$	COST	HRS	COST	HR\$	COST	HRS	COST	HR\$	COST	HRS	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST
1	PROJECT INITIATION	19	1,438	2	114	0	0	0	0	4	104	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	1,843	100	1,743		
2	PROJECT COORDINATION/PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	384	28,700	227	12,939	40	2,880	64	2,432	149	3,874	0	0	0	0	0	0	886	48,528	4,900	53,428		
3	DATA COLLECTION/REVIEW/ANALYSIS	16	1,128	259	14,783	149	6,364	24	912	18	488	400	10,000	36	2,700	70	2,860	1,082	38,992	10,828	49,817		
4	INSTITUTIONAL DATA PREPARATION	6	600	40	3,420	0	0	0	0	8	208	0	0	0	0	0	0	76	4,328	26	4,253		
5	DATA PREPARATION FOR TECHNICAL ANALYSIS	32	2,400	198	11,288	0	0	64	2,432	0	0	0	0	128	8,400	160	6,080	582	31,798	346	32,143		
6	MODEL SELECTION AND SET UP	44	3,300	146	8,436	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	56	4,200	104	3,952	382	19,888	10	19,898		
7	BASIC MODEL RUNS	36	2,688	252	14,384	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	297	16,990	108	17,096		
7A	EXPANDED NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION MODELING	50	3,760	302	17,314	0	0	0	0	16	416	0	0	12	900	72	2,736	482	25,016	100	25,116		
9	DEVELOP TECHNICAL STANDARDS AND CRITERIA	16	1,200	80	4,880	0	0	0	0	16	416	0	0	0	0	0	0	112	6,176	138	6,311		
9	INSTITUTIONAL ANALYSIS	132	9,800	32	1,824	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	164	11,724	30	11,754		
10	PLAN REPORT PREPARATION	56	4,200	286	18,276	0	0	48	1,824	86	1,488	0	0	0	0	0	0	428	22,768	12,800	35,568		
11	PRIORITIES FOR IMPLEMENTATION	10	760	20	1,140	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	1,830	12	1,802		
GRAND TOTALS		773	87,978	1,848	105,336	208	8,944	200	7,600	267	6,942	400	10,000	232	17,400	408	16,428	1,446	229,026	28,087	268,713		

TASK DESCRIPTIONS	COUNTY												GRAND TOTALS										
	PLANNING DIRECTOR		PLANNING DEPT. STAFF		CONSERVATION DISTRICT STAFF		SECRETARY		ACCOUNTANT		COST SUMMARY		LABOR										
	\$44.00 /HOUR	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	\$26.00 /HOUR	HR\$	COST	\$20.00 /HOUR	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	EXPENSES	TOTAL COST	EXPENSES	TOTAL COST				
#	DESCRIPTION	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	EXPENSES	TOTAL COST	EXPENSES	TOTAL COST				
1	PROJECT INITIATION	48	2,112	48	1,440	380		13	260			6	192			127	4,384	580	4,934	152	6,027	680	6,977
2	PROJECT COORDINATION/PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	184	8,136	276	8,280	3,800		140	2,800			144	3,456			684	26,972	100	26,972	1,710	76,397	8,000	80,397
3	DATA COLLECTION/REVIEW/ANALYSIS	24	1,056	42	1,260	8	304		0			0	0			74	2,920	10	2,630	1,166	41,612	10,835	82,447
4	INSTITUTIONAL DATA PREPARATION	24	1,056	36	1,080	8	304		2	40		0	0			70	2,480	20	2,800	146	6,708	45	6,753
5	DATA PREPARATION FOR TECHNICAL ANALYSIS	12	528	56	1,840	12	456		8	160		0	0			88	2,524	10	2,834	670	34,822	355	34,977
6	MODEL SELECTION AND SET UP	2	88	8	160	8	304		0	0		0	0			18	572	10	582	368	20,460	20	20,480
7	BASIC MODEL RUNS	6	352	12	360	12	456		0	0		0	0			32	1,168	10	1,178	319	18,188	116	18,273
7A	EXPANDED NON - POINT SOURCE POLLUTION MODELING	12	828	16	480	32	1,216		0	0		0	0			60	2,224	60	2,274	512	27,240	150	27,390
8	DEVELOP TECHNICAL STANDARDS AND CRITERIA	14	616	14	420	14	532		0	0		0	0			42	1,568	10	1,578	164	7,744	145	7,889
9	INSTITUTIONAL ANALYSIS	16	704	64	1,920	6	304		8	160		0	0			98	3,068	20	3,106	260	14,812	50	14,862
10	PLAN REPORT PREPARATION	24	1,056	36	1,080	24	912		8	160		0	0			92	3,208	460	3,668	820	28,964	12,060	38,914
11	PRIORITIES FOR IMPLEMENTATION	24	1,056	24	720	12	456		4	80		0	0			64	2,312	30	2,342	94	4,302	42	4,344
GRAND TOTALS		402	17,888	630	18,900	136	9,424		183	3,660		152	3,448			1,619	53,320	1,270	64,590	6,061	252,948	30,357	313,305


TABLE 7  
PHASE II ESTIMATE BY TASK  
COMBINED LAKE ERIE AND ELK CREEK WATERSHEDS

TASK DESCRIPTIONS		CONSULTANT																								COST SUMMARY																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																								
		TECHNICAL MANAGER		PROJECT ENGINEER		ENGINEER		ENGINEERING TECHNICIAN		SECRETARY		FIELD TECHNICIAN		GIS PROJECT MANAGER		GIS TECHNICIAN		TOTAL LABOR		TOTAL COST																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
		HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	HR\$	COST	EXPENSES																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																												
#	DESCRIPTION																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																	</

TABLE 7  
PHASE II ESTIMATE BY TASK  
COMBINED LAKE ERIE AND ELK CREEK WATERSHEDS  
(CONTINUED)

TASK DESCRIPTIONS	COUNTY											GRAND TOTALS			
	PLANNING DIRECTOR \$44.00 /HOUR	PLANNING DEPT. STAFF \$30.00 /HOUR	CONSERVATION DISTRICT STAFF \$38.00 /HOUR	SECRETARY \$20.00 /HOUR	ACCOUNTANT \$24 /HOUR		TOTAL LABOR		EXPENSES		TOTAL COST	LABOR		TOTAL EXPENSES	
	HRS	COST	HRS	COST	HRS	COST	HRS	COST	HRS	COST		HRS	COST	HRS	COST
1 PROJECT INITIATION	48	2,112	48	1,440	380		127	4,384	880		4,834	182	6,027	880	6,027
2 PROJECT COORDINATION/PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	194	8,536	286	8,580	3,800		874	27,472	100		27,572	1,822	82,311	8,800	87,811
3 DATA COLLECTION/REVIEW/ANALYSIS	80	2,200	81	2,430	8	304	0	0	0	0	4,834	1,418	86,072	10,836	78,907
4 INSTITUTIONAL DATA PREPARATION	24	1,056	36	1,080	8	304	2	40	0	0	2,500	211	10,413	48	10,458
5 DATA PREPARATION FOR TECHNICAL ANALYSIS	12	528	56	1,680	12	456	8	160	0	0	2,834	864	50,846	386	61,001
6 MODEL SELECTION AND SET UP	2	88	6	180	8	304	0	0	0	0	882	888	33,086	20	33,076
7 MODEL RUNS	8	352	12	360	12	456	0	0	0	0	1,178	862	32,376	118	32,393
7A EXPANDED NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION MODELING	12	528	16	480	32	1,216	0	0	0	0	2,274	762	40,524	180	40,574
8 DEVELOP TECHNICAL STANDARDS AND CRITERIA	14	616	14	420	14	532	0	0	0	0	1,848	170	8,866	148	8,801
9 INSTITUTIONAL ANALYSIS	16	704	84	1,820	8	304	8	160	0	0	3,108	272	16,712	80	16,782
10 PLAN REPORT PREPARATION	24	1,056	36	1,080	24	912	8	160	0	0	3,788	820	26,964	18,880	41,914
11 PRIORITIES FOR IMPLEMENTATION	24	1,056	24	720	12	456	4	80	0	0	2,342	84	4,302	42	4,344
GRAND TOTALS	428	19,832	688	20,870	136	5,424	183	3,660	182	3,848	1,700	7,616	374,781	33,887	408,318

**FIGURE 2**  
**LAKE ERIE AND/OR ELK CREEK STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**PHASE II WORK SCHEDULE**



W = Watershed Plan Advisory Committee Meeting  
 TS = Training Session  
 IMF = Individual Municipal Follow-up Meetings  
 PH = Public Meeting

- Hydrologic modeling of the Bull Run watershed in Union County performed in conjunction with the preparation of the Bull Run Act 167 Storm Water Management Plan.
- Hydrologic modeling of the Fox Hollow watershed in Williamsport, Pennsylvania.
- Hydrologic modeling of the Broadway Interceptor Drainage Area in Allegheny County.
- HEC 2 modeling of improvements to Spring Creek in support of the design of the Harrisburg Sewerage Authority's Spring Creek interceptor improvements.
- Modeling of tributaries to the Monongahela River in Allegheny County in support of the Monongahela River Watershed Act 167 Storm Water Management Plan (in progress).
- Modeling of the Conneaut Outlet watershed in Crawford County in support of the Conneaut Outlet Watershed Act 167 Storm Water Management Plan (in progress).

#### Storm Water Management Planning

- Act 167 Phase I Storm Water Management Plan for the Glade Run watershed in Armstrong County, Pennsylvania.
- Act 167 Phase I Storm Water Management Plan for the Turtle Creek watershed in Allegheny and Westmoreland counties, Pennsylvania.
- Act 167 Phase I Storm Water Management Plan for the Conneaut Outlet watershed in Crawford County, Pennsylvania.
- Act 167 Phase I Storm Water Management Plan for the Breakneck Creek watershed in Butler and Allegheny counties, Pennsylvania.
- Act 167 Phase I Storm Water Management Plan for the Monongahela River watershed in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania.
- Act 167 Phase II Storm Water Management Plan for the Glade Run watershed in Armstrong County, Pennsylvania.
- Act 167 Phase II Storm Water Management Plan for the Glade Run watershed in Armstrong County, Pennsylvania.
- Act 167 Phase II Storm Water Management Plan for the Turtle Creek watershed in Allegheny and Westmoreland counties, Pennsylvania.
- Storm water control plan review services for the Allegheny County Department of Planning
- Storm Water Master Plan for the Town of McCandless, Pennsylvania.

- Storm Water Management Plan for the Broadway Interceptor Area, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania
- Assessment of Storm Water Master Plan, City of Huntington, West Virginia
- Design of storm water control facilities for McIntyre Hospital Site, Ross Township, Pennsylvania
- Design of storm water detention facilities for McDonald's Corporation, Shaler Township, Pennsylvania

Consultant resumes are provided in the Statement of Qualifications and Experience attached as Appendix E.

## APPENDIX A



ELK CREEK AND LAKE ERIE WATERSHEDS  
ACT 167 - PHASE I  
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN  
MUNICIPALITIES QUESTIONNAIRE

Please complete the following questionnaire. If you have any questions or comments please contact John M. Maslanik at The Chester Engineers at (412)269-5828.

MUNICIPALITY: \_\_\_\_\_

GENERAL INFORMATION

Municipal Contact Person: Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

Person completing this form: Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

Watershed Advisory Committee Designee: Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

ELK CREEK AND LAKE ERIE WATERSHEDS  
ACT 167 - PHASE I  
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN  
MUNICIPALITIES QUESTIONNAIRE

MUNICIPALITY: \_\_\_\_\_

GENERAL STORMWATER MANAGEMENT INFORMATION

- These are the types of storm water related problems that may occur in your community. Please indicate the degree of severity of each of these types of problems.

STREAM FLOODING

☐ critical    ☐ don't know    ☐ no problem

STREET FLOODING

☐ critical    ☐ don't know    ☐ no problem

SOIL WASHOFF

☐ critical    ☐ don't know    ☐ no problem

STORM WATER POLLUTION

☐ critical    ☐ don't know    ☐ no problem

OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)

☐ critical    ☐ don't know    ☐ no problem

- Do you have ordinances or regulations which address the following:
- |                               |                              |                             |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Stormwater Management?        | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Drainage?                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Erosion and Sediment Control? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |

- Where are the above regulations contained?

☐ Separate Ordinances  
☐ Subdivision/Land Development Ordinance  
☐ Building Code

- Would you provide involvement and support to the development of a stormwater management plan, including the Watershed Advisory Committee by attending scheduled meetings to be held in convenient locations?

☐ Yes    ☐ No

# ELK CREEK AND LAKE ERIE WATERSHEDS ACT 167 – PHASE I STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN MUNICIPALITIES QUESTIONNAIRE

MUNICIPALITY: \_\_\_\_\_

Please indicate the location of existing stormwater problem areas within your municipality on a map of your municipality.

Identify each problem area by number and complete the following form to the best of your knowledge.

	AREA			
	1	2	3	4
<b>CAUSES</b>				
Please check off what you feel are the causes of each identified stormwater problem.				
▪ Too large an increase in uncontrolled runoff.				
▪ Uncontrolled runoff into your municipality from upstream municipalities.				
▪ Drainage system is too small and corrections need to be made.				
▪ Obstructions in system that need to be removed.				
▪ Lack of maintenance of drainage ways.				
▪ Other (explain).				
<b>OCCURRENCES</b>				
How often does the stormwater problem occur in each identified area?				
▪ Every rain.				
▪ Between one and ten times per year.				
▪ Only during major flood events.				
<b>DAMAGES</b>				
What type of damages are experienced as a result of this problem?				
▪ Loss of life.				
▪ Loss of vital services.				
▪ Property damage.				
▪ Type(s) of property damaged:				
private				
public				
other (specify)				
▪ Approximate number of properties affected.				
PLEASE PROVIDE SPECIFIC COMMENTS REGARDING ANY OF THE STORMWATER PROBLEMS WHICH YOU HAVE IDENTIFIED IN THIS QUESTIONNAIRE.				

# ELK CREEK AND LAKE ERIE WATERSHEDS ACT 167 – PHASE I STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN MUNICIPALITIES QUESTIONNAIRE

MUNICIPALITY: \_\_\_\_\_

Please indicate the location of existing stormwater problem areas within your municipality on the attached watershed map and/or a map of your municipality. Identify each problem area by number and complete the following form to the best of your knowledge.

	AREA			
	5	6	7	8
<b>CAUSES</b>				
Please check off what you feel are the causes of each identified stormwater problem.				
▪ Too large an increase in uncontrolled runoff.				
▪ Uncontrolled runoff into your municipality from upstream municipalities.				
▪ Drainage system is too small and corrections need to be made.				
▪ Obstructions in system that need to be removed.				
▪ Lack of maintenance of drainage ways.				
▪ Other (explain).				
<b>OCCURRENCES</b>				
How often does the stormwater problem occur in each identified area?				
▪ Every rain.				
▪ Between one and ten times per year.				
▪ Only during major flood events.				
<b>DAMAGES</b>				
What type of damages are experienced as a result of this problem?				
▪ Loss of life.				
▪ Loss of vital services.				
▪ Property damage.				
▪ Type(s) of property damaged:				
private				
public				
other (specify)				
▪ Approximate number of properties affected.				
PLEASE PROVIDE SPECIFIC COMMENTS REGARDING ANY OF THE STORMWATER PROBLEMS WHICH YOU HAVE IDENTIFIED IN THIS QUESTIONNAIRE.				

## APPENDIX B

Table VII-2  
Existing Municipal Ordinance Matrix

Existing Regulatory Controls	Municipality
	North Huntingdon Township
Land use planning standards	Zoning (Ord #542): allows mineral removal as conditional use in several districts; agriculture permitted use in residential districts; designates conservation & recreation districts on zoning map PRD (#490): promotes preservation of steep slopes, trees, watercourses, etc.; limits removal of vegetative cover on steep slopes; limits building coverage to 25% in PRD
Stormwater control provisions	#542: requires stormwater mgmt. plan as requirement for topsoil and mineral removal including runoff calculations. S/D (#81): includes standards for stormwater drainage systems for streets; #490: requires PRD to protect watercourses, prevent erosion & flooding, requires adequate stormwater drainage for streets, protect adjacent properties, etc. and safe deposition of runoff
Rate of runoff standard	None
Specific calculation method	None
Design standards for storm water controls	S/D: minimum standard for street drainage no construction specifications
Erosion and sedimentation controls	#490: submit E/S plan for PRD, general performance requirements and standard language to prevent erosion, etc.; #542: E/S controls required for topsoil or mineral removal
Plan review process	PRD & S/LD: final plan approved by township commissioners
Fees	Not mentioned
Inspection schedule	None
Maintenance provisions	S/D: maintenance bond for dedicated facilities

## APPENDIX C

TABLE C-1  
BREAKDOWN OF EXPENSES IN TABLE 5  
LAKE ERIE WATERSHED

PLAN COPIES

Number of Copies of Reports to Be Distributed

21 Municipalities: 2 Each  
County Executive, Council and Departments: 50  
Soil Conservation Service: 3  
Stormwater Consultant: 5  
Federal / State Officials / Agencies: 10  
Others / Public Distribution: 30

PLAN REPRODUCTION / DISTRIBUTION

Copies

140 Draft Plans @ \$35.00/copy =	\$4,900
140 Final Plans @ \$35.00/copy =	\$4,900
140 Draft Summaries @ \$10.00/copy =	\$1,400
140 Final Summaries @ \$10.00/copy =	\$1,400
<b>TOTAL =</b>	<b>\$12,600</b>

Postage

100 Plans @ \$4.00/copy =	\$400
100 Summaries @ \$1.50 =	\$150
<b>TOTAL =</b>	<b>\$550</b>

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

Program/Financial Management (3 hours/week) =	108 hours *
Progress Reports (1/month) =	288 hours *
Invoices (1/month) =	144 hours *
WPAC meetings =	195 hours *
Travel =	\$300
Advertising =	\$250

\* Labor hours included in estimate in Table 5



TABLE C-1  
BREAKDOWN OF EXPENSES IN TABLE 5  
LAKE ERIE WATERSHED  
(CONTINUED)

TASK COST BREAKDOWN

Task No. 1	Project Initiation	\$6,027
Task No. 2	Project Coordination	\$78,997
Task No. 3	Data Collection/Review/Analysis	\$51,840
Task No. 4	Institutional Data Preparation	\$9,813
Task No. 5	Data Preparation for Technical Analysis	\$42,048
Task No. 6	Model Selection and Set Up	\$24,992
Task No. 7	Basic Model Runs	\$20,740
Task No. 7A	Expanded N.P.S. Pollution Model Runs	\$32,856
Task No. 8	Develop Technical Standards & Criteria	\$8,200
Task No. 9	Institutional Analysis	\$16,768
Task No. 10	PLAN Report Preparation	\$25,964
Task No. 11	Priorities for Implementation, Update & Adoption	\$4,202

Project Direct Cost (including travel, maps, charts, data, paper supplies, printing and reproduction, telephone charges, meeting room rentals, equipment rentals, computer connect time, postage and other miscellaneous expenses).	\$34,492
---	----------

GRAND TOTAL	\$356,939
-------------	-----------

Maximum Department Share (75%)	\$267,704.25
Maximum County Share (25%)	\$89,234.75

	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>DEPART.</u> <u>SHARE</u>	<u>COUNTY</u> <u>SHARE</u>
FY 92 *	\$39,659.89	\$29,744.92	\$9,914.97
FY 93	\$118,979.67	\$89,234.75	\$29,744.92
FY 94	\$118,979.67	\$89,234.75	\$29,744.92
FY 95 **	\$79,319.78	\$59,489.83	\$19,829.94
TOTAL	\$356,939	\$267,704.25	\$89,234.75

\* Four (4) months

\* Eight (8) months

TABLE C-2  
BREAKDOWN OF EXPENSES IN TABLE 6  
ELK CREEK WATERSHED

PLAN COPIES

Number of Copies of Reports to Be Distributed

12 Municipalities: 2 Each  
County Executive, Council and Departments: 50  
Soil Conservation Service: 3  
Stormwater Consultant: 5  
Federal / State Officials / Agencies: 10  
Others / Public Distribution: 30

PLAN REPRODUCTION / DISTRIBUTION

Copies

120 Draft Plans @ \$35.00/copy =	\$4,200
120 Final Plans @ \$35.00/copy =	\$4,200
120 Draft Summaries @ \$10.00/copy =	\$1,200
120 Final Summaries @ \$10.00/copy =	\$1,200
<b>TOTAL =</b>	<b>\$10,800</b>

Postage

100 Plans @ \$4.00/copy =	\$400
100 Summaries @ \$1.50 =	\$150
<b>TOTAL =</b>	<b>\$550</b>

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

Program/Financial Management

(3 hours/week) =	108 hours *
Progress Reports (1/month) =	288 hours *
Invoices (1/month) =	144 hours *
WPAC meetings =	195 hours *

Travel =	\$300
Advertising =	\$250

\* Labor hours included in estimate in Table 6

TABLE C-2  
BREAKDOWN OF EXPENSES IN TABLE 6  
ELK CREEK WATERSHED  
(CONTINUED)

TASK COST BREAKDOWN

Task No. 1	Project Initiation	\$6,027
Task No. 2	Project Coordination	\$75,397
Task No. 3	Data Collection/Review/Analysis	\$41,612
Task No. 4	Institutional Data Preparation	\$6,708
Task No. 5	Data Preparation for Technical Analysis	\$34,622
Task No. 6	Model Selection and Set Up	\$20,460
Task No. 7	Basic Model Runs	\$18,158
Task No. 7A	Expanded N.P.S. Pollution Model Runs	\$27,240
Task No. 8	Develop Technical Standards & Criteria	\$7,744
Task No. 9	Institutional Analysis	\$14,812
Task No. 10	PLAN Report Preparation	\$25,964
Task No. 11	Priorities for Implementation, Update & Adoption	\$4,202

Project Direct Cost (including travel, maps, charts, data, paper supplies, printing and reproduction, telephone charges, meeting room rentals, equipment rentals, computer connect time, postage and other miscellaneous expenses).	\$30,357
---	----------

GRAND TOTAL	\$313,303
-------------	-----------

Maximum Department Share (75%)	\$234,977.25
Maximum County Share (25%)	\$78,325.75

	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>DEPART. SHARE</u>	<u>COUNTY SHARE</u>
FY 92 *	\$34,811.44	\$26,108.58	\$8,702.86
FY 93	\$104,434.33	\$78,325.75	\$26,108.58
FY 94	\$104,434.33	\$78,325.75	\$26,108.58
FY 95 **	\$69,622.89	\$52,217.17	\$17,405.72
TOTAL	\$313,303	\$234,977.25	\$78,325.75

\* Four (4) months

\* Eight (8) months

TABLE C-3  
BREAKDOWN OF EXPENSES IN TABLE 7  
LAKE ERIE AND ELK CREEK WATERSHEDS

PLAN COPIES

Number of Copies of Reports to Be Distributed

25 Municipalities: 2 Each  
County Executive, Council and Departments: 50  
Soil Conservation Service: 3  
Stormwater Consultant: 5  
Federal / State Officials / Agencies: 10  
Others / Public Distribution: 30

PLAN REPRODUCTION / DISTRIBUTION

Copies

153 Draft Plans @ \$35.00/copy =	\$5,355
153 Final Plans @ \$35.00/copy =	\$5,355
153 Draft Summaries @ \$10.00/copy =	\$1,530
153 Final Summaries @ \$10.00/copy =	\$1,530
<b>TOTAL =</b>	<b>\$13,770</b>

Postage

100 Plans @ \$4.00/copy =	\$400
100 Summaries @ \$1.50 =	\$150
<b>TOTAL =</b>	<b>\$550</b>

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

Program/Financial Management (3 hours/week) =	108 hours *
Progress Reports (1/month) =	288 hours *
Invoices (1/month) =	144 hours *
WPAC meetings =	195 hours *
Travel =	\$300
Advertising =	\$250

\* Labor hours included in estimate in Table 7

The **Chester** Engineers

TABLE C-3  
BREAKDOWN OF EXPENSES IN TABLE 7  
LAKE ERIE AND ELK CREEK WATERSHEDS  
(CONTINUED)

TASK COST BREAKDOWN

Task No. 1	Project Initiation	\$6,027
Task No. 2	Project Coordination	\$82,211
Task No. 3	Data Collection/Review/Analysis	\$65,072
Task No. 4	Institutional Data Preparation	\$10,413
Task No. 5	Data Preparation for Technical Analysis	\$50,646
Task No. 6	Model Selection and Set Up	\$33,056
Task No. 7	Basic Model Runs	\$32,278
Task No. 7A	Expanded N.P.S. Pollution Model Runs	\$40,524
Task No. 8	Develop Technical Standards & Criteria	\$8,656
Task No. 9	Institutional Analysis	\$15,712
Task No. 10	PLAN Report Preparation	\$25,964
Task No. 11	Priorities for Implementation, Update & Adoption	\$4,202

Project Direct Cost (including travel, maps, charts, data, paper supplies, printing and reproduction, telephone charges, meeting room rentals, equipment rentals, computer connect time, postage and other miscellaneous expenses).	\$33,557
---	----------

GRAND TOTAL	\$408,318
-------------	-----------

Maximum Department Share (75%)	\$306,238.50
Maximum County Share (25%)	\$102,079.50

	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>DEPART. SHARE</u>	<u>COUNTY SHARE</u>
FY 92 *	\$45,368.67	\$34,026.50	\$11,342.17
FY 93	\$136,106.00	\$102,079.50	\$34,026.50
FY 94	\$136,106.00	\$102,079.50	\$34,026.50
FY 95 **	\$90,737.33	\$68,053.00	\$22,684.33
TOTAL	\$408,318	\$306,238.50	\$102,079.50

\* Four (4) months  
\* Eight (8) months

## APPENDIX D

LAKE ERIE AND ELK CREEK WATERSHED AREAS  
WATERSHED PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
MAILING LIST  
MAY 1991

Mr. LeRoy Gross  
District Manager  
Erie County Conserv. District  
R.D. #5, Route 19  
Waterford, PA 16441

Mr. Clarence Hess  
Greene Township Supervisor  
8861 Old Wattsburg Road  
Erie, PA 16510

Mr. Maynard Struchen  
Platea Borough Councilman  
8395 Meadville Road  
Girard, PA 16417

Mr. Lew Steckler  
District Conservationist  
U.S. Soil Conservation Service  
R.D. #5, Route 19  
Waterford, PA 16441

Mr. Carl Rupp  
Greenfield Township Supervisor  
11184 Rich Hill Road  
North East, PA 16428

Ms. Nancy Brown  
Springfield Township Secretar  
13300 Ridge Road, Box 274  
West Springfield, PA 16443

Mr. Ronald Simons  
Conneaut Township Supervisor  
12500 U.S. Route 6N  
Albion, PA 16401

Mr. Mark Corey  
Harborcreek Township Engineer  
5601 Buffalo Road  
Harborcreek, PA 16421

Mr. Richard Hessinger  
Summit Township Supervisor  
8900 Old French Road  
Erie, PA 16509

Mr. Laurin Hill  
Elk Creek Township Supervisor  
10100 Sherman Road  
Albion, PA 16401

Mr. Joseph Legnasky  
Lake City Borough Councilman  
2350 Main Street  
Lake City, PA 16423

Ms. Marge Allen  
Venango Township Supervisor  
9141 Town Hall Road  
Wattsburg, PA 16442

Mr. Richard Morris  
City Engineer  
City of Erie  
Municipal Building  
Erie, PA 16501

Mr. James Conners, Commissioner  
Lawrence Park Township  
4230 Iroquois Avenue  
Erie, PA 16511

Mr. Earl J. Koon  
Washington Township Secretary  
11800 Edinboro Road, R.D. #5  
Edinboro, PA 16412

Mr. Harry Walker  
Fairview Borough Councilman  
4701 Franklin Avenue, Box 1  
Fairview, PA 16415

Mr. Paul Hamme  
McKean Borough Councilman  
8952 Main Street, Box 195  
McKean, PA 16426

Mr. William Brace  
Waterford Township Supervisor  
12451 Circuit Road  
Waterford, PA 16441

Mr. George McKinley  
Fairview Township Supervisor  
7471 McCray Road  
Fairview, PA 16415

Mr. Robert Weir  
McKean Township Supervisor  
9231 Edinboro Road, P.O. Box 62  
McKean, PA 16426

Mr. Donald Shepard  
Wesleyville Borough Councilma  
3421 Buffalo Road  
Wesleyville, PA 16510

Mr. Herbert Netzler  
Franklin Township Supervisor  
10411 Route 98  
Edinboro, PA 16412

Mr. William Dunagan  
Millcreek Township Engineer  
P.O. Box 8268  
Erie, PA 16505-0268

Mr. Michael McManus  
Girard Borough Engineer  
227 Hathaway Street  
Girard, PA 16417

Mr. Robert Stoddard  
North East Borough Councilman  
58 East Main Street  
North East, PA 16428

Mr. William Westerburg  
Girard Township Supervisor  
10140 Ridge Road  
Girard, PA 16417

Mr. Dennis Culver, Zoning Admin.  
North East Township  
10300 West Main Road  
North East, PA 16428

(Over)

STORM WATER MANAGEMENT  
"INTERESTED PARTIES"

Mr. Leon R. Johnson  
Millcreek Storm Water  
Task Force  
1250 Beaver Drive  
Erie, PA 16509

Mr. John M. Maslanik, P.E.  
The Chester Engineers  
P.O. Box 15851  
Pittsburgh, PA 15244

Mr. Craig Cunningham  
Cunningham Custom Builders  
1154 West 8th Street  
Erie, PA 16502

Mrs. Sue Weber  
5338 Norris Drive  
Erie, PA 16509

Ms. Sue Zurad  
Erie County Health Department  
606 West 2nd Street  
Erie, PA 16507

Mr. Archie Sterrett  
Erie County Health Department  
606 West 2nd Street  
Erie, PA 16507

Mr. Durla N. Lathia, Chief  
Storm Water Management Section  
PA Dept. of Environmental Res.  
P.O. Box 8554  
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8554

Mr. Richard Kubiak  
2534 East 33rd Street  
Erie, PA 16510

Mr. Ian Scott Sykes  
Coastal Zone Mgmt. Program  
PA Dept. of Environmental Res.  
P.O. Box 8761  
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8761

Mr. Joseph Skrzypczak  
5536 Larchmont Drive  
Erie, PA 16509



Page 4/5  
10/20/91

LAKE ERIE AND ELK CREEK WATERSHED AREAS  
WATERSHED PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
MEETING MINUTES  
MARCH 25, 1991

The kickoff meeting of the Watershed Plan Advisory Committee was held on Monday, March 25, 1991 at 7:00 P.M. in Courtroom #2, Erie County Court House.

Those in attendance were:

Lew Steckler	U.S. Soil Conservation Service
LeRoy Gross	Erie County Conservation District
Richard Morris	City of Erie
John Maslanik	The Chester Engineers
Mark Corey	Harborcreek Township
William Brace	Waterford Township
William Dunagan	Millcreek Township
Archie Sterrett	Erie County Health Department
Robert Gwinn	Lawrence Park Township
Mike McManus	Girard Borough
Sue Zurad	Erie County Health Department
Nancy Brown	Springfield Township
Joseph Legnasky	Lake City Borough
Robert Stoddard	North East Borough
Kenneth Maas	North East Borough
Joseph Skrzypczak	Home Owner - Millcreek Township
Leon Johnson	Home Owner - Millcreek Township
Robert Weir	McKean Township
Mike Ferrick	McKean Township
Rich Hessinger	Summit Township
David Skellie	Erie County Department of Planning
John Mong	Erie County Department of Planning

Call to Order

Mr. Skellie called the meeting to order at 7:00 P.M.

History of Storm Water Management in Erie County

Mr. Skellie distributed a hand-out on the storm water management planning efforts which have been undertaken to-date in Erie County. He then gave a brief overview of the following items which are included within the hand-out:

- Development of the 1981 Storm Water Management Plan for the Lake Erie and Elk Creek Watershed areas.
- Adoption of four (4) municipal storm water management ordinances.

- Identification of the nine (9) watershed areas in Erie County.
- The County's tentative schedule for storm water management planning over the next five (5) years.

A copy of this hand-out is incorporated into the minutes as Attachment A.

Mr. Skellie explained that the County of Erie is currently in the process of updating the 1981 Storm Water Management Plan for the Lake Erie and Elk Creek Watershed areas. The first step in this process is the development of a Phase I Scope of Study in order to determine the costs, schedule, and the level of effort required by the County to complete a Phase II Storm Water Management Plan. The County has hired The Chester Engineers to prepare the Scope of Study. The deadline for completing the Phase I project effort is June 30, 1991.

As part of the Phase I planning process, the County has established a Watershed Plan Advisory Committee (WPAC). The purpose of the WPAC is to provide a forum for the presentation and discussion of local storm water management concerns. The WPAC will remain active throughout Phases I and II. Mr. Skellie also noted that the Phase II project effort will be much more expensive than Phase I.

#### Overview of the Act 167 Storm Water Management Planning Process

Mr. Skellie then turned the meeting over to Mr. John Maslanik of The Chester Engineers. Mr. Maslanik gave a slide presentation on the Act 167 Storm Water Management Planning Process. The following are highlights from Mr. Maslanik's presentation:

- 1) Based on past experience, the overall planning process for a particular watershed (Phases I and II) can take 4 to 5 years to complete.
- 2) Flooding caused as a result of Hurricane Agnes helped trigger the passage of the Flood Plain Management Act (Act 166 of 1978) and the Storm Water Management Act (Act 167 of 1978). Virtually all municipalities in the State have now adopted Flood Plain Management Regulations in order to control development in flood hazard zones. The State is now pushing for the development of local Storm Water Management Regulations which will require land developers to control storm water which originates from their development site in order to prevent damage to downstream properties and avoid expanding flood hazard areas.
- 3) Existing land developments generally are not affected by the requirements of Act 167.
- 4) Act 167 planning procedures:
  - Watersheds within the state are designated for future study.

- Watersheds are sequentially selected for preparation of storm water management plans.
  - Completion of a Phase I Scope of Study.
  - Completion of a Phase II Storm Water Management Plan.
  - County adopts Storm Water Management Plan.
  - Municipalities in the watershed are required to adopt storm water management ordinances within 6 months of the County's adoption of the plan.
  - Municipal administration of storm water management ordinances.
  - Periodic updates of the Storm Water Management Plan (every 5 years).
- 5) Responsibilities of the PA Department of Environmental Resources:
- Designate the watershed areas.
  - Coordinate planning efforts with the counties.
  - Review and approve plans.
  - Administer a grant program which provides the counties with a 75% funding reimbursement for the preparation of Phase I Scopes of Study and Phase II Plans. The grant program also provides municipalities with a 75% reimbursement to help cover the eligible costs associated with the preparation and subsequent administration of storm water management ordinances.
- 6) Properties can be developed without causing adverse impacts to downstream areas if developers control the quantity, velocity, and direction of storm water runoff. The Storm Water Management Plan will include specific standards and criteria that define the basic control mechanisms. The purpose of the plan is not to dictate to a developer how to meet specific standards. Instead, the developer will be provided with a guide that includes a range of possible approaches that may be followed in order to ensure a high degree of success in meeting those standards.
- 7) It is important for the municipalities within a particular watershed area to participate in the storm water management planning process. By attending WPAC meetings, municipal officials will be kept abreast of the current status of the Storm Water Management Plan as well as how the plan's recommendations may affect their community. Municipal officials can also provide the engineering consultant with a wealth of information on problem areas and other storm water concerns within their municipalities. Another way in which municipal officials can participate in the planning process is by reviewing the Phase I and Phase II planning documents and forwarding their review comments to the consultant for possible incorporation into the plan.

- 8) During the Phase I study, each municipality within the watershed area is asked to complete a municipal questionnaire in order to identify problem areas and municipal storm water concerns. The municipalities are also asked to designate a local official to represent the municipality at the WPAC meetings. This official should be familiar with storm water problems in the community.
- 9) When the local officials are completing the municipal questionnaire for the current project, they should only identify those storm water problem areas located within the Lake Erie and Elk Creek watersheds. Even if no problems exist within a particular municipality, the officials should note that fact and return the questionnaire to the Erie County Department of Planning.
- 10) Once the plan is adopted by the County, those municipalities which have already adopted storm water management ordinances will be required to revise their ordinances (if necessary) in order to incorporate the plan's standards and criteria.
- 11) PA DER will not provide grant funds retroactively to municipalities that have already adopted storm water management ordinances.
- 12) General Rule: Post-development runoff should not exceed pre-development runoff.
- 13) There are 353 watersheds throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Mr. Maslanik noted that members of the committee can contact him at (412) 269-5828 if they have any additional questions. In addition, committee members that have any specific questions on the DER storm water regulations or guidelines should contact Mr. Durla Lathia at (717) 541-7905.

#### Other Business

Mr. Skellie noted that one more meeting will be held during the Phase I project effort in order to review the draft Scope of Study. This meeting is tentatively scheduled for early June 1991. All Phase I work activities, including the preparation of the final report, must be completed by the June 30, 1991 contract deadline.

#### Adjournment

There being no further business, Mr. Skellie adjourned the meeting at 8:30 P.M.

## ATTACHMENT A

### BRIEF HISTORY/CURRENT STATUS OF STORM WATER MANAGEMENT IN ERIE COUNTY

Storm water management has been traditionally defined as measures used by property owners and local governments to eliminate or at least reduce the adverse impact resulting from excessive storm water runoff and to control the path of runoff through space and time. In the past, the basic approach to managing this runoff has been to achieve maximum convenience at a given site by discharging to the nearest convenient location any excess surface water as quickly as possible following a storm. This removal has been accomplished most typically by conveying the water through a storm sewer or other closed system. This process of passing on storm water runoff merely shifts the location of the problem and all too often aggravates the situation downstream and creates a flood hazard in other communities. An additional concern created by this approach is that groundwater supplies in the area may be diminished.

Even in areas where there has been no flooding problem in the past, there is cause for concern. As land development continues, the percentage of impervious land surface increases in once rural or semi-rural areas. Paved roads, sidewalks, rooftops, parking lots and other improvements all contribute to the problem. Areas that previously had no flooding begin experiencing problems; areas where flooding has been a problem now may begin to experience an even more serious problem.

The solution of passing one's water problems downstream is no longer acceptable. The potential damage created by such a practice cannot be tolerated as development continues. Recognizing the need to deal with this serious and growing problem, the Pennsylvania General Assembly enacted the Storm Water Management Act (Act 167 of 1978). Act 167 institutes a comprehensive program of storm water planning and management on a watershed level. The Act requires counties to prepare and adopt storm water management plans for each watershed located in the County. These plans are to be prepared in consultation with municipalities located in the watershed, working through a Watershed Plan Advisory Committee (WPAC). The plans are to provide for uniform technical standards and criteria throughout a watershed for the management of storm water runoff from new land development sites. According to the Storm Water Management Act, the municipalities will then be required to adopt ordinances, codes and regulations which are consistent with the storm water management plans approved for each watershed.

The Department of Environmental Resources is authorized to administer grants to municipalities and counties to assist or reimburse them for

costs in preparing official storm water management plans and actual administrative, enforcement, and implementation costs and revisions to official plans for storm water management required by this act. The grants are equal to 75% of the allowable costs incurred by any municipality or county.

In 1981, Erie County prepared a pilot watershed plan for the Lake Erie and Elk Creek watershed areas which encompassed the entire coastline of Lake Erie in Pennsylvania. (It should be noted that all or portions of 25 municipalities in Erie County are affected by these drainage areas - See Exhibit A.) The plan, which consists of a series of 14 volumes, was completed with funds provided by the PA Coastal Zone Management Program and the PA Bureau of Dams and Waterways Management (BDWM). Volume 1 is a technical document written for the individual interested in the more detailed aspects of the plan. The remaining volumes in the series, Volumes 2 through 14, represent applications of the plan developed in Volume 1 to specific features of the various subwatersheds within the Lake Erie and Elk Creek watershed areas. Although Act 167 required the County to adopt a Storm Water Management Plan for all watersheds by May 14, 1987, only a small portion of the Lake Erie and Elk Creek Watershed Plan (Volumes 1 and 8) was implemented due to a lack of interest at the local level. However, it should be noted that the two (2) municipalities located within the Volume 8 study area, North East Borough and North East Township, have adopted Storm Water Management Ordinances (September 23, 1983 and April 14, 1986 respectively). To-date, these are the only two (2) municipalities in Erie County that have adopted Storm Water Management Ordinances in accordance with a State approved Storm Water Management Plan. In addition, Summit Township and Millcreek Township adopted Storm Water Management Ordinances in 1990 as amendments to their subdivision regulations under the authority of the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code, Act 247 of 1968, as amended.

Over the last several years, Erie County and BDWM have been working on a storm water management implementation for the County's nine (9) watershed areas:

- 1) Lake Erie Watershed
- 2) Elk Creek Watershed
- 3) French Creek Watershed
- 4) Conneaut Creek Watershed
- 5) Ashtabula River Watershed
- 6) Cussewago Creek Watershed
- 7) Muddy Creek Watershed
- 8) Oil Creek Watershed
- 9) Brokenstraw Creek Watershed

Exhibit B identifies the approximate locations of each of these watershed areas.


As of March 1991, the County's anticipated schedule for storm water management planning is as follows:

- 1991 - Develop a Scope of Study (Phase I) for the Lake Erie and Elk Creek watershed areas. The purpose of this study will be to review the pilot watershed plan which was completed in 1981 in order to determine the level of effort required by the County to satisfactorily complete a Storm Water Management Plan for these watersheds. (Note: The Scope of Study must be completed by June 30, 1991.)
- 1992 - Update the Storm Water Management Plan (Phase II) for the Lake Erie and Elk Creek watersheds. Erie County will subsequently adopt the Updated Storm Water Management Plan, thereby requiring the municipalities located within these watershed areas to enact ordinances consistent with the plan.
- 1994 - Develop a Scope of Study (Phase I) for the French Creek watershed in conjunction with Crawford, Mercer and Venango Counties.
- 1995 - Develop a Storm Water Management Plan (Phase II) for the French Creek watershed.

NOTE: This schedule is subject to change depending upon the availability of funds at both the State and County levels of government.


EXHIBIT A

LOCATION MAP  
OF THE  
LAKE ERIE AND ELK CREEK WATERSHED AREAS





# ERIE COUNTY WATERSHED AREAS





COUNTY OF ERIE

Department of Planning

Erie, Pennsylvania 16501  
814/451-6336

Judith M. Lynch  
County Executive

David A. Skellie  
Director

LAKE ERIE AND ELK CREEK WATERSHED AREAS  
WATERSHED PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MEETING NOTICE

A meeting of the Watershed Plan Advisory Committee will be held on Monday, June 10, 1991 at 7:00 P.M. in Courtroom #2, Erie County Court House. Please make every effort to attend.

AGENDA

1. Call to Order.
2. Overview of Phase I Storm Water Management Planning Activities.
3. Discussion of Storm Water Management Issues as Reported in the Municipal Questionnaires.
4. Proposed Planning Approach and Emphasis for Phase II.
5. Estimated Costs and Completion Schedule for Phase II.
6. Future Activities.
7. Question and Answer Period.
8. Other Business.
9. Adjournment.

-----

NOTE: Enclosed please find a draft copy of the Phase I Storm Water Management Scope of Study for the Lake Erie and Elk Creek Watersheds. We ask that you please review this document at your earliest convenience and feel free to submit comments in writing to the Planning Department by the June 10, 1991 meeting date.

## APPENDIX E

---

*Statement of  
Qualifications and Experience  
Relative to Stormwater Management*

*March 1991*

Prepared by

**The Chester Engineers**  
A **CHESTER** Environmental Company  
P.O. Box 15851  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15244  
412 269-5700

Corporate Office

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**Page No.**SECTION I - COMPANY PROFILE**

Introduction .....	I-1
Office Locations .....	I-3
Personnel .....	I-4
Company Organization and Service Approach .....	I-5
Facilities and Equipment .....	I-6
Services Offered .....	I-11
Client Profile .....	I-12
Summary .....	I-13

**SECTION II - DEMONSTRATED COMMITMENT TO  
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLANNING**

General .....	II-1
Staff Commitment to Planning, Research and Development .....	II-2
Corporate Commitment Evidenced by Facilities and Equipment Provided .....	II-3
Commitment Evidenced Through the Use of Advanced Technologies .....	II-4

**SECTION III - STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROJECT EXPERIENCE**

Pennsylvania Act 167 Stormwater Management Planning: Phase I .....	III-1
Pennsylvania Act 167 Stormwater Management Planning: Phase II .....	III-5
Miscellaneous Stormwater Investigations .....	III-8
Stormwater Control Plan Review Services .....	III-12
Stormwater Control Facilities Design .....	III-12
Storm Sewer Design Projects .....	III-14
Summary .....	III-15

**SECTION IV - DESCRIPTION OF REMOTE SENSING AND  
GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM CAPABILITIES**

General .....	IV-1
Representative Projects .....	IV-1

**SECTION V - KEY PERSONNEL**

**SECTION I**

**Company Profile**

## SECTION I COMPANY PROFILE


### INTRODUCTION

**Chester Environmental Group (CHESTER)**, our new name effective January 1, 1990, communicates a unique history and experience in serving the needs of municipalities, industries and government regulatory agencies.

Chester Environmental Group is now organized with a Corporate Staff and four operating units that employ over 550 employees.

The units are:

- The Chester Engineers
- Chester LabNet
- Keystone Environmental Resources
- Environmental Technical Services, Inc.




Founded in 1910 CHESTER is rated by the Engineering News-Record as one of the top 20 firms in the country with more than fifty years of continuous experience in environmental engineering projects. The firm currently ranks 109 in the Engineering News-Record's top 500 design firms nationwide.

The Chester Engineers is experienced in all aspects of wastewater collection, treatment and reuse; water supply, treatment, storage and distribution; hazardous and nonhazardous solid waste management; hydrogeologic investigations; environmental impact and assessment studies; water quality modeling and water resources planning; air pollution control and abatement; and facilities design. The firm has the engineering and technological capability to develop programs from the earliest planning stage through design, management of construction, and supervision of operation.

Chester Engineers' activities concentrate on meeting the environmental engineering-related needs of government and industry. As a result, Chester Engineers' long experience, extensive resources, and broad scientific and professional engineering staff capabilities are focused on the specialized environmental engineering needs of our clients.





## OFFICE LOCATIONS

CHESTER maintains offices in the following cities:

Corporate Office: Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Regional Offices:

- Ann Arbor, Michigan
- Atlanta, Georgia
- Baltimore, Maryland
- Boulder, Colorado
- Cleveland, Ohio
- Gary, Indiana
- Houston, Texas
- Huntington, West Virginia
- Nashville, Tennessee
- Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
- Toronto, Ontario
- Vancouver, British Columbia
- Washington, D.C.

TABLE I-1

<b>CHESTER Environmental Group</b>		<b>Staff</b>	<b>Bachelor Degree</b>	<b>Graduate Degree (PhD)</b>	<b>Total Staff*</b>	<b>Registered<sup>1</sup></b>
<b>Engineering Disciplines</b>	Air Quality		4	2 (1)	9	1 PE
	Architects		2	1	3	3 RA
	Chemical		29	9 (2)	38	13 PE
	Civil		31	14 (1)	46	23 PE
	Construction		11		24	1 PE
	Electrical		5		6	2 PE
	Environmental		11	5 (2)	16	4 PE
	Estimating/Scheduling				4	
	Geotechnical			2 (1)	2	1 PE
	Industrial Technology		4		4	
	Management Systems		1	1	2	
	Mechanical		10		14	3 PE
	Petroleum		2	1	3	
	Plant Operations		2	4	14	
	Sanitary			4	4	4 PE
	Structural		3		3	2 PE
	Surveyors				1	1 PLS
	Treatability Specialists		1		3	3
	<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>116</b>	<b>43 (7)</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>Scientific Disciplines</b>	Biology		33	2	36	
	Chemistry		73	17 (4)	97	1 PE/CP
	Data Processing		3		6	
	Ecology		2	1 (1)	3	
	Economics		3		3	
	Environmental		10	1	11	
	Forestry		2	2	4	
	Geography		1		1	
	Geology		12	4	14	1 CPG
	Health and Safety		5		6	1 CIH
	Hydrogeology		10	6	16	3 CPG
	Mathematics		2	1	3	
	Meteorology		1		1	
	Microbiology		2		2	
	Regulatory Specialists		3		6	
	Toxicology		1	2 (2)	6	
	<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>163</b>	<b>5 (7)</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Technical and Others</b>	Administrative		13	2	35	2 PE
	Designers		3	1	15	
	Drafting and CAD/E Operators		3		20	
	Technicians		5	1	76	
	Laboratory Technicians		1		28	
	Secretarial		2		52	
	<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>27</b>	<b>4 (7)</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Totals Full Time</b>			<b>306</b>	<b>88 (14)</b>	<b>637</b>	<b>66</b>
<b>Part Time Not Classified</b>					<b>35</b>	
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>			<b>306</b>	<b>88 (14)</b>	<b>672</b>	<b>66</b>

<sup>1</sup> CPC = Certified Professional Chemists; CPG = Certified Professional Geologists; PE = Professional Engineer; PLS = Professional Land Surveyor; RA = Registered Architect; CIH = Certified Industrial Hygienist

\* Includes Technical/Associate Degrees and Non-Degreed Staff.

pmQcechart 5/91

## **PERSONNEL**

CHESTER's commitment to excellence is reflected in the firm's large, diverse and trained staff of engineers, scientists, and technicians profiled in the chart below. This staff currently numbers 535 individuals including engineers, surveyors, field engineers and technicians, architects, chemists, biologists, computer scientists, geologists, hydrologists, draftsmen, and CAD/E technicians. Fifty-seven of CHESTER's engineers are registered professional engineers in one or more states.

## **COMPANY ORGANIZATION AND SERVICE APPROACH - THE CHESTER ENGINEERS**

Briefly stated, The Chester Engineers' general approach to all engineering assignments is to furnish comprehensive scientific, engineering and architectural services provided by an experienced staff. These experts use state of the art equipment and technologies and are efficiently managed in a framework specifically structured to maximize technical competence.

The Chester Engineers is organized in a manner designed to use the best level of expertise applied to the specific needs of each of our clients in the most efficient way possible. Each department within The Chester Engineers organization is formed to satisfy specific client needs and/or provide critical support services. Staff members working in each department develop, through formal training and experience, the ability to efficiently and confidently respond to specific client needs. In this manner, Chester Engineers is able to maintain a depth of skills, training and experience across a broad range of environmental engineering services. This organizational structure also permits Chester Engineers to offer our clients the significant advantages associated with sole source procurement of engineering, geotechnical and laboratory services.

The resources of Chester Engineers are managed to meet the particular client's needs under the direction of an assigned project manager who employs a management organization system and a set of established internal procedures to organize and control each assignment. This system provides the appropriate combination of expertise from each department as necessary to complete assignments on time, within budget and to a high level of quality. It also provides our clients with a single contact professional who develops an intimate familiarity with the client's facilities, a comfortable working relationship with the client's management and staff, and a thorough understanding of the client's goals and special needs. In essence, our project managers function to focus the broad capabilities of Chester Engineers to meet the specific needs of our clients, direct the activities required to meet these needs and assure that our efforts accurately and fully respond to the client's wishes.

## FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT

The efforts of Chester Engineers' staff are supported and enhanced by the availability of a range of state of the art facilities and equipment. The capabilities provided by these facilities increase Chester Engineers' ability to respond fully to the needs of our clients while maximizing the efficiency and accuracy of our efforts.

### Computer Facilities

Chester Engineers' computer capabilities include data analysis, system modeling and design applications. Computer facilities consist of a Hewlett-Packard HP-3000 Series central computer and numerous peripheral and stand alone microcomputer work stations. High speed and high resolution multicolor plotting facilities are capable of producing engineering flow diagrams, isopleth maps of surface and subsurface data, graphic statistical displays and a wide range of two dimensional and perspective graphics.




An extensive library of scientific, engineering, graphics and statistical software has been amassed through in-house development efforts and third party software purchases. Specific software application packages used by Chester Engineers' staff include pipe network analyses, surveying and property plotting, hydraulic and hydrological modeling and engineering analyses, and slope stability evaluations.

The extensive utilization of computer assisted data management, engineering analysis, and graphics and document production techniques by our personnel translates into a number of advantages to our clients. Our ability to analyze data is increased, the evaluation of larger numbers of options and what-if scenarios is facilitated and the visual effectiveness of our presentations enhanced, all with the added benefits of increased accuracy and efficiency.

### Computer Aided Design/Engineering (CAD/E)

The application of and benefits offered by the utilization of digital computer technologies extend beyond data management and analysis and information processing. Computer Aided Drafting and Design (CADD) capabilities have revolutionized facilities design and Chester Engineers is a leader in the application of these capabilities to the design of water and wastewater treatment and piping facilities.

Chester's CAD/E facilities consist of an Intergraph Microstation System, HP Series 48 central computer, Intergraph software, IBM and Hewlett Packard micro-computers, multicolor plotting facilities, interactive graphic terminals and a digitizing table. Through the use of Inroads CADD software, Chester Engineers has the capability to develop landfill designs as well as other applications. These facilities are programmed,



operated and maintained by our data processing experts and computer programmers who are trained and experienced in the use of computers for solving design problems.

In CAD/E, engineering drawings are constructed as closely as possible to the normal construction sequence and are generated on one integral plane encompassing the entire limits of the work. This assures continuity between drawings because each discipline works within the same limits. Overlays which depict each of the functional disciplines, e.g. architectural, electrical, mechanical, structural, etc., can be viewed separately, together, or in any combination in order to prevent interferences. All drawing dimensions on CAD/E are entered at full scale and the computer maintains the relationship between lines for the drawing scale that is required. This reduces the need for repetitive checking, makes dimensional calculations unnecessary and assures that drawings are always to scale.

Material and quantity take-offs and schedules can be compiled and printed parallel to the production of drawings. The CAD/E system assures that drawings are consistent in form and notation. If desired, sections or whole drawings can be


enlarged for study or reduced for convenience. Experience has proven that the production of readily understandable, accurate, and reliable drawings serves to increase contractor confidence and reduce contingency allowances normally associated with estimates.

With CAD/E, drawing changes during the construction phase are easily accomplished. Field change orders can be accurately recorded on the drawings and the effect that they have on all aspects of the facility can be evaluated to determine if any interferences will result.

In summary, the CAD/E system provides our engineers, architects and designers with the capability to create, draft, analyze and control a project from the initial process selection or preliminary design phase through the final design, construction and operation phases. CAD/E has added precision, speed and flexibility to our drafting and design efforts which translate to improved designs and reduced costs during both the design and construction phases of projects.

#### **Sewer System Inspection and Monitoring Equipment**

Chester Environmental Group, through its wholly owned subsidiary Environmental Technical Services, Inc. (ETS), operates a fleet of sewer system inspection, maintenance and repair equipment and a complete inventory of sewer system flow monitoring equipment. This equipment is available for use as elements of sewer system, monitoring and evaluation programs conducted by Chester Engineers and provides us with ready and direct access to the sophisticated tools necessary to assess the condition of existing sewer systems and define appropriate remedial actions as warranted.



Specialized sewer cleaning, inspection and repair equipment directly available to Chester Engineers through ETS include complete internal closed circuit television inspection units with internal grouting capabilities; sewer jets, cleaners, rodders and vactors; associated support vehicles; and related safety equipment. Monitoring of sewage flows is accomplished through the use of an inventory of flow measuring and recording equipment including Steven's and Manning depth recorders, flow velocity probes, and Marsh-McBirney flow depth and velocity measurement and recording

devices. Automated, timed and flow proportioned and/or composite sewage sampling can be accomplished using our inventory of ISCO automatic samplers. Rainfall data, which is important in the evaluation of wastewater flow rate data, is routinely obtained on-site using continuously recording Belford rain gages.

The complete range of sewer system monitoring and inspection equipment available to Chester Engineers allows our engineers to design and implement large scale and effective field investigations of separate and combined sewer system. The fact that our engineers routinely work closely with the same team of field supervisors and technicians increases our confidence in the reliability of the data obtained.

### **Word Processing Center**

Chester Engineers' Word Processing Center is staffed by full-time operators and supervisors. The benefits of word processing include high quality output, simplified formatting, automation of repetitive documents, revision and update of documents quickly and input to the system via a variety of methods. Our internal communication network links the word processing center with our computer facilities, all departments at the corporate office and our branch offices. The center assists the technical staff in the production of reports, specifications, and operation and maintenance manuals, as well as the maintenance of our construction cost data base.


This capability permits us to respond rapidly to the word processing and document production needs of our clients while enhancing the quality and general appearance of the documents we produce on their behalf.

### **Chester LabNet**

Chester Environmental Group's (CHESTER) laboratories provide a full range of analytical laboratory services, including: 1) inorganic, organic and microbiological analyses; 2) physical-chemical determinations; 3) bench scale treatability studies; 4) priority pollutant analyses for NPDES permits; and 5) RCRA EP toxicity tests.

The laboratories are fully equipped and include the following major items of equipment:

- Gas chromatograph/mass spectrometers equipped with Tekmar liquid sample concentrator, data system, libraries and tape storage
- Gas chromatographs equipped with flame, electron capture, electrolytic conductivity, and photo ionization detectors
- Organic carbon analyzer equipped with direct injection and ampule capabilities
- Atomic absorption units equipped with hydride generation, cold vapor and carbon rod
- ICP spectrometer for metal analysis
- Liquid chromatograph for organic analysis
- Zero head-space extractor



CHESTER's laboratories provide our clients with direct and easy access to laboratory services and confidence that Chester LabNet's trained laboratory technicians and computer assisted quality assurance/quality control program will produce accurate analytical results.



## SERVICES OFFERED

The broad range of expertise offered by our staff and the variety and sophistication of the facilities at our disposal permits Chester Engineers to offer a complete range of environmental engineering, and related planning and architectural services. A listing of the services typically performed for our clients is presented in Table I-2.

**Table I-2**  
**The Chester Engineers**  
**Catalog of Services Offered**

- Planning, concept and final design, technical construction observation and operation services:
  - Stormwater management
  - Water supply, treatment, distribution and storage facilities
  - Wastewater collection, conveyance treatment and reuse facilities
  - Solid and hazardous waste handling, treatment and disposal facilities
- General facilities design
- Architectural studies and final design
- Sewer system evaluation and monitoring services
- Analytical laboratory services including GC/MS capabilities and the analyses required by the RCRA regulations
- Operations assistance: start-up, operator training, troubleshooting, monitoring, inspection, and the preparation of O&M Manuals
- Wastewater management reports
- Preparation of reports, permit applications and modules to meet state and EPA requirements
- Funding coordination and grants administration
- Financing and Rate Studies
- Water quality surveys and modeling studies
- Environmental assessments and impact statements
- Community and regional planning
- Water leak detection and hydraulic modeling

As is indicated by the list of services, Chester Engineers can function as a sole source supplier of all of the consulting environmental engineering services typically required by public and private sector clients. This broad capability allows us to fully respond to our clients' needs, maximize efficiency and assure consistency and quality control of the engineering services provided.

## CLIENT PROFILE

The quality and breadth of the services offered have enabled Chester Engineers to serve a broad range and wide variety of public and private sector clients across the country. Chester Engineers has provided consulting engineering services to hundreds of public sector clients across the country. Our public sector clients typically include authorities, cities, boroughs, townships, counties and state and federal government agencies.

Our active public sector clients range in size from authorities serving as few as 100 customers to metropolitan areas such as the City of Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority, Allegheny County Sanitary Authority and the Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County. Chester Engineers' client base also includes more moderately sized cities such as Huntington, West Virginia, and the cities of Erie, Harrisburg and Uniontown, Pennsylvania. We have provided Act 167 stormwater management consulting services to the following Pennsylvania counties:

- |             |                |
|-------------|----------------|
| • Allegheny | • Fayette      |
| • Armstrong | • Indiana      |
| • Butler    | • Mercer       |
| • Crawford  | • Union        |
| • Erie      | • Westmoreland |

In addition, we have provided consulting engineering services to government agencies such as the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, Pennsylvania Department of General Services, the U.S. Naval Facilities Engineering Command, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and the U.S. Department of Energy.

Private sector industrial clients include such major and diversified firms as Ashland Oil, Chrysler, IBM Corporation, General Electric Company, General Motors Corporation, Georgia-Kraft Company, Lockheed Corporation, McDonnell Douglas, U.S. Steel Corporation, Waste Management of North America and Weirton Steel.

## SUMMARY

During the 80 years that Chester Engineers has been a leader in the consulting profession, we have earned a reputation for engineering excellence, cost effective performance and client satisfaction. This is evidenced by a high volume of repeat business.

Our clients reap the benefits of our experience because we communicate with them to fully understand and respond to their project needs. This interaction is done through the efforts of our diversified, highly qualified personnel using 90's technology and equipment.

Chester Environmental Group is committed to providing excellent service to small, large, new and "old" clients for projects requiring either conventional or sophisticated engineering technology and management procedures.

**SECTION II**

**Demonstrated Commitment to  
Stormwater Management Planning**

**SECTION II**  
**DEMONSTRATED COMMITMENT TO STORMWATER**  
**MANAGEMENT PLANNING**

**GENERAL**

The Chester Engineers has over 80 years of experience in civil, sanitary and environmental engineering and planning. Much of this experience has been achieved in the area of stormwater management, including the following:

- Pennsylvania Act 167 Watershed Stormwater Management Plans
- Ongoing Act 167 Stormwater Management Plan administration assistance
- Special purpose stormwater management studies
- Storm sewer master planning
- Storm sewer design
- Watershed studies
- Non-point source pollution assessments
- Identification of best management practices for the control of non-point source pollutants
- Combined sewer overflow studies
- Runoff control facilities design

As a result of our long and diverse experience in stormwater management, Chester Engineers has developed extensive staff, facilities and equipment qualifications. Equally important, we have developed and demonstrated the ability to apply state-of-the-art technologies to achieve the completion of all aspects of stormwater management planning.

## STAFF COMMITMENT TO PLANNING, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The Chester Engineers' Planning and Studies Department and GeoSpatial Solutions Division include a staff of engineers and scientists whose primary responsibility includes the completion of stormwater analysis, modeling and planning projects. Resumes of individuals who serve as our lead stormwater consultants were provided in Section 7 of this qualifications statement. As indicated by the information provided in the resumes, Chester Engineers stormwater management consultants provide a broad range of academic training and experience.

One aspect of Chester Engineers' commitment to providing our clients with state-of-the-art stormwater management consulting service is our ongoing program of continuing staff education and participation in technology transfer. As is indicated in our staff resumes, personnel who participate in our stormwater management projects hold advanced degrees in related disciplines and/or have received ongoing training in stormwater management techniques. The technological strength of our staff is further evidenced by the number of professional papers which our staff members have participated in developing. Published papers include the following:

"An Application of Kriging to Rainfall Network Design." *Nordic Hydrology*. Denmark. 1988.

"Application of Remote Sensing and Geographic Information Systems in Assessment of a Storm Sewer Master Plan." Landuse Management Conference. Blacksburg, Virginia. 1990.

"Effect of Storm Distribution on Watershed Stormwater Management." Accepted for presentation at ASCE National Conference on Water Resources Planning and Management. New Orleans, Louisiana. 1991.

"Geographic Information System Application for Operational Modeling of Stormwater Runoff." Floodplain Stormwater Management Symposium. State College, Pennsylvania. 1988.

"Kriging in Spatial Analysis of Hydrologic Data." Masters Thesis. Asian Institute of Technology. Bangkok, Thailand. 1984.

"Optimal Interpolation of Rainfall Data by Kriging." *Journal of the Institution of Engineers*. India. 1986.

"Stormwater Management in Urban Collector Streams." University of North Carolina Water Resources Institute. Chapel Hill, North Carolina. 1986.

"The Use of Geographic Information Systems and Terrain Modeling in the Development of a Pennsylvania Stormwater Management Plan." 10th Annual American Geophysical Union Hydrology Days. Fort Collins, Colorado. 1990.

"The Use of Merged Imagery in GIS Database Development." Presented at 1989 ARC/INFO Users Group Meeting. Denver, Colorado.

"Use of Watershed Hydrologic Response in 208 Non-Point Source Planning." 14th American Water Resources Conference. Lake Buena Vista, Florida. 1978.

"Watershed Mapping Using Merged Thematic Mapper and SPOT Panchromatic Imagery." Geoscientific Information Systems Applied to Exploration and Research. *Denver GeoTech* '89. pp. 69-74.

#### **CORPORATE COMMITMENT EVIDENCED BY FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT PROVIDED**

The facilities and equipment provided to our staff in support of their stormwater management activities is evidence of our corporate commitment to excellence in this field. Each of our staff members involved in stormwater management is personally equipped with an IBM PS/2 personal computer which is fully networked to all other members of the department. This computer equipment is used for the data processing, modeling, word processing and graphics production activities involved in the completion of stormwater management assignments.

In addition, Chester Engineers' Computer Aided Design/Engineering (CAD/E) capabilities are available to support our stormwater management efforts by providing digitization, map production and design assistance services. Available CAD/E equipment consists of an Intergraph microstation system, HP Series 48 central computer, Intergraph software, IBM and Hewlett Packard microcomputers, multicolor plotting facilities, interactive graphic terminals and a digitizing table.

Presentation graphics preparation services are provided by Chester Engineers' Visual Resources Department. Equipment employed includes two IBM PS/2 graphics work stations, ITEK graphics camera, QMS 300 DPI laser printer, Compugraphics typesetter and HP optical scanner. This equipment is used to produce high quality documents and graphics in support of our stormwater management planning efforts.

Chester Engineers, through our sister firm Environmental Technical Services (ETS), has access to a full range of stream and open channel flow measuring, rainfall gaging and water sampling equipment and the manpower necessary to use that equipment. The inventory of equipment operated by ETS includes Gurley open channel flow velocity meters, a full range of liquid level and flow measuring and recording equipment, automatic samplers and continuously recording precipitation gages.

## **COMMITMENT EVIDENCED THROUGH THE USE OF ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES**

### **Computerized Computation and Modeling**

A key component of Chester Engineers' approach to our stormwater investigations and planning studies consists of maintaining and using a full compliment of computerized computational and modeling software. Due to the nature of the computations involved in estimating runoff and sizing stormwater management and control facilities, a wide variety of computer software has been developed. In order to be fully responsive to the various needs of our clients, Chester Engineers maintains a library of and working familiarity with a wide range of computational and modeling software. When circumstances warrant, we have developed new and/or customized existing software in-house to meet special needs and enhance effectiveness. This proprietary software is added to our library for use on future projects. A partial listing of the software maintained for use in conjunction with our stormwater activities follows:

- U. S. Corps of Engineers Flood Hydrograph Package (HEC 1)
- U. S. Corps of Engineers Generalized Computer Program for Water Surface Profiles (HEC 2)
- U. S. EPA Stormwater Management Model (SWMM)
- U. S. Soil Conservation Service Project Formulation - Hydrology (TR-20)
- U. S. Soil Conservation Service Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds (TR-55)
- Penn State Runoff Model (PSRM)
- Chester Engineers Modified Penn State Runoff Model (CPSRM)
- Chester Engineers Rainfall Analysis Program (RAP)
- Multi-Stage Outlet Design and Routing Model (MSRM)
- Small Watershed Interactive Runoff Management Model (SWIRM)
- Penn State Urban Hydrology Model (PSUHM)
- Intergraph InRoads and InFlow storm drainage modeling and design software



### **Remote Sensing System Data Acquisition**

An important parameter in stormwater modeling is land cover / land use. The accurate description of existing land cover / land use is a prerequisite for accurate stormwater modeling. The Chester Engineers has used remotely sensed data available from a the complete range of platforms to derive the land cover / land use information necessary for stormwater modeling, including the following:

- Traditional low level aerial photographs
- Color infrared high altitude aerial photographs acquired as part of the National Aeronautic and Space Administration's (NASA) National High Altitude Photography Program (NHAP)
- Landsat 5 Thematic Mapper (TM) multi-spectral satellite imagery providing a 30 meter ground resolution
- Specially ordered French SPOT Image Corporation SPOT1 panchromatic satellite images providing a 10 meter ground resolution

Remotely sensed data from these sources are preprocessed, enhanced and manually and/or digitally classified into land cover / land use categories. Expert system rule based queries have been used to extract additional information necessary to delineate industrial areas within urban areas, vegetation within urban areas and recent land cover changes.

### **Digital Data Acquisition**

Since stormwater investigations by nature lend themselves to computer analysis, efficiency is enhanced when the basic data can be acquired in or economically transformed into digital form. The Chester Engineers has considerable experience in the use of such data. The Chester Engineers routinely uses ground slope and aspect information derived from United States Geologic Survey Digital Elevation Models (U.S.G.S. DEMs) and Defense Mapping Agency Digital Elevation Models (DMA DEMs); road, stream and municipal boundary information obtained from U.S.G.S. Digital Line Graphs (DLGs); and land cover information derived from the previously referenced satellite acquired data. These data are acquired in digital form, and as such can be readily incorporated into many computerized analysis applications.

Some important information is not available in digital form and must be digitized prior to use. We have experience in using information which has been digitized by both hand and scan digitization methods. Digitized themes frequently used by Chester Engineers in our stormwater modeling activities include watershed boundaries, soils information and wet-lands locations.

### **Geographic Information Systems Applications**

Geographic Information Systems (GIS) are digital computer systems for capturing, processing, managing, displaying, modeling and analyzing geographically referenced spatial data. Since all of the basic data required for stormwater modeling are geographically referenced, GIS technologies are boon to stormwater management efforts. We make extensive use of GIS technologies in order to capture, organize and process the many layers of information necessary to complete stormwater modeling on any scale. The GeoSpatial Solutions division of Chester Engineers uses ERDAS<sup>™</sup> and ARC/INFO<sup>™</sup> GIS software to compile and process information and provide data to our specifications for direct input into our stormwater models. We have used this approach on a number of our projects to date. In every instance, the use of this technology has served to increase the amount and accuracy of the data provided while minimizing the cost of data processing.

**SECTION III**

**Stormwater Management  
Project Experience**

### SECTION III

## STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROJECT EXPERIENCE

### PENNSYLVANIA ACT 167 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLANNING: PHASE I

Watershed stormwater management planning under Pennsylvania's Stormwater Management Act (Act 167) is a two phase process. The first phase consists of the preparation of a *Scope of Study*. The purposes of the *Scope of Study* is to establish plan outcomes that will meet the objectives of Act 167; determine the cost-effectiveness of study procedures; provide consistency between county planning efforts; and eliminate duplication of effort. The completed *Scope of Study* includes Phase II task descriptions; a time schedule for the completion of Phase II; descriptions of the level of effort required to complete major tasks; and cost estimates for the completion of Phase II. As such, the *Scope of Study* is an integral part of the plan, providing detailed guidance for plan development.

The value of consultant experience is at a premium in preparing the Phase I *Scope of Study*. To a large extent, decisions relative to plan approach and estimates of required levels of effort, time requirements and costs must be based upon the consultant's judgement guided by relevant experience. The Chester Engineers is pleased to have participated in the development of the following *Act 167 Scopes of Study*.

#### Glade Run Phase I Scope of Study


The Glade Run watershed is approximately 25 square miles in size. It is located in Armstrong County, Pennsylvania and encompasses land which is primarily rural in nature. The far northern portion of the watershed has been heavily stripped for coal, but the majority of the watershed remains forested or is used for agricultural purposes. Municipalities in the watershed report increasing interest in residential development. Recent construction of an industrial park in the area appears to signal the beginning of an increase in both commercial and residential development in the area. These conditions pointed to the advisability of completing Act 167 watershed stormwater management planning and placing recommended stormwater management controls into place prior to the advent of extensive development.

In 1986, The Chester Engineers, in cooperation with the Armstrong County Department of Planning, prepared the *Phase I Scope of Study for the Glade Run Stormwater Management Plan*. As required, the *Scope of Study* outlined the approach and procedures to be followed during the preparation of the Phase II Plan and developed associated cost estimates and anticipated completion schedules. The *Phase I Scope of Study* received the approval of Armstrong County and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources.

### Turtle Creek Phase I Scope of Study

The Turtle Creek watershed is situated within Allegheny and Westmoreland counties in southwestern Pennsylvania. It encompasses approximately 150 square miles and includes all or portions of 30 municipalities. The Allegheny County portion of the watershed (roughly 1/3) is extensively developed into urban, commercial, industrial and residential land uses. The upstream, Westmoreland County portion of the watershed contains some urban concentrations, but also large tracts of undeveloped land. Flooding in areas of the Turtle Creek watershed has long been a problem. Act 167 planning was initiated to place necessary controls into place before anticipated future development exacerbates existing flooding problems.

The *Phase I Scope of Study for the Turtle Creek Watershed Stormwater Management Plan* was completed by Chester Engineers in 1987 in cooperation with the Allegheny County Planning Department and the Westmoreland County Conservation District. Due to the large size of the watershed and the diverse nature of land cover within the area, the Phase I investigations produced a work plan calling for the use of sophisticated remote sensing, image analysis and geographic information system technologies during Phase II. The *Phase I Scope of Study* was approved by Allegheny and Westmoreland counties and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources.



### Conneaut Outlet Phase I Scope of Study

The Conneaut Outlet watershed is located in Crawford County, northwestern Pennsylvania. A total of nine municipalities are situated within the watershed which encompasses a drainage area of approximately 90 square miles. Factors of particular interest in this project are that a major lake (Conneaut Lake) is situated in the upper reaches of the watershed and a large swamp (Conneaut Marsh) occupies much of the lower area of the basin. The *Phase I Scope of Study* addressed the existence of these major hydrologic features by incorporating supplemental stream flow gaging and analysis activities designed to characterize the effects of these features on runoff and stream flow.

The *Conneaut Outlet Phase I Scope of Study* was completed in 1989 with the cooperation of the Crawford Planning Commission. The document has been approved by Crawford County and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources.

#### **Breakneck Creek Phase I Scope of Study**

The Breakneck Creek watershed is situated primarily within Butler County in western Pennsylvania. A small portion of the upper reaches of the watershed is in Allegheny County. A total of eleven municipalities lie in the watershed which encompasses approximately 43 square miles. This area is expected to develop at a rapid rate within the next five to ten years with large developments currently in the preliminary planning stages. This impending development was the major impetus for initiating the Act 167 planning process and was identified as a major concern to be addressed in the Phase II effort.

The *Breakneck Creek Watershed Phase I Scope of Study* was completed by Chester Engineers in cooperation with the Butler County Planning Commission in 1989. The report was approved by Butler County and accepted by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources.

#### **Bull Run Phase I Scope of Study**

The Bull Run watershed is located in southeastern Union County, Pennsylvania. The Bull Run watershed is contained in three municipalities and covers an approximately 7.5 square mile area. The predominant land use in the watershed is agriculture, which is mainly located in the central and western portions of the watershed. Residential, commercial and industrial land use is concentrated in the vicinity of Lewisburg, which occupies the eastern portion of the basin.

Chester Engineers, together with the Union County Department of Planning completed the *Bull Run Watershed Phase I Scope of Study* in 1990. The document was approved by Union County and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources.

### **Monongahela River Phase I Scope of Study**

In 1990, Chester Engineers, in cooperation with the Allegheny County Planning Department completed the *Monongahela River Phase I Scope of Study* for the designated Monongahela River watershed in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania. The watershed encompasses approximately 106 square miles and all or portions of 34 municipalities. Much of the northern portion of the watershed is very highly urbanized. This includes the downtown, Golden Triangle and Oakland areas of the City of Pittsburgh and several extensively developed suburbs. Because development in these highly urbanized areas has essentially reached the saturation point, there is little need for hydrologic modeling for the purpose of developing standards and criteria for new development in such areas. The southern areas of the watershed, on the other hand, are much less densely developed and include large expanses of rural areas. In this portion of the watershed, the future development potential is high and the need for modeling is clear.

Based upon these considerations, the *Phase I Scope of Study* outlined a procedure for delineating those areas requiring differing levels of modeling and described the differing levels of effort and direction of emphasis to be followed in the urban (north) and suburban (south) portions of the watershed.

The *Monongahela River Phase I Scope of Study* was accepted by Allegheny County and approved by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources.

### **Redstone Creek Phase I Scope of Study**

The Redstone Creek watershed is located in Fayette County in southwestern Pennsylvania. All or portions of eleven municipalities lie within the watershed which drains northward from the Uniontown area to the Monongahela River. Preparation of this *Phase I Scope of Study* is currently underway.

### **Shenango River Phase I Scope of Study**

The Shenango River watershed lies in Crawford, Lawrence and Mercer counties in western Pennsylvania and portions of eastern Ohio. Portions of a total of 46 Pennsylvania municipalities lie within the basin. A notable feature of the Shenango River watershed is the fact that it contains several sizable flood control/recreational lakes. Outlining the procedures for accounting for the effects of these water bodies on stormwater runoff will be a major aspect of the *Phase I Scope of Study* which is currently under development.

## **PENNSYLVANIA ACT 167 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLANNING: PHASE II**

Phase II is the final and major component of the watershed stormwater management planning process under Pennsylvania's Stormwater Management Act. The *Phase II Stormwater Management Plan* is the basis for the entire management system and ongoing stormwater management activities. The key elements of the *Phase II Stormwater Management Plan* include the following:

- Hydrologic and hydraulic modeling of the watershed to permit the rational development of stormwater management performance criteria reflecting local conditions.
- Development and definition of specific criteria and standards to be used in the management of stormwater with the watershed.
- Identification of the optimal institutional arrangement and procedure for implementing the plan on a watershed basis.
- Recommendation of specific activities which must be undertaken by each municipality in order to implement the plan on the local level. This includes the identification of key elements of required local ordinances and regulations.

The production of a technically sound *Phase II Stormwater Management Plan* requires that the consultant be expert the technical elements associated with hydrologic modeling and stormwater control. For the final plan to be capable of being implemented and workable, the consultant must also be experienced in working with local government officials and developing practical model ordinance provisions. We point to the following Act 167 *Phase II Stormwater Management Plans* as evidence of our expertise and experience in these fields.




### Glade Run Phase II Stormwater Management Plan

The *Glade Run Phase II Stormwater Management Plan* was completed and approved by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources in 1990. Data describing topography, soil types, land use patterns, stream characteristics, stream restrictions and flood prone areas were input to the Penn State Runoff Model to determine watershed hydrologic characteristics. Landsat Thematic Mapper (TM) and NASA High Altitude Photograph (NHAP) images were obtained and classified to identify land cover characteristics. This information was combined with digitized Soil Conservation Service soil maps using the ERDAS<sup>™</sup> and ARC/INFO<sup>™</sup> image processing and GIS systems to assign runoff curve numbers. This data was combined in the GIS with USGS digital elevation models to produce the data set required by the Penn State Runoff Model. Once calibrated the model, was used to develop watershed wide stormwater control standards and specific performance criteria applicable in 90 individual subbasins delineated throughout the watershed.

The Plan presents recommendations relative to the institutional measures to be taken to codify the identified standards and criteria defined by the technical elements of the Plan. None of the municipalities in the watershed had a subdivision/land development ordinance. Instead, the municipalities relied upon a county level subdivision/land development ordinance administered by the Armstrong County Department of Planning. In view of this situation, Chester Engineers recommended that the stormwater management requirements be incorporated into the county subdivision/land development ordinance and that reviews and approvals relative to developers' stormwater controls be performed on the county level. Specific language to be contained in the amended county ordinance was identified in the Plan.

### Turtle Creek Phase II Stormwater Management Plan

The *Draft Turtle Creek Phase II Stormwater Management Plan* was completed in 1990. Digital satellite imagery obtained from the Landsat Thematic Mapper (TM) and SPOT1 satellite and infrared photos from the NASA National High Altitude Photography (NHAP) were used to delineate land cover classes in the watershed. This information was combined with digital terrain information, digitized watershed boundaries and soil group locations in the ERDAS<sup>™</sup> and ARC/INFO<sup>™</sup> geo-



graphic information systems to produce the data set necessary to perform the required hydrologic modeling using the Penn State Runoff Model.

The large size of the watershed and the desire to as closely as possible retain and reflect the actual stream topology in the construction of the model produced a large number of subbasins to be modeled (in excess of 500). In response, Chester Engineers modified the Penn State Runoff Model and used the modified version to speed data input, facilitate analysis of model output and permit simultaneous modeling of over 160 subbasins.

Watershed wide stormwater standards recommended and specific control standards were developed for each of the over 500 subbasins comprising the Turtle Creek watershed. A review of the current status of applicable ordinances revealed that the majority of the municipalities within the watershed operate under their own subdivision/land development ordinances. However, most of the existing ordinances are deficient in specific stormwater management provisions. In order to retain the current institutional structure, the Plan recommended that implementation be achieved through local municipal ordinances. The Plan presented model local ordinance provisions to be used by each municipality to bring existing ordinances into compliance. In order to encourage consistent application of the stormwater management system across municipal and county boundaries, the Plan recommended that the Allegheny County Department of Planning and the Westmoreland Conservation District perform an ongoing review and comment function during plan implementation and administration.

#### **Conneaut Outlet Phase II Stormwater Management Plan**

The *Conneaut Outlet Phase II Stormwater Management Plan* is currently under development.

#### **Monongahela River Phase II Stormwater Management Plan**

The *Monongahela River Phase II Stormwater Management Plan* is currently under development.

#### **Bull Run Phase II Stormwater Management Plan**

The *Bull Run Phase II Stormwater Management Plan* is currently under development.

## **MISCELLANEOUS STORMWATER INVESTIGATIONS**

The Chester Engineers has been involved in a number of stormwater investigations apart from our Act 167 stormwater management activities. These investigations include watershed wide studies roughly paralleling Act 167 plans; investigations into site specific problems; and investigations preparatory to the design of drainage facilities.

### **Broadway Interceptor Area Stormwater Management Plan**

Under contract to the Char-West Council of Governments, Chester Engineers developed a stormwater management plan for the Broadway Interceptor drainage area in the McKees Rocks Borough, and Stowe and Kennedy township areas in southwestern Pennsylvania. This project incorporated most of the elements of an Act 167 stormwater management plan. It included the analysis of existing conditions, use of the Penn State Runoff Model for peak flow computations, the development of engineering alternatives and the establishment of the necessary institutional structure necessary for plan implementation.

### **Lewis Run Hydraulic and Mapping Study**

The Chester Engineers completed a hydraulic and mapping study of the Lewis Run watershed in southwestern Pennsylvania in order to develop the database required for further stormwater management planning. A significant portion of this investigation involved the development of a stormwater drainage map of the entire watershed. A detailed stream survey was conducted to identify existing problem areas and to determine the hydraulic capacities of major stream crossings.

### **Franklin Park Borough Runoff Control Study**

This study consisted of the inspection and analysis of all existing stream obstructions within the Rippling Run and Lowries Run watersheds in the Borough of Franklin Park, Pennsylvania. Baseline and future runoff conditions were established using aerial photography, USGS topographical maps and the Penn State Runoff Model. Existing problem areas and potential future problems were identified using the model. A ten year implementation plan consisting of recommended improvements to the stormwater system was developed. A stormwater management ordinance and related regulations and standards to implement stormwater control for future development were also developed as part of the study.

### **Fox Hollow Stormwater Management Plan**

Fox Hollow is a 2+ square mile watershed in Williamsport, Pennsylvania which is divided into three main land use types. The steeply sloped headwater area is suburbanizing, with increasing peak flows causing channel erosion. The central portion is an older, residential area with undersized stream culverts. The lowest portion is a very flat, prime industrial area that experiences frequent flooding. For water to exit the area, it first must pass through inadequate highway culverts and the Susquehanna River Flood Level System. A comprehensive stormwater management plan that addresses existing and projected future stormwater handling requirements was developed.

The hydrologic design was based on computer simulations using the Penn State Runoff Model. The structural alternatives evaluated included diversions, flood water detention basins, flood pumping stations, and conventional interior storm drainage facilities. The project also addressed the need for on-site runoff controls for both existing and new development. The recommended implementation plan included preliminary construction cost estimates and a prioritized implementation sequence.

### **Town of McCandless Stormwater Master Plan**

The Town of McCandless is a rapidly growing community near the City of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. The Town encompasses approximately 20 square miles and contains four separate watersheds. The Chester Engineers, under contract to the Town, developed a master plan providing direction and guidance to managing runoff from the rapidly developing areas of the municipality.

The scope of services included an investigation of rainfall intensity, development of existing and projected land uses, calculation of the resulting quantity of stormwater runoff and subsequent implementation of the plan. The plan, which was developed for each watershed, provides the municipality with the recommended measures to be taken to control urban stormwater runoff.

### **Port Allegany Stormwater Master Plan**

The Borough of Port Allegany has only a rudimentary stormwater drainage system. Most surface water drainage is through open ditches. The portion of the piped drainage system that does exist has capacity restrictions where it passes under buildings and railroad tracks. The Master Plan developed by Chester Engineers provided a conceptual design of a completely new storm sewer system for the entire Borough. The design objective was to divert as much water as possible away from the business and industrial areas that were experiencing flooding. Since the site evaluation indicated virtually no opportunities for on-site stormwater management techniques, the project was conducted in a traditional storm sewer planning framework. The project report presented line capacities, sizes and grades as well as cost estimates and implementation priorities.

### **Assessment of Huntington Storm Sewer Master Plan**

The Chester Engineers has been privileged to have served the City of Huntington, West Virginia for many decades. In 1947, Chester Engineers prepared a *Master Plan for Storm Sewers* for the City. Available funds has limited the extent to which of the recommended storm sewer system has been constructed. However, recent efforts are being made to proceed with the construction of needed storm sewer facilities. Upon our recommendation, Chester Engineers conducted a review of the procedures employed and land cover and rainfall data used during the preparation of the 1947 Plan to determine whether duplication of the detailed and expensive investigations could be avoided. The original sewer design was based upon the Rational Method which computes stormwater runoff from land cover and design storm precipitation characteristics. Therefore, our assessment of the continued validity of the Plan was based upon our assessment of the validity of the rainfall data and land cover statistics employed in 1947.

The rainfall intensity-duration curves used in 1947 were compared to current design standards and were found to reasonably conform. An analysis of current land cover and land use was carried out to determine the current percent impervious statistics for comparison to the 1947 estimates. This analysis was performed using a combination of digital and analog methods of analysis combined in a rule based expert system query. The basic information used to classify land use was obtained from a SPOT panchromatic scene and NASA National High Altitude Photography (NHAP) program photographs. It was determined that the estimates used in the 1947 analysis were sufficiently accurate to validate their continued validity for 37 of the 48 sewer districts in the City. The report concluded that there is no need to duplicate the calculations in the 37 sewer districts, which permits rapid and economical progress to the design phase for those areas of the City.

## **HEC 2 Analysis of Spring Creek Channel Relocation**

The relocation of a portion of the Spring Creek channel is an aspect of an interceptor sewer construction project designed by Chester Engineers for the Harrisburg, Pennsylvania Authority. In support of the acquisition of necessary permits, Chester Engineers conducted an analysis of the effects of the channel relocation upon water surface profiles and 10, 50, 100 and 500 year floodways. This was accomplished by obtaining the HEC 2 models used in the development of the Flood Insurance Study for the area. The models were modified to reflect the proposed channel alterations and run to determine changes to water surface profiles. This analysis determined that the proposed channel relocation will not adversely affect water surface profiles nor expand the floodway.

## **Chartiers Creek Interceptor Capacity Study**

The Allegheny County Sanitary Authority's Chartiers Creek Interceptor conveys wastewater collected in 22 municipalities in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania. Wastewater conveyed by this interceptor is collected by a combination of separate sanitary and combined sewer systems. The Chester Engineers is in the process of completing an assessment of the capacity of this interceptor in order to define options available relative to expansion of the current service area and the reduction of combined sewer overflows through the acceptance of additional stormwater runoff. We are using the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency's Stormwater Management Model (SWMM) to simulate existing and future dry and wet weather flows from the current tributary area, model the performance of the interceptor and assess the reserve capacity of the facilities.

Assembly of the hydrologic characteristics database is being accomplished using the remote sensing, image analysis and GIS techniques we employ as standard procedure during our Act 167 stormwater management planning activities. The database will be expanded to include demographic information necessary to estimate dry weather wastewater flows under SWMM. This will be accomplished by digitizing U.S. Census Tract boundaries and overlaying the associated demographic statistics over identified sewer system boundaries in the GIS environment.

## **STORMWATER CONTROL PLAN REVIEW SERVICES**

A key element of stormwater management plans is the ongoing review of developers' proposed stormwater control facilities at the local and county levels of government. It is important to the success of the overall stormwater management plan that the control facilities actually constructed are designed in conformance with the plan's standards and criteria. The Chester Engineers provides consulting services in support of such review activities as illustrated by the following examples.

### **Allegheny County Plan Review Services**

The Chester Engineers provides stormwater control plan review services to the Allegheny County Department of Planning. These services include the review of stormwater control plans submitted by land developers under the requirements of existing stormwater management plans in the County. Our assessment of compliance with the plan requirements is used by the County as the basis for recommending approval or disapproval of the developers' submittals. This ongoing service provides Chester Engineers with an awareness of current stormwater management practices. In addition, it provides us with valuable experience with the interpretation and application of stormwater management criteria, standards and ordinances.

### **Martinsburg Plan Review Services**

As the City of Martinsburg, West Virginia's consulting engineer, Chester Engineers conducts detailed engineering reviews of all site development plans to ensure that proposed facilities will meet the standards established by the City's stormwater management ordinance.

## **STORMWATER CONTROL FACILITIES DESIGN**

Stormwater control facilities constructed in support of land development are the bottom line in stormwater management. These facilities perform the function of implementing the runoff control standards and criteria developed by stormwater management plans and codified in stormwater management ordinances and regulations. The following are examples of Chester Engineers experience in the design of such facilities.

### **McIntyre Hospital Stormwater Control Facilities**

The objective of this project was the development of an overall stormwater control system for the project site which would meet the stormwater management principle of no increase in peak runoff following development. This was accomplished through a system of swale drainage ditches and conventional storm sewers which terminate in a large underground storage culvert. The facility was designed as an off-line structure to permit low flows to bypass the primary structure to reduce sedimentation and corrosion from winter road treatment chemicals. The outlet was designed with multiple releases to permit only the predevelopment peak flow rate for all storms up to the specified design storm. Storm flow in excess of the outflow rate is stored and released gradually as inflow decreases. The Chester Engineers worked closely with the landscape architect so that the stormwater control facilities were integrated with the overall site grading plan for maximum aesthetic benefit.

### **McDonald's Corporation Stormwater Detention Facilities**

The Chester Engineers was responsible for the design of a stormwater management facility to limit post-development peak discharges to predevelopment levels. This was achieved through the use of oversized pipe with reduced outlet capacity. This represents a simple but effective means of achieving the detention storage capacity necessary to reduce peak discharge rates within the framework of a conventional storm sewer system for a single lot development.

### **Cerro Copper Products Company Stormwater Facilities**

This project consisted of the design of facilities to collect stormwater and process water generated on an industrial site, store it as necessary to minimize peak discharge rates and pump it to an existing municipal sewer system. The final design provides underground storage of approximately one million gallons and a pump station capable of discharging 9,000 gallons per minute of wastewater to the municipal system. Approximately 1,600 linear feet of box culvert provides approximately 60% of the storage volume. This system will prevent surcharge from the system back into the collection system for storms up to the ten year return frequency. Longer return frequency storms will require the use of temporary additional pumping capacity or collection system surcharge.



## **STORM SEWER DESIGN PROJECTS**

The Chester Engineers has a long history of successfully designing traditional storm sewer system. The following are descriptions of representative municipal storm sewer design projects.

### **Forty-Fort Borough Storm Sewer Facilities**

This Federal Department of Housing and Urban Development project located in northern Pennsylvania included the design of approximately 35,000 feet of 17 inch to 84 inch storm sewers and appurtenances. The scope of work also included surface restoration and reconstruction of streets, curbs, trees, lawns and sidewalks. Engineering services provided by Chester Engineers included preliminary survey and design, final design and plans, general project services during construction and resident inspection.

### **City of Huntington Storm Sewer Facilities**

The Chester Engineers was responsible for the design of this stormwater collection system project for the City of Huntington, West Virginia. This project consisted of:

- Construction of 72 inch main outfall sewers, including headwalls, sluice gates, sluice gate chambers, bridges, piers, other appurtenances and all work required for the removal and restoration of a concrete flood wall.
- Construction of all storm sewers, junction chambers, manholes, catch basins and other appurtenances located along Second Street.
- Construction of the main storm sewer and appurtenances located along Ninth Street, Eleventh Street and all tributary lines.
- All sewers and appurtenances located west of Eighth Street and south of relocated Second Avenue and a 54 inch storm sewer in Second Avenue.

### **City of Williamsport Storm Sewer Facilities**

This project consisted of the construction of approximately 30,000 feet of storm and sanitary sewers. The storm sewers consisted of reinforced concrete (18 inches to 66 inches in diameter), and horizontal elliptical (34 inches by 53 inches to 68 inches by 106 inches in size) pipe. Street reconstruction and surface restoration was also performed. Engineering services included master plan preparation, design and general project services and resident project services during construction.

### **SUMMARY**

The Chester Engineers has a long history and extensive experience in all aspects of stormwater management planning and facilities design. This, coupled with our commitment to remaining at the forefront of the application of developing technologies, uniquely qualifies Chester Engineers to provide state, county and local governments with quality stormwater management consulting services.

## **SECTION IV**

### **Description of Remote Sensing and Geographic Information System Capabilities**

## **SECTION IV**

### **DESCRIPTION OF REMOTE SENSING AND GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM CAPABILITIES**

#### **GENERAL**

The Chester Engineers, through its GeoSpatial Solutions Division offers full service remote sensing, geographic information and image processing services ranging from software development, system design and final data processing. Chester Engineers' GeoSpatial Solutions Division translates our clients' needs into the optimum solution by considering all aspects of information requirements, available data, state-of-the art software, equipment and analysis alternatives. Because of GeoSpatial Solutions' demonstrated expertise in remote sensing technologies and custom software development we are much more than a "computer mapping" company. We offer our clients the complete package of remote sensing, data acquisition, image analysis and geographic information system (GIS) processing capabilities necessary to efficiently bring these technologies to bear on the solution of immediate and real problems.

GeoSpatial Solutions uses a variety of computing tools to solve the problems presented in natural resource management, terrain analysis, planning and impact assessment projects. Services offered include acquisition and analysis of Landsat, SPOT, AVHRR, Seasat, SAR, DMSP, and passive microwave imagery. GIS processing is accomplished using ARC/INFO, MOSS, MAPS, GRASS, IDRISI and EPPL7 software packages. Image analysis is carried out using ERDAS and ELAS. Data are entered into these software systems using both manual and automated digitizing techniques. GIS and image processing packages are supplemented with software developed by GeoSpatial Solutions to translate data and provide additional procedures unavailable in commercial packages.

In addition to the application and development of state-of-the-art GIS and remote sensing techniques, GeoSpatial Solutions provides system development and support. Our staff has extensive experience in all aspects of specification, development and maintenance of GIS and image processing software and hardware.

#### **REPRESENTATIVE PROJECTS**

The following are brief descriptions of recent projects conducted by the GeoSpatial Solutions Division of Chester Engineers.

### **Mapping and GIS Analysis for Planning and Stormwater Management**

Our recently completed and on-going Act 167 Stormwater Management Planning efforts are supported by GeoSpatial Solutions' development of digital geographic databases for land use planning and input into the hydrologic models employed. These efforts include acquisition and processing of aircraft and satellite imagery (National High Altitude Program photographs, digital SPOT imagery and multispectral Thematic Mapper data) and digital elevation data to provide terrain and land cover information for subbasins within the watersheds under study. Digital image enhancement, multispectral classification and GIS techniques are used to combine the various types of data and to extract the information needed to define hydrologic conditions within the watersheds. Other classes of information such as hydrologic soils groups, transportation networks and administrative boundaries are captured using a combination of scan- and manual digitizing techniques. The resulting vector data are converted to raster form and included in the digital database. Image processing and GIS analysis activities are performed using the ERDAS and ARC/INFO systems.

Satellite images and aerial photographs are used to provide land cover information. Soil units digitized from Soil Conservation Service (SCS) soils plats and terrain calculated from USGS digital elevation models are combined to yield estimates of representative slopes, overland flow lengths, tributary areas, percent impervious surface coverage and SCS runoff curve numbers. Other information provided in the databases includes roads, hydrography and political boundaries.

Data acquisition and GIS analysis activities were furnished in support of the completed Phase II Act 167 Stormwater Management Plans for the 150 square mile Turtle Creek Watershed and the 25 square mile Glade Run Watershed. Similar activities are in progress in support of our on-going Phase II Act 167 Stormwater Plans for the 90 square mile Conneaut Outlet Watershed, 7.5 square mile Bull Run Watershed and 106 square mile Monongahela River Watershed.

Remote sensing and GIS techniques have also been used in the preparation of an update and assessment of a Storm Sewer Master Plan for the City of Huntington, West Virginia, the development of a Master Water Plan for West Deer Township, Pennsylvania and the evaluation of the capacity of the Allegheny County Sanitary Authority's Chartiers Creek interceptor. In each of these cases, the attributes of a variety of data sources and the capabilities of remote sensing and GIS technologies were melded in applications supporting classical civil/environmental engineering and planning projects.

### **Large-Area Database Development**

GeoSpatial Solutions' staff have been responsible for the creation of geographic databases for areas covering as much as 2,000,000 acres in the western U.S. These databases typically included contiguous digital elevation data sets created from mosaicked digital elevation models, land cover information derived from Landsat multispectral classifications, and other information collected by digitizing and rasterizing hydrographic, transportation, soils, and administrative themes.

### **GIS Terminal Configuration for the United States Bureau of Indian Affairs**

GeoSpatial Solutions was responsible for configuring three high-performance workstations for use as Geographic Information Systems Graphics Terminals by local offices of the U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Indian Affairs. This work integrates with the Map Overlay and Statistical (MOSS)/Map Analysis Package (MAP) family of software. The principal use of these GIS terminals is for use with MOSS/MAP and associated GIS software but they also provide for local office automation processing such as word processing, database management, spreadsheet and project management. In this way, the microcomputers can be put to maximum use for both office and technical work. The terminals are also integrated with graphics devices such as laser printers and pen plotters.

### **Alaska Initial Attack Management System**

GeoSpatial Solutions developed and implemented an operational initial Attack Management System for the U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Alaska Fire Service. This system was required to interface with the Department of the Interior's Map Overlay and Statistical (MOSS)/Map Analysis Package (MAP).

The project involved the development of a new, special purpose geographic information system (GIS) to augment the capabilities of MOSS/MAP. The system required the integration of data from a wide variety of disparate data sources into a comprehensive GIS. Data from Automated Lightning Detection System (ALDS) and Remote Automated Weather Stations (RAWS) as well as digital terrain data, wildfire fuel types and fire suppression status can be integrated using this system. The system interfaces with the U.S. Forest Service fire modeling program BEHAVE. Compatibility with MOSS/MAP is maintained throughout the system. The system integrates a wide variety of functionality via extensive use of macros to assist applications processing. The final product is a comprehensive Initial Attack Management System to address the specific information needs of BLM's fire suppression activities in Alaska.

### **Quick Response Atlas and Terrain Mapping for Fire Departments**

Fire departments require accurate and up-to-date information on residences in their districts. In addition, fire departments with the responsibility for controlling wildfires and fires in the wildland/urban interface can benefit from terrain and fire fuels information. Using the ARC/INFO GIS system and digital data from a variety of sources including local planning agencies, United States Geological Survey (U.S.G.S.) Digital Line Graph (DLG) files, U.S. Census TIGER files, digital terrain models and aerial photographs, GeoSpatial Solutions has developed maps and a digital data base for the Cherryvale Fire Protection District in Boulder, Colorado. The resulting digital information is easily updated and can be used to provide a variety of data and map types.

### **I2S WS Function for Workshop Processing of Classified Images**

GeoSpatial Solutions developed a new image processing capability for the U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management (BLM) at their Denver Service Center. Using the local hardware processing capabilities of the International Imaging Systems (I2S) Model 70 Image Display, we developed a capability for interactively roaming, zooming and pseudocoloring a classified image. This capability did not previously exist and was required for rapid assessment of classified imagery by field personnel in land cover mapping.

The importance of image classification workshops for field office personnel cannot be overemphasized. Any capabilities of the image processing system which will improve the flow of classification and evaluation process is a great asset in the mapping process. Through effective software development techniques and an in-depth understanding of the information requirements of field personnel, this software development effort significantly improved the BLM's workshop display capabilities.

### **BLM Wyoming Coal Verification Work**

GeoSpatial Solutions recently conducted a project for the U.S. Department of the Interior in Wyoming to develop and implement a Coal Production Verification System. This system integrates a dBase III+ application developed on an IBM PC with the MOSS/MAP software to provide true relational database management capabilities integrated with GIS capabilities. The system also interfaces with a digital photogrammetric system for the verification of volumes removed from coal mines. Other software required by geologists and mining engineers is also available as part of this system. The system is unique in that it integrates a wide variety of public domain and commercial software to address the problem of coal production verification in a comprehensive manner.

### **MOSS Symbols Software**

GeoSpatial Solutions recently completed major revisions to the Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management's MOSS software for mapping symbol generation and management. This work involved the development and implementation of new mapping symbols and re-writing of the software to permit more user flexibility in managing MOSS mapping symbols.

The software has provided a MOSS/MAP capability previously unavailable to users. It combines several FORTRAN 77 routines with a macro driver to facilitate easy use. The software integrates a wider selection of mapping symbols including oil and gas symbols with a convenient method for the management and addition of mapping symbols by the MOSS/MAP user. The result is an easy to use facility for the creation of maps of superior readability.

### **MOSS/MAPS Slope, Aspect Enhancement**

GeoSpatial Solutions performed a number of software enhancements to the MOSS/MAP software and used extensively by the U.S. Department of the Interior. These enhancements were requested of GeoSpatial Solutions by the Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management because of GeoSpatial Solutions' extensive experience with this software and a proven record of transforming resource information requirements to effective information systems capabilities. One such enhancement includes modification of the MOSS/MAPS slope/aspect function to provide several options for masking or interpolating missing or invalid data.

### **DEM Arc-Second Import Command for MOSS/MAPS**

GeoSpatial Solutions developed a command for the MOSS/MAPS package to permit transfer and reformatting of 1:250,000-scale digital elevation models (arc-second Defense Mapping Agency data) as supplied by the U.S. Geological Survey. The import command extracts header information and converts the DEM-format data into standard MAPS cell files.

### **Projection-Change and Resampling Command for MOSS/MAPS**

GeoSpatial Solutions built a MAPS command to allow conversion of MAPS cell files between a variety of different map projections. Second-order transformations are created to predict grid positions between input and output maps and one of several available resampling techniques are used to fill the output map.



### **MOSS Point to Polygon Distance Determination**

GeoSpatial Solutions recently completed the development of a new MOSS software capability to permit the calculation of distances between point locations and polygonal areas. This capability was previously unavailable to Department of the Interior agencies and was required for a number of geological analyses.

### **PC/MOSS**

GeoSpatial Solutions recently completed the conversion of elements of the MOSS software system from the minicomputer environment to the Personal Computer environment for the U.S. Department of the Interior. This new software development, in combination with increased cost effectiveness of Personal Computer hardware for technical data processing, will permit the use of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) technology by organizations which previously could not afford such an approach to mapping needs.

### **Cartographic Output Systems Survey**

GeoSpatial Solutions recently completed a comprehensive survey of computerized cartographic output systems for the U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management. This survey investigated the software systems available and reported technical details of the relative merits of the various systems to suit the needs of the Bureau. This effort provides further evidence of GeoSpatial Solutions' leadership position in computer applications to the mapping sciences. The performance of efforts proves beneficial to GeoSpatial Solutions' goal of remaining well informed of the state-of-the-art.

### **Bureau of Indian Affairs On-Site Support**

For several years, GeoSpatial Solutions provided full time on-site technical support to the U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Indian Affairs *Geographic Information Systems and Remote Sensing Program*. This program provides computerized mapping services nation-wide to assist in the effective collection, assimilation, analyses, interpretation and reporting of land use information. The role of GeoSpatial Solutions in this program ranged from computerized mapping systems hardware and software support to specialized applications projects requiring a comprehensive understanding of a wide range of spatial data handling techniques.

### **Bureau of Indian Affairs ERDAS™, ELAS, ARC/INFO™, MOSS On-Site Support**

GeoSpatial Solutions was responsible for acquiring, installing and supporting the remote sensing and GIS systems (ERDAS, ELAS and ARC/INFO) currently installed for the U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Indian Affairs GIS activities. GeoSpatial Solutions staff have attended ERDAS and ARC/INFO training and information sessions and fully understand the operation, file structures and data transfer requirements of each system.

### **Custom GIS and Data Analysis Services for Arctic Sea-Ice Information**

Unique applications often yield data sets that require specialized processing and services. GeoSpatial Solutions has provided such services to Arctic Analysts, Inc. to analyze satellite derived information on sea-ice in the Arctic. Tasks include specialized software to convert data to ARC/INFO format and analysis in combination with satellite imagery.

### **University of Colorado GIS Class Instruction**

GeoSpatial Solutions personnel have participated in lectures for the benefit of a University of Colorado course in GIS and Computerized Cartography. GeoSpatial Solutions staff provides expert training in such work and their insights and experiences prove useful to those anticipating the use of these technologies. GeoSpatial Solutions personnel have instructed the GIS and Computerized Cartography classes offered to seniors and graduate students in Geography at the University of Colorado. The nature of this training is much like that needed by many other organizations considering involvement in GIS work. GeoSpatial Solutions has provided this and similar training to other organizations as part of the technology transfer often associated with major projects.

### **Bureau of Land Management GIS and Remote Sensing Training**

GeoSpatial Solutions has given training to U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management personnel in the areas of geographic information systems and remote sensing. In particular, this training addressed the application of Department of the Interior systems to natural resource management information needs. Topics covered included the use and management of GIS and digital image processing systems, digital image processing techniques, and GIS techniques.

### On-Going Research and Development

GeoSpatial Solutions typically maintains a variety of research and development activities designed to improve project performance, expand capabilities and maintain the professional development of staff members. Current work includes investigation of geo-rectification of vector data using least-squares transformations, application of point-spread functions to the reconstruction of resampled satellite imagery, integration of GIS capabilities with existing runoff models, improved methods of constructing topological information from scan-digitized data and investigation of combining multispectral, multi-sensor imagery to provide data across a broad range of the energy spectrum. The following is a listing of technical papers published by GeoSpatial Solutions personnel.

“An Assessment of SPOT Simulator Data for Rangeland Resource Mapping” *SPOT Simulations Handbook*. SPOT-Image Corporation. 1984.

“Application of Remote Sensing and GIS in Assessment of Storm Sewer Master Plan.” International Conference on the Application of GIS and Knowledge Based Systems for Landuse Management. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University. Blacksburg, Virginia. 1990.

“Arctic Sea Ice Characteristics and Associated Atmosphere-ice Interactions.” *Geojournal*. 1989.

“Cloud Classification from Satellite Data Using a Fuzzy Sets Algorithm: A Polar Example.” *International Journal of Remote Sensing*. 1988.

“Cloud Classification of Merged AVHRR and SMMR Arctic Data with Neural Networks.” *Photogram. Eng. Rem. Sens.* 1989.

“Comparison of Nimbus 7 Scanning Multichannel Microwave Radiometer Radiance and Derived Sea Ice Concentrations with Landsat Imagery for the North Water Area of Baffin Bay.” *J. Geophys. Res.* 1988.

“Digital and Manual Analysis of Seasat-A Synthetic Aperture Radar Data” Report 120/OR. Office for Remote Sensing of Earth Resources. Pennsylvania State University. University Park, Pennsylvania. 1981.

“Geographic Information System Application for Operational Modeling of Stormwater Runoff.” Floodplain/Stormwater Management Symposium. University Park, Pennsylvania. 1988.

"Impacts of High Resolution Data on an Operational Remote Sensing Program." 10th Symposium on the Remote Sensing of the Environment. LARS. Purdue University. 1983.

"Import of Scan Digitized Data into PC-MOSS: A Case Study." 4th MOSS Users Workshop. Denver, Colorado. 1987.

"Interannual Variability of Short-period Changes in Sea Ice and Atmospheric Conditions in the Canada Basin." Second Conference on Polar Meteorology and Oceanography. Madison, Wisconsin. 1988.

"Merging AVHRR and SMMR Data for Remote Sensing of Ice and Cloud in Polar Regions." *International Journal of Remote Sensing*. 1989.

"Problems of Cloud Cover Analysis in Polar Regions." Abstract in Clouds in Climate II Workshop. World Climate Program. Columbia, Maryland. 1987.

"Remote Sensing in Antarctica and the Southern Ocean: Applications and Developments." In Press, *Antarctic Science*.

"Short-term Interactions Between Atmospheric Synoptic Conditions and Sea Ice Behavior in the Canadian Basin." *Annals of Glaciology*. 1989.

"The Use of GIS and Terrain Modeling in the Development of a Pennsylvania Stormwater Management Plan." 10th Annual American Geophysical Union Hydrology Days. Fort Collins, Colorado. 1990.

"The Use of Merged Imagery in GIS Database Development." ARC/INFO Users' Group Meeting. Denver, Colorado. 1989.

"Watershed Mapping Using Merged Thematic Mapper and SPOT Panchromatic Imagery." Geoscientific Information Systems Applied to Exploration and Research. *Denver GeoTech '89*.

**SECTION V**

**Key Personnel**

**SECTION V**  
**KEY PERSONNEL**

The following pages contain resumes of Chester Engineers' staff members who will be available for assignment to stormwater management planning projects.

## JOHN M. MASLANIK

### Senior Engineer

---

<b>Education</b>	<p>B.S. in Civil Engineering, 1974, University of Pittsburgh</p> <p>Short Course and Symposium, Computational Methods in Stormwater Management, 1986, The Pennsylvania State University</p>
<b>Responsibilities</b>	<p>Mr. Maslanik is a member of the Planning and Studies Department with primary responsibility for the preparation of stormwater management and drinking water distribution system modeling assignments.</p>
<b>Experience</b>	<p>Mr. Maslanik served as Project Manager during the completion of <i>Phase I Act 167 Stormwater Management Plan Scopes of Study</i> for six watersheds in Pennsylvania: 1) Glade Run watershed; 2) Turtle Creek watershed; 3) Conneaut Outlet watershed; 4) Breakneck Creek watershed; 5) Monongahela River watershed; and 6) Bull Run watershed. His activities in conjunction with the preparation of these documents included the evaluation of current condition, design of appropriate planning approaches and estimation of scheduling, staffing and cost requirements. He also served as project manager during the completion of the <i>Phase II Act 167 Stormwater Management Plan for the Turtle Creek Watershed</i>. This Plan encompasses an nearly 150 square mile watershed located in Allegheny and Westmoreland counties, Pennsylvania. During this project, Mr. Maslanik directed the development of modifications to the Penn State Runoff Model and the application of remote sensing and geographic information system technologies for the acquisition, management and analysis of the data necessary to conduct comprehensive modeling of the entire watershed. He was directly responsible for the assembly, use and interpretation of results of the Penn State Runoff Model for the Turtle Creek watershed.</p> <p>Previously, Mr. Maslanik served as Project Engineer during the preparation of a 1977 <i>Master Water Plan</i> and 1986 <i>Master Water Plan Update</i> for the Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County, Tennessee. These plans recommended phased, twenty-five year programs of system improvements and extensions including over 200 miles of water mains and in excess of 20 million gallons of storage capacity. He recently completed a Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) sponsored investigation of reported water quality problems with a major Pennsylvania privately owned water utility serving over 420,000 persons. This investigation included analyses of water quality complaints, water quality parameters and current treatment and distribution system operating procedures. This study presented recommendations for enhanced treatment and improved operating procedures which served as the basis for subsequent PUC directives.</p>

(continued)

## JOHN M. MASLANIK

### Senior Engineer

---

Mr. Maslanik was also involved in the preparation of the *East Central Michigan Areawide Waste Management Plan* and the *Southeastern Pennsylvania COWAMP Plan*. During the preparation of these plans, he was responsible for the review of existing water quality databases and the development of best practical management practices documentation for non-point sources of pollution.

### Professional Registration

P.E., Pennsylvania

### Publications

"Peak and Average Wastewater Flows in Small to Moderate Sized Communities", Water Pollution Control Federation, 51st Annual Conference, 1978, Anaheim, California (Co-author with Jeffery L. Pierce).

"Geographic Information System Application for Operational Modeling of Stormwater Runoff", 1988, Floodplain/Stormwater Management Symposium, University Park, Pennsylvania (Co-author with Dr. James A. Maslanik).

"Application of Remote Sensing and Geographic Information Systems in Assessment of a Storm Sewer Master Plan" 1990, Conference on Application of Geographic Information Systems and Knowledge Based Systems for Landuse Management, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University (Co-author with Dr. Uzair M. Shamsi).

"The Use of Geographic Information Systems and Terrain Modeling in the Development of a Pennsylvania Stormwater Management Plan", 1990, 10th Annual American Geophysical Union Hydrology Days, Fort Collins, Colorado (Co-author with Sara Wold).

"Effect of Storm Distribution on Watershed Stormwater Management", Accepted for 1991 presentation at ASCE National Conference on Water Resources Planning and Management, New Orleans, Louisiana (Co-author with Dr. Uzair M. Shamsi).

### Professional Affiliations

American Water Works Association  
American Public Works Association



**UZAIR M. SHAMSI, PH.D.**

**Project Manager**

---

<b>Education</b>	<p>B.S. in Civil Engineering, 1982, A.M.U., Aligarh, India.</p> <p>M.S. in Water Resources Engineering, A.I.T., Thailand.</p> <p>Training Course in Remote Sensing, A.R.R.S.T.C., Thailand.</p> <p>Ph.D. in Civil Engineering, University of Pittsburgh.</p> <p>Short Course and Symposium, Computational Methods in Stormwater Management, 1988, The Pennsylvania State University</p>
<b>Responsibilities</b>	<p>Dr. Shamsi is a member of Chester Engineers' Planning and Studies Department. He is primarily responsible for the preparation of stormwater management and water distribution system modeling studies. Dr. Shamsi also supervises in-house software development performed to satisfy specialized needs of our projects.</p>
<b>Experience</b>	<p>Since joining Chester Engineers, Dr. Shamsi has completed a number of studies involving hydrologic simulation, hydraulic analysis and modeling of water distribution systems, and Phase I and Phase II Pennsylvania Act 167 stormwater management plans.</p> <p>Dr. Shamsi is currently serving as Project Engineer on the <i>Phase II Monongahela River Watershed Stormwater Management Plan</i> and the <i>Phase II Conneaut Outlet Watershed Stormwater Management Plan</i>. His contributions to these projects involve hydrologic data collection and analysis and software development. Prior to these projects, he served as Project Engineer on the <i>Phase II Turtle Creek Watershed Stormwater Management Plan</i>.</p> <p>The software development activities of Dr. Shamsi include enhancement of the Penn State Runoff Model (CPSRM) computer program; development of a Rainfall Analysis Program (RAP) to read and analyze NOAA digital rainfall data files; which programs which plot watershed connectivity and topology; and a utility which archives, displays and prints ASCII text files from disk.</p> <p>Last year, for the fourth consecutive year, Dr. Shamsi was invited to deliver a lecture series on remote sensing at the University of Pittsburgh. This three day lecture series is part of a "Geometronics" course offered to sophomore civil engineering students at the University. Dr. Shamsi's lecture focuses on remote sensing applications in water resources engineering and land use /land cover mapping. The University has indicated an interest in continuing this lecture series for upcoming years.</p>

(continued)

## UZAIR M. SHAMSI, PH.D.

### Project Manager

---

Prior to joining Chester Engineers, Dr. Shamsi worked on several research projects in the Civil and Industrial Engineering Departments of the University of Pittsburgh. One civil engineering project studied the reliability approach to water supply infrastructure maintenance and rehabilitation. It involved developing a mathematical model, based on the water supply at the demand points in a distribution system. Computer software was developed to implement the proposed algorithm and some distribution systems were analyzed to show the application. This project resulted in Dr. Shamsi's Ph.D. dissertation and four published papers.

### Professional Registration

E.I.T., Pennsylvania

### Publications

"Kriging in Spatial Analysis of Hydrologic Data", 1984, Masters Thesis, Asian Institute of Technology, Bangkok, Thailand.

"Optimal Interpolation of Rainfall Data by Kriging", 1986, *Journal of the Institution of Engineers*, India.

"Network Analysis for Water Supply Reliability Determination", 1987, ASCE National Conference on Hydraulic Engineering, Virginia (Co-author with Dr. Raphael G. Quimpo).

"Reliability Analysis of Water Distribution Networks", 1988, *Journal of the Institution of Engineers*, India.

"Network Reliability for Water Distribution System Management", 1988, Fifth I.A.H.R. International Symposium on Stochastic Hydraulics, Birmingham, Alabama (Co-author with Dr. Raphael G. Quimpo).

"Water Distribution Network Reliability", 1988, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Pittsburgh.

"Reliability Approach to Water Supply Infrastructure Maintenance: Research Report CE/WE-01", 1988, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Pittsburgh.

"An Application of Kriging to Rainfall Network Design", 1988, *Nordic Hydrology*, Denmark.

"Pipe Break Simulation in a Water Distribution System", 1989, Proceedings of the Twentieth Annual Pittsburgh Conference on Modeling and Simulation, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

(continued)

**UZAIR M. SHAMSI, PH.D.**

**Project Manager**

---

“Computerized Evaluation of Water-Supply Reliability”, 1990,  
*IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, Vol. 39, No. 1.

“Application of Remote Sensing and GIS in Assessment of Storm  
Sewer Master Plan”, 1990, Conference on Application of Geo-  
graphic Information Systems and Knowledge Based Systems for  
Landuse Management, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State Uni-  
versity (Co-author with John M. Maslanik).

**Professional  
Affiliations**

American Society of Civil Engineers

## JAMES A. MASLANIK, PH.D.

### Remote Sensing Consultant

---

<b>Education</b>	B.S. in Forest Science, 1978, Pennsylvania State University
	M.S. in Environmental Pollution Control, 1981, Pennsylvania State University
	Ph.D. in Physical Geography, 1988, University of Colorado
<b>Responsibilities</b>	Dr. Maslanik is Remote Sensing/GIS Consultant with the GeoSpatial Solutions Division of Chester Engineers. In this capacity he provides technical and management skills for remote sensing and geographic database projects. Dr. Maslanik is involved in designing and supervising the implementation of remote sensing and GIS operations meeting data requirements and specifications produced by public and private sector clients. He also serves as a Research Associate for the Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences at the University of Colorado.
<b>Experience</b>	Dr. Maslanik was involved in the design of data acquisition, image processing and data extraction procedures and supervised the assembly of the GIS databases produced in support of Chester Engineers' <i>Phase II Stormwater Management Plan for the Glade Run Watershed</i> and <i>Phase II Stormwater Management Plan for the Turtle Creek Watershed</i> . In addition, he provided all cost, scheduling and man-hour estimates associated with remote sensing and GIS aspects for all <i>Act 167 Phase I Stormwater Management Planning Scopes of Study</i> prepared by Chester Engineers.
	He has been involved in the operational use of geographic databases and geographic information system technology for the U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management resource studies in the western U.S. and Alaska. He coordinated and managed digitizing, image processing and database development for study areas covering four million acres in Wyoming using digital terrain data and classified Landsat imagery. He developed image processing methods to provide terrain and landcover variability measures for the state of Alaska using satellite imagery and digital topographic data. Dr. Maslanik has been involved in investigations of the applicability of SPOT satellite imagery for resource mapping and has developed applications software to improve data analysis and display capabilities.

(continued)

**JAMES A. MASLANIK, PH.D.**

**Remote Sensing Consultant**

---

Dr. Maslanik's research pertains to the development and application of image processing and GIS technology for the analysis of climatological data sets. This work involves the combination of raster data from spaceborne scanners and sounders with various forms of vector information to provide an integrated database for climatological research. Emphasis has been placed on the study of synoptic-scale meteorological and oceanographic parameters in the Arctic and Antarctic using satellite acquired passive microwave and thermal imagery.

Earlier in his career, Dr. Maslanik provided photo-interpretation and cartographic services for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service wetlands mapping project in the Mississippi basin and investigated the utility of automated change detection techniques for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers waterway monitoring program.

**Publications**

"Digital and Manual Analysis of Seasat-A Synthetic Aperture Radar Data", 1981, Report 120/OR, Office for Remote Sensing of Earth Resources, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania.

"Impacts of High Resolution Data on an Operational Remote Sensing Program", 1983, 10th Symposium on the Remote Sensing of the Environment, LARS, Purdue University (Co-author with J.A. Smith).

"An Assessment of SPOT Simulator Data for Rangeland Resource Mapping", 1984, *SPOT Simulations Handbook*, SPOT-Image Corporation (Co-author with J.A. Bonner, et.al.).

"Import of Scan Digitized Data into PC-MOSS: A Case Study", 1987, 4th MOSS Users Workshop, Denver, Colorado (Co-author with J. Szajgin).

"Problems of Cloud Cover Analysis in Polar Regions", 1987, Abstract in Clouds in Climate II Workshop, World Climate Program, Columbia, Maryland (Co-author with R.G. Barry).

"Interannual Variability of Short-period Changes in Sea Ice and Atmospheric Conditions in the Canada Basin", 1988, Second Conference on Polar Meteorology and Oceanography, Madison, Wisconsin (Co-author with R.G. Barry).

"Geographic Information System Application for Operational Modeling of Stormwater Runoff", 1988, Floodplain/Stormwater Management Symposium, University Park, Pennsylvania (Co-author with J.M. Maslanik).

(continued)

JAMES A. MASLANIK, PH.D.

Remote Sensing Consultant

---

"Short-term Interactions Between Atmospheric Synoptic Conditions and Sea Ice Behavior in the Canadian Basin", 1989, *Annals of Glaciology* (Co-author with R.G. Barry).

"Arctic Sea Ice Characteristics and Associated Atmosphere-ice Interactions", 1989, *Geojournal* (Co-author with R.G. Barry).

"Comparison of Nimbus 7 Scanning Multichannel Microwave Radiometer Radiance and Derived Sea Ice Concentrations with Landsat Imagery for the North Water Area of Baffin Bay", 1988, *J. Geophys. Res.* (Co-author with K. Steffen).

"Merging AVHRR and SMMR Data for Remote Sensing of Ice and Cloud in Polar Regions", 1989, *International Journal of Remote Sensing* (Co-author with J. Key, et. al.).

"Cloud Classification from Satellite Data Using a Fuzzy Sets Algorithm: A Polar Example", 1988, *International Journal of Remote Sensing* (Co-author with J. Key, et. al.)

"Cloud Classification of Merged AVHRR and SMMR Arctic Data with Neural Networks", 1989, *Photogram. Eng. Rem. Sens.* (Co-author with J. Key, et. al.)

"Remote Sensing in Antarctica and the Southern Ocean: Applications and Developments", In Press, *Antarctic Science* (Co-author with R.G. Barry).

Professional  
Affiliations

American Society of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing  
American Geophysical Union  
Society of American Foresters  
American Association for Artificial Intelligence

SARA E. WOLD

GIS GENERAL MANAGER

---

<b>Education</b>	B.S. in Geography, 1982, California State University at Chico  M.A. in Geography (GIS/Remote Sensing), 1989, University of Colorado
<b>Responsibilities</b>	Ms. Wold is GIS projects Project Manager in the GeoSpatial Solutions Division of Chester Engineers. In this capacity she implements remote sensing and GIS operations meeting data requirements and specifications produced by Chester Engineers and our clients. Her responsibilities include PC computer systems management, software development and geographic applications.
<b>Experience</b>	Ms. Wold was responsible for the assembly of the GIS databases produced in support of Chester Engineers' <i>Phase II Stormwater Management Plan for the Glade Run Watershed</i> and <i>Phase II Stormwater Management Plan for the Turtle Creek Watershed</i> . She also has provided remote sensing and GIS applications services in support of several other stormwater management and water supply planning projects, including the <i>Assessment of the Storm Sewer Master Plan</i> for Huntington, West Virginia and <i>West Deer Township Master Water Plan</i> . She is currently involved in assembling the geographic databases for our ongoing <i>Act 167 Phase II Stormwater Management Plans</i> .
<b>Publications</b>	<p>"The Use of Merged Imagery in GIS Database Development", 1989, ARC/INFO Users' Group Meeting, Denver, Colorado.</p> <p>"Watershed Mapping Using Merged Thematic Mapper and SPOT Panchromatic Imagery", 1989, <i>Geoscientific Information Systems Applied to Exploration and Research</i>, Denver GeoTech '89.</p> <p>"The Use of GIS and Terrain Modeling in the Development of a Pennsylvania Stormwater Management Plan", 10th Annual American Geophysical Union Hydrology Days, Fort Collins, Colorado (Co-author with J.M. Maslanik).</p> <p>"Application of Remote Sensing and GIS in Assessment of Storm Sewer Master Plan", 1990, International Conference on the Application of GIS and Knowledge Based Systems for Landuse Management, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia (Co-author with U.M. Shamsi, et.al.).</p>

## MICHAEL F. MCKEE

### Project Engineer

---

<b>Education</b>	<p>B.S. in Environmental Resource Management, 1979, Pennsylvania State University</p> <p>Candidate for M.S. in Environmental Engineering, University of Pittsburgh</p> <p>Short Course and Symposium, Computational Methods in Stormwater Management, 1987, Pennsylvania State University</p>
<b>Responsibilities</b>	<p>Mr. McKee is a Project Engineer in Chester Engineers Planning and Studies Department. His responsibilities include the preparation of stormwater studies and regional solid waste management plans. He provides technical support for the review of developers' stormwater control facilities designs. SCS TR-55 hydrologic analysis techniques are used to quantify pre- and post-development stormwater runoff rates and appropriate release rate percentages are applied to identify specific stormwater control requirements. Hydrograph routing analyses are then performed to determine whether the proposed stormwater control facilities are appropriate. Both manual and computer based techniques are used for curve number weighting, hydrograph generation, hydrograph routing analyses, and basin outlet structure design.</p>
<b>Experience</b>	<p>Mr. McKee's previous experience includes independent consulting work and five years of employment with a major steel producer in the environmental monitoring field. Since joining Chester Engineers, Mr. McKee has participated in stormwater management activities, development review plans and the preparation of county-wide municipal solid waste management studies. He has also completed water and wastewater feasibility studies and other studies related to public facilities planning.</p>
<b>Professional Registration</b>	<p>Certified Sewage Enforcement Officer, Pennsylvania</p>
<b>Publications</b>	<p>"Residential Waste Composition Study Helps County Prepare for Recycling", 1989, <i>Public Works Magazine</i>.</p>



